A study of the Arctic NO$_x$ budget above Eureka, Canada
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Four years of trace gas measurements have been acquired using the Bruker 125HR Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer installed at the Polar Environment Atmospheric Research Laboratory (PEARL) in the Canadian high Arctic. These have been compared with data from three models, namely the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model Data Assimilation System (CMAM-DAS), the Global Environmental Multiscale stratospheric model with the online Belgium Atmospheric CHemistry package (GEM-BACH), and the off-line 3D chemical transport model SLIMCAT to assess the total reactive nitrogen, NO$_x$, budget above Eureka, Nunavut (80.05°N, 86.42°W). The FTIR data have been also compared with satellite measurements by the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS). The FTIR is able to measure four of the five primary species that form NO$_x$: NO, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$, and ClONO$_2$, while the fifth, N$_2$O$_5$, was obtained using the N$_2$O$_5$/ (NO + NO$_2$) ratio derived from the models and ACE-FTS. Combining these results, a four-year time series of NO$_x$ 15–40 km partial columns was calculated. Comparisons with each model were made, revealing mean differences (± standard error of the mean) relative to the FTIR of (−16.0 ± 0.6)%, (5.5 ± 1.0)% and (−5.8 ± 0.4)% for CMAM-DAS, GEM-BACH, and SLIMCAT, respectively. The mean difference between the ACE-FTS and FTIR NO$_x$ partial columns was (5.6 ± 2.3)%. While we found no significant seasonal and interannual differences in the FTIR NO$_x$ stratospheric columns, the partial columns display nearly twice as much variability during the spring compared to the summer period.


1. Introduction

Reactive nitrogen species play an important role in the chemistry of the stratosphere. Nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$) are responsible for significant ozone destruction in the middle stratosphere and furthermore influence the partitioning of the hydrogen, chlorine, and bromine species in the lower stratosphere, thereby affecting ozone loss rates also in this region. Total reactive nitrogen (NO$_x$) is defined as

\[
\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 + \text{HNO}_3 + 2 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{ClONO}_2 + \text{BrONO}_2 + \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2.
\]

Approximately 97% of the NO$_x$ budget can be accounted for by NO, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$, ClONO$_2$, and N$_2$O$_5$ [Brohede et al., 2008]. Figure 1 shows the contribution of these five species to the NO$_x$ budget at Eureka as simulated by SLIMCAT for various seasons. The noon profiles were averaged by season from August 2006 to March 2010, (a) November–December–January (NDJ) corresponding to polar night (Figure 1a), February–March–April (FMA) corresponding to solar sunset (days of varying length from completely dark to completely light) (Figure 1b), May–June–July (MJJ) corresponding to 24 h sunlight (Figure 1c), and August–September–October (ASO) corresponding to polar sunset (as per FMA, reversed) (Figure 1d). Throughout the rest of
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In this paper, we refer to the sum of these five nitrogen species as 5-NO$_y$.

The main source of stratospheric NO$_y$ is oxidation of N$_2$O, which produces NO. NO is rapidly oxidized to NO$_2$ by reaction with O$_3$. NO$_2$, in turn, is subject to photolysis, regenerating NO. In the upper stratosphere (~40 km), where the timescale of exchange between NO and NO$_2$ is less than 100 s, a quasi-steady state is quickly established. NO and NO$_2$ have strong diurnal variability. As the sun sets, NO concentrations decrease, while the NO$_2$ concentrations increase. At sunrise, the process is reversed. This behavior is well described by photochemical box models [e.g., McLinden et al., 2000; Brohede et al., 2007]. A smaller and sporadic source of NO$_y$ is the precipitation of energetic particles that form NO in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, which can be transported downward into the polar stratosphere [e.g., Randall et al., 2005, 2007, 2009].

