Observed Increase of TTL Temperature and Water Vapor in Polluted Clouds over Asia
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ABSTRACT

Satellite observations are analyzed to examine the correlations between aerosols and the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) temperature and water vapor. This study focuses on two regions, both of which are important pathways for the mass transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere and over which Asian pollution prevails: South and East Asia during boreal summer and the Maritime Continent during boreal winter. Using the upper-tropospheric carbon monoxide measurements from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder as a proxy of aerosols to classify ice clouds as polluted or clean, the authors find that polluted clouds have a smaller ice effective radius and a higher temperature and specific humidity near the tropopause than clean clouds. The increase in water vapor appears to be related to the increase in temperature, as a result of increased aerosols. Meteorological differences between the clouds cannot explain the differences in temperature and water vapor for the polluted and clean clouds. The authors hypothesize that aerosol semidirect radiative heating and/or changes in cirrus radiative heating, resulting from aerosol microphysical effects on clouds, may contribute to the increased TTL temperature and thus increased water vapor in the polluted clouds.

1. Introduction

Water vapor is a strong greenhouse gas that acts to amplify surface warming caused by anthropogenic greenhouse gases (e.g., CO2). In the stratosphere, water vapor also plays a major role in ozone chemistry and serves as a reliable dynamical tracer because of its long lifetime. The mechanisms that control the amount of stratospheric water vapor are not fully understood (e.g., Solomon et al. 2010). The current understanding is that the entry value of water vapor mixing ratio into the stratosphere is largely determined by the cold-point tropopause temperature (CPT), through which air is freeze dried during slow ascent from the troposphere to the stratosphere (Holton and Gettelman 2001; Fueglistaler et al. 2005; Randel et al. 2006; Read et al. 2004). Several other processes may modify stratospheric water vapor abundance. For example, overshooting convection detrains cold and dry air, which dehydrates the stratosphere, while evaporation of detrained small ice crystals may rehydrate the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) (Sherwood and Dessler 2001; Dessler 2002; Jensen et al. 2007). The radiative heating from subvisible cirrus clouds near the tropopause can act to increase stratospheric water vapor by increasing tropopause temperature (Rosenfield et al. 1998). A linkage between aerosols and transport of water vapor to the stratosphere was proposed by Sherwood (2002a). He postulated that aerosols from biomass burning may reduce ice cloud effective radius $R_e$ near the top of cumulus towers, leading to a decrease in the settling velocity of ice crystals, an increase in ice sublimation, and hence increased stratospheric water vapor. A modeling
study by Notholt et al. (2005) showed that increasing anthropogenic SO2 emissions in Asia may increase the formation of sulfuric acid aerosols and thus small ice crystals in the TTL, which are lifted into the stratosphere and increase stratospheric humidity when they evaporate. More recently, Liu et al. (2009) showed that increasing anthropogenic sulfate and soot concentrations in a global aerosol–climate model lead to increased ice clouds and water vapor in the upper troposphere (UT) and lower stratosphere (LS). In Liu et al. (2009), homogeneous ice nucleation on sulfate, heterogeneous ice nucleation on soot, and the competition between the two nucleation mechanisms were considered (Liu and Penner 2005). These earlier studies suggested that aerosols may affect stratospheric water vapor through their interactions with ice clouds. In addition, Lau and Kim (2006) and Lau et al. (2006) indicate that direct radiative heating of absorbing aerosols such as dust and black carbon (BC) may cause UT warming and moistening over the Tibetan Plateau during the Asian monsoon season. Panels 4b and 4c in Lau et al. (2006) display model temperature anomalies of 1 and 2.5 K over the Himalayas in April and May, respectively, due to the effects of absorbing aerosols, although Kuhlmann and Quaas (2010) obtained smaller heating rates over the Tibetan Plateau by analyzing Cloud–Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) aerosol data during March–May 2007, 2008, and 2009. However, observational evidence of aerosol influence on stratospheric water vapor has been lacking.

