The impact of solar spectral irradiance variability on middle atmospheric ozone
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1. Introduction

[1] This study presents the impact of solar spectral irradiance (SSI) variability on middle atmospheric ozone over the declining phase of solar cycle 23. Two different types of spectral forcing are applied to the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) to simulate the ozone response between periods of quiet and high solar activity. One scenario uses the solar proxy reconstructions model from the Naval Research Laboratory (NRLSSI), and the other is based on SSI observations from the Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE). The SORCE observations show 3–5 times more variability in ultraviolet (UV) radiation than predicted by the proxy model. The NRLSSI forcing had minimal impact on ozone, however, the higher UV variability from SORCE induced a 4% reduction in ozone concentration above 40 km at solar active conditions. The model result is supported by 8 years (2002–2010) of ozone observations from the Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry (SABER) instrument. The SABER ozone variations have greater similarity with the SORCE SSI model simulations. The model and satellite data suggests that the ozone response is due to enhanced photochemical activity associated with larger UV variability. Citation: Merkel, A. W., J. W. Harder, D. R. Marsh, A. K. Smith, J. M. Fontenla, and T. N. Woods (2011), The impact of solar spectral irradiance variability on middle atmospheric ozone, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L13802, doi:10.1029/2011GL047561.

[2] The SSI is an important factor in establishing the Earth’s atmospheric composition and structure. The combined solar spectral irradiance observations from the Solar Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment (SOLSTICE) and the Spectral Irradiance Monitor (SIM) on the SORCE satellite provide trends of the UV, visible and near-IR variability simultaneously. Although the time series of the SORCE data does not cover a full solar cycle, the measurements indicate a factor of 3–5 larger UV variability between year 2004 (solar active) and year 2007 (solar quiet) than that of semi-empirical models of SSI [Harder et al., 2009]. The SIM irradiance measurements have an accuracy of better than 2% [Harder et al., 2010]. Based on the comparison of the two independent SIM channels, long-term uncertainty in the 200–300 nm region is ~0.5–0.1%, from 310–400 nm it is ~0.2–0.05%, and in the 400–1600 nm range it is better than 0.05%. Currently there is no direct validation through independent irradiance observations that have a physically based degradation correction [Harder et al., 2009, auxiliary material]. We present a modeling study on the impact of SORCE SSI variability on middle atmospheric ozone.

[3] Up to the present time, most climate and solar cycle related modeling studies have relied on solar input spectra that are scaled by long-term proxies of solar activity such as the F10.7 radio flux [Marsh et al., 2007; Randel and Wu, 1999] or an equivalent Mg II core-to-wing [Soukharev and Hood, 2006]. A more dependable solar model employs solar irradiance reconstructions based on combined sunspot and facular proxy indicators (predominately the photometric sunspot index and the Mg II index) such as the Naval Research Laboratory SSI model (NRLSSI) [Lean, 2000]. The NRLSSI model has recently been employed as solar input for climate model inter-comparison studies [Eyring et al., 2010; Morgenstern et al., 2010]. However, solar observations from the SORCE instruments shows that there is more spectral variability over the declining phase of solar cycle 23 than previously estimated. The SORCE data show that the SSI values for wavelengths with brightness temperatures greater than 5770 K brighten with decreasing solar activity, whereas those with lower brightness temperatures show a dimming. These results indicate that different parts of the solar atmosphere contribute differently to the TSI with the behavior in the deep photospheric layers giving an opposing and nearly compensating trend in the upper layers of the sun. These observed effects are not captured in semi-empirical SSI models [Harder et al., 2009]. We investigate the impact of enhanced spectral variability on middle atmospheric ozone with simulations using WACCM, a comprehensive chemical climate model. Simulations that use a proxy-based solar model are compared and contrasted to a simulation that uses solar irradiance observations compiled from SOLSTICE (110–240 nm) and SIM (240–2400 nm) [McClintock et al., 2005; Harder et al., 2010, and references therein]. This study applies a state-of-the-art global circulation model (GCM) with interactive chemistry to investigate more thoroughly the responses found by Haigh et al. [2010]. In addition, we present observational analyses of ozone measurements reported by the TIMED-SABER instrument. The observed ozone variations in the lower mesosphere have major features in
common with the predictions from the WACCM simulations that use SORCE SSI input.