Figure 1. Contribution of the five primary reactive nitrogen species to the NO$_y$ budget at Eureka as simulated by SLIMCAT. The noon profiles are averaged by season from August 2006 to March 2010: (a) November–December–January (NDJ) corresponding to polar night, (b) February–March–April (FMA) corresponding to polar sunrise (days of varying length from completely dark to completely light), (c) May–June–July (MJJ) corresponding to 24 h sunlight, and (d) August–September–October (ASO) corresponding to polar sunset (as per FMA, reversed).
This contribution constitutes approximately 2% of the total global budget of NOx, but can be higher in the polar regions. 

[4] The sinks of stratospheric NOx include transport into the troposphere and photolysis in the upper stratosphere (usually above 40 km). During the polar night and early spring, HNO3 may be removed from the gas phase and trapped in polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) through heterogeneous reactions. If these particles grow sufficiently large, they undergo sedimentation, resulting in NOy being removed from the stratosphere by the process of denitrification [Fahey et al., 1989; Jin et al., 2006; Santee et al., 2008]. Evaporation of these particles at lower altitudes can release HNO3, renitrifying the lower atmosphere [Dibb et al., 2006; Grossel et al., 2010].

[5] Recent studies have shown that N2O is increasing at a rate of 2.6% per decade [Forster et al., 2007] and it has been described as the most important anthropogenic ozone-depleting substance emitted today [Ravishankara et al., 2009]. On the other hand, the stratospheric effects of climate change are predicted to reduce the NOy/N2O ratio [Plummer et al., 2010], so the future evolution of NOy is unclear. This makes measurements of long-term changes in NOy of particular scientific interest.

[6] Efforts have been made to measure NOx from space since 1978, when the Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere (LIMS) satellite instrument was launched onboard Nimbus-7 and measured HNO3 and NO2 [Gille and Russell, 1984]. Later, NO and NO2 measurements made by the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) were combined with HNO3 and CINO2 measurements made by the Cryogenic Limb Array Etalon Spectrometer (CLAES) to determine NOy for 1992–1994 [Danilin et al., 1999]. The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), launched in 2002 onboard the European Environmental Satellite (ENVISAT), was the first satellite instrument to measure all five primary NOx species [Mengistu Tsidu et al., 2005]. In 2003, the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) onboard SCISAT was launched [Bernath et al., 2005]. It remains operational and measures the five primary NOx species and HNO4, from which a global NOx climatology has recently been derived [Jones et al., 2011].

[7] Stratospheric reactive nitrogen has been also measured by other techniques using balloon-borne instruments [e.g., Ridley et al., 1984; Kondo et al., 1994] and in situ lower stratospheric aircraft sampling [e.g., Kawano et al., 1992]. During the spring and summer of 1997, a coordinated campaign of balloon, aircraft, and ground-based measurements of the atmospheric composition was conducted from Fairbanks, Alaska (65°N, 148°W), to gain a more direct and quantitative understanding of the reasons for seasonal ozone loss observed during the high-latitude summer [Toon et al., 1999]. As part of the Photochemistry of Ozone Loss in the Arctic Region in Summer (POLARIS) campaign, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) performed two balloon flights of the MiKIV interferometer from Fairbanks and also performed ground-based Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) column observations. These captured the temporal evolution of the column abundances of the NOy to NO2 ratio, and were compared with similar ground-based measurements performed at Ny Ålesund, Spitzbergen (79°N, 12°E). NOy was obtained by summing the individual column abundances of NO + NO2 + HNO3 + ClONO2, without considering N2O5. FTIR measurements of individual NOx primary species have been made at other polar stations: Kiruna, Harestua, Ny Ålesund, and Ersange [Mellqvist et al., 2002], Kiruna [Griesfeller et al., 2006], Eureka and Thule [Farahani et al., 2007], and Arrival Heights [Wood et al., 2004].

[8] The goals of this work are to derive an NOy partial column data product from ground-based FTIR measurements at Eureka in the Canadian high Arctic, to use the resulting four-year time series to assess seasonal and interannual variability, and to compare the results with three atmospheric models and satellite data.