The “A-Train” constellation of satellites provides a suite of measurements that can shed light on the interplay among aerosols, clouds, and water vapor (L’Ecuyer and Jiang 2010). In particular, the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on Aura satellite measures global profiles of water vapor (H2O), temperature T, ice water content (IWC), and carbon monoxide (CO) in the UTLS, and the Aqua Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) provides aerosol optical thickness (AOT) and ice cloud particle size in terms of Rv. Jiang et al. (2008, 2009) showed that MLS in-cloud CO at 215 hPa bears a close correlation to aerosol loading in many convective regions and can, in these regions, be used as an index for aerosol amount. In addition, a recent study using measurements from 11 airborne campaigns in the Pacific hemisphere (Clarke and Kapustin 2010) confirmed that increasing aerosols is associated with enhanced CO in the Asian region. Combining MLS CO and IWC with MODIS AOT and Rv and Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) precipitation, Jiang et al. (2008, 2009) found that polluted clouds are associated with smaller ice particle size and weaker precipitation than clean clouds in a number of regions and seasons.

With these observations, we explore here the correlations of pollution aerosols (indicated by CO) with temperature and water vapor in the TTL region, a critical layer for water vapor transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere. Identification of the observed relationship is the first step to establishing the linkage between aerosols and stratospheric water vapor and to understanding the physical mechanisms. We also attempt to separate the role of dynamics from aerosol effects by contrasting the temperature and water vapor changes associated with changes in meteorological conditions to those associated with aerosol changes. For dynamical variables, we use the Aqua Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) temperature and water vapor profiles and the derived convective available potential energy (CAPE), as well as the midtropospheric vertical pressure velocity at 500 hPa ω500 and divergence from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP).

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 describes the observational datasets and our analysis approach. Section 3 presents the data analysis results. Discussion and conclusions are given in section 4.

2. Datasets and analysis approach

In this study, we primarily use Aura MLS observations (V2.2) of H2O, T, IWC, and CO, and Aqua MODIS AOT (MYD04-L2) and Rv (MYD08-D3) data from 2005 to 2008. The MLS data have a horizontal resolution of ~300 km along track and ~7 km cross track. The vertical resolutions for H2O (and T), IWC, and CO are about 3, 4, and 5 km, respectively. We are interested in the H2O and T measurements in the TTL, a transition zone between the UT and LS. The estimated MLS measurement accuracies are within 20% for H2O, a factor of 2 for IWC and about 0.5–1 K for T at 147 and 100 hPa (Read et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2008; Schwartz et al. 2008). The IWC detection thresholds are 0.6 mg m\(^{-2}\) at 215 hPa, 0.1 mg m\(^{-2}\) at 147 hPa, and 0.02 mg m\(^{-3}\) at 100 hPa (Wu et al. 2008). The MLS H2O, T, and IWC are independent products from radiance in different MLS channels. The uncertainty in the temperature retrieval minimally affects the H2O retrieval (~2%) (Read et al. 2007). The MLS measurements at these altitudes are generally not degraded by the presence of clouds and aerosols, whose particle sizes are typically much smaller than the measurement wavelengths. Very thick clouds (IWC > ~50 mg m\(^{-3}\)) can degrade the temperature and some species measurements (Wu et al. 2008), but the retrieval algorithms (Livesey et al. 2006) flag such measurements and they are not used here. The V2.2 CO at 215 hPa has a factor of 2 high bias, but the morphology is validated to be reasonable (Livesey et al. 2008).
The MODIS ice cloud $R_e$ measurement refers to the top of cirrus clouds, with the vertical averaging kernel peaked at about 0.1–0.2 optical depth ($\leq 1–2$ km depending on cloud extinction) down from the cloud top (Platnick 2000; Zhang et al. 2010). We use MODIS $R_e$ measurements that are collocated with detectable MLS IWC. The low biases in the $R_e$ retrieval do not affect our results as we are interested in the relative differences in $R_e$ between polluted and clean clouds. The MODIS AOT is a columnar quantity with a horizontal resolution of 10 km × 10 km. Although it is likely that aerosols are mostly distributed in the boundary layer, they can influence ice clouds by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and altering liquid cloud particle sizes, which are subsequently lifted by deep convection to form ice clouds (Sherwood 2002b). Thus, using AOT to represent aerosol loadings is acceptable. We average the MODIS data onto $3^\circ \times 1^\circ$ boxes centered on the MLS measurement locations to obtain collocated MODIS and MLS measurements.