2. Model Simulations

[4] WACCM 3.5 is a fully coupled chemistry, radiation and dynamics global model extending from the surface to the thermosphere based on version 3 of the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM3) [Garcia et al., 2007, 2011]. WACCM was validated under the CCMVal project of SPARC (Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate [Eyring et al., 2010; Morgenstern et al., 2010]) and shown to produce realistic ozone trends.

[5] Because the SPARC 2010 CCMVal project [Eyring et al., 2010] recommends the use of the NRLSSI model as solar input for climate studies, we perform three WACCM experiments with fixed solar forcing based on NRLSSI and SORCE SSI. Each experiment was initialized from NCAR’s CCMVal SPARC simulations and year 2004 of this simulation was perpetually run in all three cases with fixed greenhouse gases. This was done to ensure that the dominant source of atmospheric variability was the response from the solar forcing. Each experiment was integrated for 24 years. Experiment 1 used the NRLSSI spectra averaged over Aug. 8–Oct. 19, 2007 to represent quiet Sun conditions. Experiment 2 used NRLSSI spectra averaged over Oct. 1–24, 2004, to represent high solar activity but is free from the short-lived contributions due to the passage sunspots and their associated plage regions. Experiment 3 is constructed from the SORCE SSI composite spectrum shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1b shows the irradiance differences for both SORCE and NRLSSI for the same 2004 and 2007 time periods. Because differences in the absolute calibration between SORCE and NRLSSI spectra can generate spurious atmospheric signals that would complicate the interpretation of the model results [Zhong et al., 2008], we use the NRLSSI 2007 quiet spectrum and scale it to represent the high activity case observed in the 2004 SORCE data. Figure 1c shows the ratio of the SORCE 2004 to 2007 spectra; this ratio is multiplied by the low activity NRLSSI 2007 spectrum to give the input spectrum for Experiment 3. Thus the Experiment 3 input spectrum has the SORCE SSI variability imposed on the NRLSSI irradiance calibration. It must be emphasized that the TSI is the same for the Experiments 1 and 3 input spectra, but the spectral distribution is distinctly different (Figures 1b and 1c). A detailed description of the SORCE solar input is presented in the auxiliary material.1

[6] The yearly perpetual simulations are averaged to produce a representative average year per case. This is to reduce the random component of a free running model and to identify the significance of the results. Figures 2a, 2b, 2e, and 2f present the latitude–height maps of the percent difference (active – quiet) of the ozone annual average for both the SORCE and NRLSSI case study simulations. The unshaded regions are significant to 95%. Due to the diurnal cycle and local time solar response of ozone [Marsh et al., 2003], the results are separated into day and night. The percent difference for the SORCE case study (Figures 2b and 2f) produce an altitude structure very different than the NRLSSI case study results (Figures 2a and 2e). The daytime NRLSSI spectral solar input produces an ozone altitude structure that shows a higher concentration at solar active (2004) conditions than at solar quiet (2007) conditions with a maximum difference of 1% around 40 km (Figure 2a). The daytime ozone response to SORCE input spectra produces an atmospheric structure that is similar at low altitudes but is distinctly different above 40 km. There is a clear sign change in the ozone response that is not present in the NRLSSI study above 40 km, indicating an increase in ozone from 2004 to 2007 in the mesosphere. More UV variability causes the lower mesospheric ozone to respond out-of-phase to the solar cycle trend, whereas the stratosphere responds in-phase. Both the NRLSSI (Figure 2e) and SORCE (Figure 2f) nighttime conditions show a different mesospheric response in the absence of photolysis.