[9] This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the measurement site and the ground-based and satellite instruments. Section 3 describes the three atmospheric models. Section 4 presents the comparison of the model and FTIR results. Section 5 presents the comparison of the ACE-FTS and the FTIR data for four Canadian Arctic ACE Validation Campaigns conducted during the springs of 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010. Section 6 discusses the seasonal and interannual variability of NOy seen in the FTIR measurements, and section 7 summarizes the results.

2. Instruments

2.1. CANDAC Bruker IFS 125HR

[10] The Polar Environment Atmospheric Research Laboratory (PEARL) was established in 2005 by the Canadian Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Change (CANDAC). It is located on Ellesmere Island at Eureka, Nunavut (80.05°N, 86.42°W), 610 m above sea level. The Bruker 125HR FTIR spectrometer (henceforth, the FTIR) was installed in July 2006 and is a high-resolution spectrometer that records solar absorption spectra throughout the sunlit part of the year (mid-February to mid-October). As a comprehensive description of the instrument is given by Batchelor et al. [2009], we mention here only its main characteristics. The FTIR uses a sequence of seven narrowband interference filters covering the mid-infrared spectral range (600–4300 cm⁻¹), while measuring with either an InSb or an HgCdTe detector with a KB splitter. The solar absorption measurements consist of two or four co-added interferograms recorded in both the forward and backward directions at a resolution of 0.0035 cm⁻¹ (the maximum optical path difference is 257 cm), which are then Fourier transformed to yield the spectrum. No apodization is applied to the interferograms.

[11] The altitude-dependent volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles were retrieved from the spectra using SFIT2 [Pougatchev et al., 1995], a profile retrieval algorithm that employs the Optimal Estimation Method (OEM) developed by Rodgers [2000]. The OEM is a regularization method that retrieves VMR profiles from a statistical weighting of the a priori information and the measurements. The averaging kernel matrix produced during the iterative process can be used to characterize the information content of the retrievals. The VMR profiles are converted to density profiles using temperature and pressure profiles and integrated throughout the column to yield the column densities. Partial column densities of NO, NO2, HNO3, and ClONO2 for the 15–40 km range were derived. This altitude range was chosen based on the averaging kernels (the rows of the averaging kernel
matrix) and the sensitivity (the sum of the elements of the averaging kernels) for each of these four species [Vigouroux et al., 2008]. The latter indicates the fraction of the retrieval at each altitude that comes from the measurement rather than from the a priori. The numbers on the right indicate the altitude of each averaging kernel. The degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS), defined as the trace of the averaging kernel matrix, are also included.

Figure 2. Typical FTIR layer averaging kernels for 6 March 2009 for (a) NO, (b) NO₂, (c) HNO₃, and (d) ClONO₂. The dashed line represents the sensitivity; i.e., the fraction of information coming from the measurement rather than from the a priori. The numbers on the right indicate the altitude of each averaging kernel. The degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS), defined as the trace of the averaging kernel matrix, are also included.