We derive the CAPE using the Aqua AIRS Level 3 temperature and water vapor measurements, assuming air parcels rising from the surface along pseudoadiabats. The AIRS data at the original $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution are resampled on the MLS footprints. Similar resampling for the NCEP reanalysis data is performed.

Following Jiang et al. (2008), we use MLS CO at 215 hPa as a proxy for aerosols to classify ice clouds as “polluted” or “clean.” As in-cloud aerosols cannot be measured by satellites, using CO gives us about 3–4 times the number of samples of collocated pollution, clouds, and H$_2$O than obtained by simply using AOT in adjacent cloud-free regions, ensuring a higher signal-to-noise ratio and greater statistical significance. Compared to the CO at 147 and 100 hPa, the 215-hPa CO has the strongest correlation with surface emission coincident with convection (Jiang et al. 2007). For this study, we define clean ice clouds to be the instantaneous measurements that have detectable MLS IWC throughout 215–100 hPa and MLS CO at 215 hPa less than 100 ppbv. The choice of the CO threshold value is made considering that the background CO value at 215 hPa is about 40–60 ppbv and the MLS V2.2 215 hPa CO measurement has a factor of \~{2} high bias (Livesey et al. 2008). Polluted ice clouds are those meeting the same IWC criterion but with 215-hPa CO greater than 240 ppbv in June–August (JJA) and 200 ppbv in December–February (DJF). By definition, these clouds, both clean and polluted, are mostly deep convective clouds and associated anvils. The average fraction of polluted clouds out of the total valid measurement ensemble is 3.2% in JJA over South and East Asia (SEA, 685 profiles) and 1.8% in DJF Maritime Continent (MTC, 449 profiles), and the fraction of clean clouds is close to 1% in both cases (157 profiles in JJA SEA and 236 profiles in DJF MTC). Our definitions of polluted and clean clouds represent the two extreme ends (the lowest and highest 1%–3%) of the cloud spectrum, so that a distinct signal of aerosol effects can be extracted. Using lower (higher) threshold values of CO to define polluted (clean) clouds produces qualitatively similar results, but with weaker statistical significance. Clouds that are not “deep” or have intermediate CO values are not considered.

As the cloud properties ($R_e$, H$_2$O, and $T$) of interest are strongly correlated with convective intensity, we compare the polluted and clean cloud properties binned as a function of MLS 215-hPa IWC, which correlates well with outgoing longwave radiation and serves as a measure of convective strength (Su et al. 2006; Jiang et al. 2011). This binning on IWC also helps to decouple the UT CO changes due to changes in surface CO emission and convective strength. For deep clouds with the same IWC, the UT CO difference can be mostly attributed to differences in surface emission (related to AOT) rather than differences in convective strength.

Moreover, examining the cloud properties binned as a function of 215-hPa IWC is equivalent to holding convective influences constant to isolate aerosols or other nonconvective effects. This is particularly important as multiple nonindependent factors (e.g., convection and aerosols) may act together to determine the TTL temperature and water vapor. For example, deep convection can change the temperature and aerosol loading in the air column, while aerosol effects may alter convective strength and atmospheric temperature. To isolate the aerosol effects on the TTL temperature and water vapor, it is important to hold dynamic and thermodynamic conditions constant when examining the differences in temperature and water vapor for different aerosol loadings. This can be approximately achieved by binning observational samples according to pollution and meteorological conditions simultaneously. By alternating the binning variables, we search for statistically significant signals emerging from combinations of sample properties that provide a meaningful indication of physical processes. The binning approach automatically averages out random noise. Since the differences between two groups of samples are of interest, the biases in the observational data have little impact on the interpretation of the results.