3. Comparison to SABER Observations

[7] With such distinct differences in the ozone response of the two solar scenarios modeled with WACCM, it is pertinent to look for the signal in observations. There are two


---

1Auxiliary materials are available in the HTML. doi:10.1029/2011GL047561
available ozone datasets that comply with the observational requirements needed to identify the mesospheric signal (i.e., that cover the time period in question, include consistent global and local time sampling, and altitude profiles up through the mesosphere): the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) and SABER. Haigh et al. [2010] showed a distinct ozone phase change above 40 km in MLS ozone measurements. To expand on that research we present the results from SABER.

The O\textsubscript{3} emission measurements from the 9.6 μm channel on TIMED-SABER are used for this analysis [Rong et al., 2009]. The data span 9 years (2002–2010) and provide very good sampling (good global and local time coverage). The data are separated into day and night average time sequences (monthly and annually). The first 6 months of SABER data are not used to eliminate possible biases due to the reported ice buildup on the detector. The O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio data are screened to eliminate outliers by omitting the profiles with the top 1% of mixing ratios at each pressure. We present annual average differences to compare to the model results shown in Figure 2.

The O\textsubscript{3} emission measurements from the 9.6 μm channel on TIMED-SABER are used for this analysis [Rong et al., 2009]. The data span 9 years (2002–2010) and provide very good sampling (good global and local time coverage). The data are separated into day and night average time sequences (monthly and annually). The first 6 months of SABER data are not used to eliminate possible biases due to the reported ice buildup on the detector. The O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio data are screened to eliminate outliers by omitting the profiles with the top 1% of mixing ratios at each pressure. We present annual average differences to compare to the model results shown in Figure 2.

The O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio data are screened to eliminate outliers by omitting the profiles with the top 1% of mixing ratios at each pressure. We present annual average differences to compare to the model results shown in Figure 2.

The O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratio data are screened to eliminate outliers by omitting the profiles with the top 1% of mixing ratios at each pressure. We present annual average differences to compare to the model results shown in Figure 2.
While the SORCE model simulation gives a better representation of the SABER results than the NRLSSI study, it is apparent that the SORCE WACCM simulation needs further refinements. One possibility for the 10 km differential in the location of the sign change between model and SABER could be attributed to the uncertainty in the measured SORCE irradiance values between 200–400 nm as demonstrated by Haigh et al. [2010]. Future work is planned to further quantify errors in SORCE SSI and characterize the impact in GCM simulations.

Figures 3a and 3b show the annual mean equatorial time series of the day and nighttime SABER data averaged over two pressure ranges corresponding to the lower mesosphere (0.3–0.03 hPa) and the stratosphere (5–1.2 hPa) regions of the atmosphere. Each time series is normalized to the first year to highlight the variations. The daytime mesosphere shows an increase in ozone of 3.8%, while the stratospheric time series show a decrease in ozone of 4% over the 2002 to 2009 time span. The daytime and nighttime stratospheric curves are very similar since ozone has very little diurnal variation there. The night and day variations in the mesosphere are quite different. In the lower mesosphere, the primary loss of odd oxygen (O₅ = O₃+O) is due to reactions with the hydrogen family (HOₓ). Both this loss and the production of odd oxygen from O₂ photolysis cease at night. The daytime response is apparent in every available SABER year, and the annual trends are reversing as the sun comes out of solar minimum starting in 2009.

Figures 3c–3f show a multiple linear regression of the SABER ozone monthly averaged day and nighttime series. The data (solid black lines) are percentage anomalies of tropical (15°S–15°N) monthly means from July 2002 through December 2010. The values reconstructed from a 3-component regression model are shown as green lines. The solar component of the regression is shown in red. Figures 3c and 3d are averaged between 0.3 hPa and 0.03 hPa. Figures 3e and 3f are averaged between 5 hPa and 1.2 hPa.
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ness contribution in the PSPT Ca II solar images [Fontenla and Harder, 2005].