Figure 3. Typical FTIR column averaging kernels for 6 March 2009 for (a) NO, (b) NO₂, (c) HNO₃, and (d) ClONO₂. The red dashed line represents the 15–40 km partial column averaging kernels, and for comparison, the 0.61–100 km total column averaging kernels (black continuous lines) for the same spectra. SFIT2 v.3.92c and the HITRAN 2004+ updates line list [Rothman et al., 2005] were used for the retrievals. The VMR a priori profiles were derived from a variety of climatological data sets. For NO and NO₂, more than 7000 HALOE profiles from 1991 to 2005 were used [Gordley et al., 1996] (http://haloedata.larc.nasa.gov/home/index.php). Monthly mean VMRs reported in the SPARC2000
Table 1. Summary of Retrieval Microwindows (or Multimicrowindows), Interfering Species, $S_a$, Interlayer Correlation (ILC) Parameter, Degrees of Freedom for Signal (DOFS), and Estimated Total Errors for the Four NO$_x$ Species Retrieved From the FTIR Spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Microwindow(s) (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interfering Species</th>
<th>$S_a$ (%)</th>
<th>ILC (km)</th>
<th>DOFS</th>
<th>Total Measurement Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1875.645–1875.840</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1899.850–1900.150</td>
<td>N$_2$O, CO$_2$, H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1900.450–1900.550</td>
<td>CO$_2$, H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1903.070–1903.180</td>
<td>CO$_2$, H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906.100–1906.200</td>
<td>CO$_2$, H$_2$O, N$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>2914.590–2914.707</td>
<td>CH$_4$, CH$_3$D</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2918.100–2918.350</td>
<td>CH$_4$, CH$_3$D, H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2919.400–2919.650</td>
<td>CH$_4$, CH$_3$D, H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2922.360–2922.750</td>
<td>CH$_4$, H$_2$O, HDO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2924.750–2924.925</td>
<td>CH$_4$, H$_2$O, HDO, OCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO$_3$</td>
<td>867.500–870.000</td>
<td>H$_2$O, OCS, NH$_3$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClONO$_2$</td>
<td>779.850–780.45</td>
<td>O$_3$ (P), CO$_2$ (P), HNO$_3$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>22.5$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>782.550–782.870</td>
<td>O$_3$ (P), CO$_2$ (P), H$_2$O, HNO$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>938.300–939.300</td>
<td>CO$_2$ (P)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The multimicrowindows are fitted simultaneously. Interfering species are usually scale fitted, profile fitting being indicated by (P). DOFS were calculated as the trace of $A$, the averaging kernel matrix, and a mean value is given below for the entire measurement interval. The total error was calculated as described by Batchelor et al. [2009], with individual errors resulting from measurement, model parameter, and interference errors added in quadrature. A mean total error is shown for the February–October period.

$^b$In the case of ClONO$_2$ total errors are much lower during the sunrise period, ~4%, and higher during summer, ~50%.

compilations were used for HNO$_3$ [Randel et al., 2002] (http://www.sparc.sunysb.edu/html/updates.html), while MIPAS VMR profiles from 2002 to 2004 were used for ClONO$_2$ [Höpfner et al., 2007]. For each species, the a priori profiles were taken to be the zonally averaged mean VMR profiles of the climatological data sets available at altitudes higher than 65°N. The mean profiles were calculated taking into account the nonuniform temporal distribution of input profiles, to eliminate sampling biases.

[13] The same data sets were used to calculate VMR variances as a function of altitude. From these, the largest values were adopted as the diagonal elements of the a priori $S_a$ covariance matrix to ensure that $S_a$ encompassed the full range of observed variability. For ClONO$_2$, whose VMR profile experiences substantial seasonal variations (by a factor of two or greater), a variance of 100% was used for the diagonal elements of $S_a$. An interlayer correlation (ILC) parameter was also determined from correlation matrices calculated using the same climatological data sets and was used to generate the off-diagonal elements of $S_a$ based on a Gaussian distribution. See Table 1 for the diagonal values of $S_a$ and the ILC.

[14] The ad hoc signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) used for determining the measurement covariances were selected for each gas using the trade-off curve method described by Batchelor et al. [2009]. Daily pressure-temperature profiles were obtained from the average of the twice-daily radiosondes launched at Eureka, supplemented with the National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) profiles above the maximum altitudes of the radiosondes, and with the 1976 U.S. standard atmosphere profile above 50 km. A summary of the spectral fitting microwindows that have been used for each gas, the fitted interfering species, the DOFS, and an estimated error in the partial column is given in Table 1.