3. Observational analysis of Asian polluted regions

We choose two analysis regions, both of which are important pathways for water vapor transport to the stratosphere and experience heavy pollution from Asian countries with rapidly growing economies. One area is
SEA (10°–40°N, 60°–130°E) in JJA. Prior studies have shown that the Asian summer monsoon circulation over this region provides an important pathway for water vapor and pollution transport into the global stratosphere (Fu et al. 2006; Randel et al. 2010). The other analysis region is the MTC (15°S–15°N, 80°–160°E) in DJF. During boreal winter, the MTC region is very cold and extremely important in determining the entry value of water vapor mixing ratio into the stratosphere (the so-called stratospheric fountain, Newell and Gould-Stewart 1981). It is also a region into which Asian pollution is preferentially transported (Stohl et al. 2002).

Figure 1 shows maps of 2005–08 JJA and DJF average MODIS AOT, MLS CO, H2O, and IWC at 215 hPa, and MLS water vapor and IWC at 147 and 100 hPa, encompassing the two analysis regions (dashed boxes). The 147 and 100 hPa pressure levels approximately correspond to the bottom of the TTL and the height of the CPT, respectively. During boreal summer, the spatial distribution of 215-hPa CO resembles that of AOT; although enhanced CO is more widespread than high AOT, consistent with the expectation that CO, unlike aerosols, is not subject to wet removal by clouds and precipitation and thus is of longer lifetime than aerosols. The SEA region has the highest AOT and CO, coincident with high H2O and IWC at 147 and 100 hPa. The anticyclone over the Tibetan Plateau traps high CO and H2O, providing a significant source of trace gases to the stratosphere (Li et al. 2005; Fu et al. 2006; Park et al. 2009; Randel et al. 2010). During boreal winter, Figs. 1e and 1f show that the MLS CO at 215 hPa is quite high over the entire MTC region, while high AOT is concentrated over land (islands) and is relatively low over ocean. The high CO may include pollution advected from South and East Asia at lower levels that is uplifted to the UT by deep convection (indicated by the IWC contours at 215 hPa, also see Fig. 2 in Stohl et al. 2002). Water vapor is relatively high over the MTC at 147 hPa because of strong convection, but it reaches a minimum at 100 hPa associated with the low temperature. Based on the Goddard Earth Observing System Model (version 5) analyses, the average thermal tropopause height (Figs. 1b and 1f) over DJF MTC is around 90–100 hPa, higher than the 100–120 hPa seen in JJA SEA.