[13] The results are consistent with Figures 3a and 3b and are significant to the 95% level. The daytime lower mesosphere (Figure 3c) shows a 2.8% increase in ozone along the declining phase of solar cycle 23. The stratosphere shows the opposite response and is in phase with the solar cycle with a 2.6% reduction in ozone (Figure 3e). The lower mesospheric nighttime signal is flat, illustrating a reduced magnitude of the response, although there is a small 1.4% increasing trend (Figure 3d). The nighttime stratosphere is very similar to the daytime with a decreasing trend of 3.5% (Figure 3f). Figure 3 also indicates that the variations respond to the upswing of the solar cycle in the middle of 2009, suggesting that the signal is solar cycle induced. These results are congruent with the 2004–2008 MLS analysis by Haigh et al. [2010] where mesospheric ozone (0.68–0.32 hPa) increased by 1.7% and stratospheric ozone decreased by 4.5% (10.0–6.8 hPa).

4. Discussion

[14] A number of previous model studies have shown that, over part of the lower to middle mesosphere, ozone decreases with increasing solar flux. This is understood to be due to increases in the rate of water photolysis, which leads to faster ozone destruction by HOx catalytic cycles. We have shown here that the lower mesospheric ozone decrease in response to the solar cycle may have substantially larger magnitude and cover a more extensive altitude range than previously simulated. Model simulations and satellite observations support this conclusion. The difference in the model results is due to an increase in UV variability as measured by the SORCE mission. Although the simulations have some differences with the observations, overall the agreement supports the new solar SSI data.

[15] The SABER tropical stratospheric ozone response to solar cycle is consistent with results presented by Remsberg [2008] (Halogen Occultation Experiment, HALOE) and Southkhare and Hood [2006] (Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet, SBUV). Like HALOE and SBUV, the SABER max – min response peaks near 5 hPa with a 2–3% amplitude (Figure 2d). In addition, all three datasets indicate that the solar ozone response decreases to 0% around 1 hPa. While the HALOE and SBUV analyses stop at 1 hPa, SABER is able to resolve the mesospheric response and demonstrates that the ozone trend is negative above 1 hPa. It is important to note that this result would not be detected in the total ozone response to solar cycle [Hood, 1997] since the mesospheric signal contributes only 1% to the total.

[16] Marsh et al. [2007] demonstrated that lower mesosphere ozone is sensitive to the photochemistry of HOx. In addition, Dikty et al. [2010] showed that daytime ozone is driven by photochemistry and less influenced by transport. This dependence is clearly evident in the presented day/night results. In the lower mesosphere, the primary loss of odd oxygen is due to HOx reactions. More UV at solar active conditions leads to an enhancement of O3 photolysis. This leads to more O(\textsuperscript{D}) and therefore more OH through the reaction of O(\textsuperscript{D}) with H\textsubscript{2}O. Ozone is depleted through several catalytic processes with OH and H [Grenfell et al., 2006]. More UV is also transmitted to the stratosphere leading to greater O\textsubscript{2} photolysis and therefore more stratospheric O\textsubscript{3}.

[17] Based on these findings, this work extends and confirms Haigh et al.’s [2010] study in the following ways: a) utilizes a 3-d GCM with chemistry rather than a static 2-d stratospheric model; b) uses 9 years of SABER ozone data that covers the full descending phase of solar cycle 23 in place of the 4-year MLS record; c) detects the out-of-phase ozone response in an independent instrument observation with similar linear trends; d) segregates by day and night to isolate photochemical effects. This work alleviates some of the concerns voiced by Garcia [2010] by conducting an independent analysis on a longer data record. Continued and concurrent measurements of solar SSI and mesospheric ozone are needed to corroborate the conclusions of this study.
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