[15] The error calculations in this work are based on the methodology of Rodgers [1976, 1990]. In addition to the smoothing ($S_s$) and measurement ($S_m$) errors, forward model parameter errors have been calculated as described by Rodgers [2000] using a perturbation method and our best estimate of the uncertainties in temperature ($S_{temp}$), line intensity ($S_{int}$), air-broadened half width ($S_{width}$), and solar zenith angle ($S_{sza}$). The uncertainty used for the temperature error calculation was in the range 2 to 9 K, depending on the altitude. For the SZA an uncertainty of 0.125° was used, while for the line parameters the uncertainty was determined from the maximum uncertainty within the range quoted in the HITRAN 2004 linelist. Interference errors, as described by Rodgers and Connor [2003] have been calculated to account for uncertainties in retrieval parameters (i.e., wavelength shift, instrument line shape, background slope and curvature, and phase error) and in interfering gases simultaneously retrieved. These interference errors are referred to as $S_{int1}$ and $S_{int2}$, respectively. The error budget calculation is described in depth by Batchelor et al. [2009].

[16] The total measurement error ($S_{total}$) has been determined by adding all components in quadrature and not taking into account differences between the random and systematic components:

$$S_{total} = \left( S_{int1}^2 + S_{int2}^2 + S_{sza}^2 + S_{width}^2 + S_{temp}^2 + S_{int}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

[17] In this study, the smoothing error was excluded from this total as this was accounted for when the comparison profiles were smoothed by the FTIR averaging kernels (as described in section 4.1). The total measurement error shown in Table 1 was calculated excluding the smoothing error.

2.2. ACE-FTS

[18] The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (or SCISAT) Canadian satellite mission was launched in August 2003 and orbits the Earth in a 74° inclined circular orbit at an altitude of 650 km [Bernath et al., 2005]. Working in solar occultation, the ACE instruments provide profile information from 85°N to 85°S for temperature, pressure, and more than 30 different atmospheric species. The satellite has overpasses above Eureka during polar sunrise (February–March), when
ozone depletion chemistry can be observed, and again near polar sunset in September–October.

[19] The primary ACE instrument is a high resolution (0.02 cm\(^{-1}\)) Fourier transform spectrometer (henceforth the ACE-FTS), that has a similar spectral range to the FTIR, from 750 to 4400 cm\(^{-1}\), but a considerably higher vertical resolution due to the limb-sounding geometry [Bernath et al., 2005]. Pressure and temperature profiles are determined from the ACE-FTS spectra (based on a detailed CO\(_2\) analysis) and further used to retrieve trace gas volume mixing ratio profiles with a nonlinear least squares fitting algorithm described by Boone et al. [2005]. The data set used for this comparison is v2.2 with updates for N\(_2\)O\(_2\).

3. Models

3.1. CMAM-DAS

[20] The Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM) is an upward extension of the Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis (CCCma) third-generation atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM3). The middle atmosphere component includes comprehensive interactive treatments of stratospheric chemistry, radiative processes and wave-driven dynamics. Detailed descriptions are given by de Grandpré et al. [2000] and Scinocca et al. [2008]. The chemical model includes all the relevant catalytic ozone loss cycles and heterogeneous reactions on sulphate aerosols and PSCs. It includes parameterizations for Type 1b PSCs (super-cooled ternary solutions, STS) and Type 2 PSCs (water ice), while there is no treatment for Type 1a PSCs (nitric acid trihydrate, NAT particles). Sedimentation of particles is not implemented and thus the model does not simulate denitrification, i.e., the permanent removal of NO\(_x\) through the sedimentation of PSC particles in the stratospheric winter polar vortices.

[21] In this work, data are taken from the data assimilation version of the model, CMAM-DAS, and in particular from its recent International Polar Year (IPY) simulation, covering the period February 2007 to August 2009. As opposed to the climate version of the model, CMAM-DAS assimilates the observed day-to-day variations in meteorology, enabling direct comparisons of the simulated chemical species distribution with observations for specific years. CMAM-DAS runs at T47 spectral horizontal resolution (corresponding to roughly 3.75\(^\circ\) \times 3.75\(^\circ\) grid spacing) and employs 71 levels in the vertical, with a resolution that varies from several hundred meters in the lower troposphere to \(\sim 1\ km\) near 20 km, and \(\sim 2.5\ km\) from 60 km to the model lid near 100 km. It uses a 3-D variational assimilation scheme to assimilate observations from surface stations, radiosondes, aircrafts, cloud drift winds and AMSU-A brightness temperatures from the NOAA-15 and NOAA-16 satellites [Polavarapu et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2011].