Figure 2 shows that polluted clouds defined by CO are associated with higher AOT than clean clouds for most values of IWC in both regions, except at large IWCs where aerosols are likely to be removed by precipitation. The aerosol concentrations are significantly higher in SEA than in MTC. The averaged difference in AOT for all IWCs between polluted and clean clouds is about 0.21 (45%) in SEA and 0.04 (16%) in MTC. For a given IWC, these polluted convective clouds have a smaller \( R_e \) than clean clouds, as noted previously (Jiang et al. 2008, 2009; Sherwood 2002a). The average \( R_e \) difference is about 1–2 \( \mu m \) (5%–10%). For ice clouds formed in situ, a few previous studies have indicated that increasing aerosols may not necessarily lead to reduced ice cloud particle size (e.g., DeMott et al. 2003 and references 5–7 therein). In JJA SEA, polluted clouds have higher H2O and temperature than clean clouds at both 147 and 100 hPa; these differences extend up to 83 hPa. In DJF
MTC, the increased H$_2$O and temperature in polluted clouds are evident at 121, 100, and 83 hPa but insignificant at 147 hPa, probably because of the higher tropopause and convective detrainment height in this region than in JJA SEA. In both cases, the H$_2$O difference at 100 hPa is approximately 0.2–0.5 ppmv, and the temperature difference is about 2 K. These differences are comparable to the magnitudes of the interannual anomalies for 100-hPa H$_2$O and temperature driven by large-scale dynamics (Fueglistaler and Haynes 2005). At 100 hPa, polluted clouds have lower relative humidity with respect to ice (RHi) than clean clouds, as the change in temperature dominates over the change in H$_2$O (figure not shown). The statistical significance of the different means between the polluted and clean clouds is examined using the two-sided Student’s $t$ test for all IWC bins (see the appendix). We find that the mean properties (AOT, $R_c$, $T$, and H$_2$O) for the polluted and clean clouds in each IWC bin are statistically different with 95% or greater confidence. When the error bars are large and nearly overlapping, the statistical significance is, as expected, low. Most of the differences shown in Fig. 2 are statistically significant at 95% confidence.

In Fig. 2, the 215-hPa IWC serves as an index for convective strength. The binning of cloud properties as a function of 215-hPa MLS IWC, with (a)–(d) JJA SEA and (e)–(h) DJF MTC. (a),(e) MODIS AOT; (b),(f) MODIS ice cloud effective radius $R_c$; (c),(g) MLS temperature; and (d),(h) MLS H$_2$O. The error bars denote the standard errors ($\sigma/\sqrt{N}$) of the bin averages.

FIG. 2. AOT, ice cloud particle size, temperature, and TTL H$_2$O for polluted and clean clouds, binned as a function of 215-hPa MLS IWC, with (a)–(d) JJA SEA and (e)–(h) DJF MTC. (a),(e) MODIS AOT; (b),(f) MODIS ice cloud effective radius $R_c$; (c),(g) MLS temperature; and (d),(h) MLS H$_2$O. The error bars denote the standard errors ($\sigma/\sqrt{N}$) of the bin averages.

One may conjecture that the increases of water vapor are caused by increases of temperature. To investigate this, we bin the polluted and clean cloud samples by their 100-hPa temperature rather than by their pollution loadings. Figure 3 shows that there is no significant difference in 100 hPa $T$ and H$_2$O between the polluted and clean clouds during boreal summer in SEA.

To test whether the 100-hPa $T$ and H$_2$O differences in the polluted and clean clouds may simply arise from different meteorological conditions, we group these cloud samples by their meteorological conditions instead of by their pollution loadings. Figure 4 shows that there is no significant difference in 100 hPa $T$ and H$_2$O between the
two groups of samples separated by different lower-tropospheric (850 hPa) humidity or CAPE from AIRS, nor by 850-hPa convergence or $\omega_{500}$ from NCEP, as indicated by the overlapping error bars. Grouping by $\omega_{500}$ or CAPE shows some separation in 100-hPa $T$ and $H_2O$ in some IWC bins, but the results are not consistent across all IWC bins. Similar results are found for 83- and 121-hPa $H_2O$ and for all deep clouds with intermediate CO values. The overlapping error bars are not sensitive to the cutoff values of the meteorological parameters chosen for grouping; taking a certain percentile of the samples results in even larger error bars, making a separation

![Fig. 3: The 100-hPa $H_2O$ for the polluted and clean clouds binned as a function of 100-hPa temperature for (a) JJA SEA and (b) DJF MTC.](image)