3.2. GEM-BACH

[22] The GEM-BACH model consists of the Canadian Global Environmental Multiscale (GEM) model in combination with the Belgium Atmospheric Chemistry Module (BACH). A detailed description of the model is given by de Grandpré et al. [2009]. The model is based on the stratospheric version (GEM-Strato) of the Canadian GEM model [Côté et al., 1998] which has been coupled online with a comprehensive module of stratospheric chemistry developed at BIRA-IASB. The model has 80 levels, including 27 in the stratosphere, and runs at a horizontal resolution of 1.5\(^\circ\) with a lid at 0.1 hPa. It uses semi-implicit and semi-Lagrangian numerical techniques optimized to handle a large number of advection equations for the transport of species. Radiative processes are computed according to the correlated-k distribution approach [Li and Barker, 2005], which has the accuracy of a line-by-line code.

[23] The Belgium Atmospheric Chemistry module is the foundation of the Belgian Assimilation System of Chemical Observations from the Environmental Satellite (Envisat) chemical transport model (BASCOE CTM) [Daerden et al., 2007] and assimilation system [Errera et al., 2008; Viscardy et al., 2010]. It includes 57 species that interact through 143 gas-phase, 48 photolysis, and 9 heterogeneous reactions. We use here a long run of GEM-BACH realized specifically for the International Polar Year (IPY run) and covering the period from March 2007 to February 2009. In this version, the chemical and photodissociation rates follow the Jet Propulsion Laboratory compilation by Sander et al. [2003]. While heterogeneous reactions on the surface of PSC particles are explicitly taken into account, the surface area available for these reactions is parameterized in a crude manner. Type 2 PSCs (water ice) are set to appear at temperatures below 186 K with a surface area density of \(5 \times 10^{-6}\ \text{cm}^2/\text{cm}^3\). Between 186 K and 194 K, they are replaced by Type 1a (NAT) PSCs with the same surface area density. In this temperature range, the sedimentation of NAT PSCs is represented by an exponential loss of HNO\(_3\) with a characteristic time of 100 days.

3.3. SLIMCAT

[24] The updated Single-Layer Isentropic Model of Chemistry and Transport (SLIMCAT) is a 3-D off-line chemical transport model (CTM) [Chipperfield, 2006]. It differs from a general circulation model in that the chemistry component is not integrated into the dynamical model, but is off-line and performed separately for each dynamical time step. This model has been used for many studies of ozone and ozone related gases in the polar regions [e.g., Chipperfield and Jones, 1999; Solomon et al., 2002; Manney et al., 2009]. The model uses winds and temperatures from meteorological analyses of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) to specify the atmospheric transport and temperatures, and calculates the abundances of chemical species in the troposphere and stratosphere. With hybrid \(\sigma-\theta\) vertical coordinate levels (terrain-following sigma coordinates combined with isentropic levels in the stratosphere) and a sophisticated radiation scheme (a Delta-Eddington approximation-based radiation scheme [Joseph et al., 1976; Briegleb, 1992]), it produces realistic representations of tracer transport.

[25] The simulation analyzed here has 2.8\(^\circ\) \times 2.8\(^\circ\) horizontal resolution, with 50 levels from the surface to 3000 K (\(\sim 60\ km\)), using purely isentropic surfaces above 350 K. The model uses a limited number of chemical families containing species which are in rapid photochemical equilibrium, in order to reduce the number of gases that must be advected. Reactions are generally computed using the photochemical data of Sander et al. [2003], with photolysis rates calculated using a scheme based on work by Lary and Pyle.
Table 2. Box Model Errors From Scaling to Local Noon, Estimated as Described in the Text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>HNO₃</th>
<th>N₂O₅</th>
<th>ClONO₂</th>
<th>5-NO₂</th>
<th>4-NO₂</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1991]. Reactions occurring on the surface of NAT, liquid STS, and ice PSC particles are included in the SLIMCAT model. Denitrification and dehydration are treated using a simple sedimentation scheme.