![Fig. 4: 100-hPa $H_2O$ (black) and $T$ (red) grouped by their meteorological conditions: (a) by AIRS 850-hPa water vapor mixing ratio; (b) by NCEP divergence at 850 hPa; (c) by NCEP $\omega_{500}$; and (d) by CAPE calculated from AIRS temperature and water vapor soundings. The choice of threshold values for each grouping ensures approximately the same number of samples for each group. All properties are binned as a function of 215-hPa MLS IWC, with (a)–(d) JJA SEA and (e)–(h) DJF MTC. The error bars denote the standard errors ($\sigma/\sqrt{N}$) of the bin averages.](image)
between groups more indiscernible. Only when these clouds are grouped by their pollution loadings, as shown in Fig. 2, is a clear separation in 100-hPa $T$ and $H_2O$ attained.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Analyzing the collocated temperature, water vapor, clouds, and pollution (CO and AOT) data, we have presented an observed linkage of pollution aerosols with the TTL temperature and water vapor, which is relevant to the water vapor transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere. The aerosol-induced water vapor change at 100 hPa in heavily polluted cloudy regions is up to 0.5 ppmv, comparable in magnitude to the interannual variations of stratospheric water vapor. The change of water vapor is associated with temperature changes near the tropopause. Differences in meteorological conditions (Fig. 4) do not correspond to as statistically significant differences in temperature and water vapor as do different pollution loadings (Fig. 2). Since our analyses are focused on two specific regions and seasons, the seasonal cycle and large-scale dynamic influence on temperature variations are minimized. The factor remaining that can be responsible for the temperature difference between polluted and clean clouds appears to be the pollution amount.

As our analysis pertains to deep ice clouds, we hypothesize that both direct and indirect effects of aerosols may be responsible for the increased TTL temperature. On the one hand, aerosols can be uplifted to the upper troposphere by deep convection. Since Asian pollution consists of large amounts of absorbing aerosols such as black carbon, the semidirect effect of absorbing aerosols may cause a local heating in the TTL. This is in line with the “Elevated-Heat-Pump” (EHP) effect proposed by Lau and Kim (2006) and Lau et al. (2006). On the other hand, the reduction of cirrus cloud particle size associated with increasing aerosols suggests that aerosols also alter the cirrus cloud properties (Figs. 2b and 2f). This can be achieved by aerosol microphysical effects on liquid clouds, which are subsequently lifted to form ice clouds of small particle sizes. In this case, even if aerosols are concentrated in the boundary layer, they can exert an impact on the cirrus clouds through deep convection (Sherwood 2002a). Smaller ice particles can produce greater local radiative heating than larger ice particles with the same IWC (Fu and Liou 1993). They also fall more slowly and have longer residence time, which may also contribute to a stronger heating effect in the TTL. However, the exact mechanisms cannot be determined from current observations alone.

The aerosol correlations with the TTL water vapor we emphasize here are complementary to the microphysical linkage among aerosols, cumulus, and stratospheric water vapor proposed in Sherwood (2002a). In Sherwood (2002a), the smaller ice particles caused by aerosol indirect effects evaporate more efficiently in a subsaturated environment, thus moistening the UT/LS. This mechanism is not necessarily accompanied by significant changes in the TTL temperature, while in our observational correlations, the change of TTL temperature is necessary for the change of TTL water vapor.

As discussed in the introduction, higher TTL temperature and water vapor may lead to increased water vapor transport into the stratosphere. Although the heavily polluted deep clouds, as we have defined them, account for only 1%–3% of the total collocated cloud and CO measurements, it may be important for climate models to take into account the aerosol moistening mechanism to accurately predict climate change. Further studies are needed to determine how changes in Asian pollution, superimposed on natural variability, perturb global stratospheric water vapor variations.
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APPENDIX

Statistical Test of the Results

The two-sided Student’s t test with unequal variance is used to examine the statistical significance of the two sample means for the polluted and clean clouds shown in Fig. 2. Table A1 shows the statistical significance for the different means in each IWC bins where the standard error bars ($\sigma/\sqrt{N}$) are marked. In general, the smaller errors and the larger distance between the polluted and clean error bars, the higher significance of the different means.
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