4. Model Comparisons

4.1. Methodology

[26] In order to facilitate a consistent comparison between the FTIR and the models, FTIR VMR profiles of NO, NO₂, and ClONO₂, which exhibit strong diurnal variations, were scaled to a corresponding noon profile using a photochemical box model [McLinden et al., 2000]. The box model was initialized with monthly mean climatologies of temperature, ozone, NO₃, and other long-lived species. A default albedo of 0.7 was assumed. When these assumed values do not correspond to the actual atmospheric values, there will be an error in the scaling. Furthermore, there is a degree of uncertainty associated with all input photochemical rate constants and absorption coefficients.

[27] In order to estimate the error from the scaling to local noon, we considered the worst case—scanning from a SZA of 90° to local noon—since this (generally) represents the largest scaling. This was done for several days throughout the year (days 60–240 in 30 day increments). For polar day, the maximum SZA was used instead of 90°. Each model parameter was varied by a measure of its uncertainty, and the scaling factors were recalculated and compared with the standard values used in the analysis. A list of these parameters and the amount by which they were varied are as follows: temperature: 10 K, ozone: 10%, NO₃: 20%, NO₂: 0.5, rate constant NO+O₅: 40%, rate constant NO₂+O: 14%, rate constant HNO₃+OH: 20%, jHNO₃: 10%, jNO₂: 10%, and jN₂O₅: 10%.

[28] The resultant error for each of these terms was added in quadrature for each NOₓ species measured by the FTIR. The total relative error in NO₃ or NO₂ was then constructed by weighting the total uncertainty for each species by its total column (as simulated in the box model). As both morning and afternoon observations were used, and their scaling factors and uncertainties are not the same, the larger of the two was taken to represent the uncertainty for the day in question. The results are shown in Table 2.

[29] The largest errors occur in NO₂, and to a lesser extent NO and N₂O₅, with the largest contributions from the uncertainty in surface albedo and the photochemical reactions NO+O₃ and NO₂+O. The large uncertainty assigned to albedo stems from the variability in snow and/or cloud cover. The behavior of the overall error in NO₃ is a result of two main factors: (1) the fraction of the total NOₓ column present as HNO₃, which is least sensitive to errors in the input parameters, and which varies with time of year; and (2) the amount by which the scaling factor departs from unity—an indicator of the difference in SZA between 90° and local noon. That is, when local noon occurs at larger SZAs, the scaling is closer to unity and thus not as sensitive to uncertainties in the input parameters. Likewise, when the maximum SZA is smaller than 90°, the required scaling is also closer to unity. The error in NO₃ is an overestimate as the error sources that affect the partitioning between NO and NO₂ will largely cancel in their sum. No scaling to noon was performed for HNO₃, whose diurnal variation is negligible compared to the other species. Partial columns were then calculated for each gas, followed by a “daily mean” partial column calculation.

[30] For each model, the VMR profiles were chosen for the model grid point closest to Eureka. The noon model VMR profiles were interpolated on to the FTIR retrieval grid and smoothed by the FTIR averaging kernels and a priori VMR profiles according to the method of Rodgers and Connor [2003]:

\[ x_s = x_a + A(x_m - x_s) \]  

where \( x_s \) is the smoothed profile, \( x_a \) is the FTIR a priori profile, \( A \) is the FTIR averaging kernel matrix, and \( x_m \) is the model’s VMR profile. The smoothing accounts for the lower vertical resolution and a priori profile of the FTIR measurements when comparing to other measurements or model calculations having higher vertical resolution. Partial columns were then calculated from each smoothed profile, using atmospheric densities provided with each model. For the stratospheric species considered in this study, smoothing typically increases the partial columns, since the averaging kernels are weighted to the stratosphere.

4.2. Results and Discussion

[31] The resulting time series for the smoothed partial columns from the three models were compared with the FTIR measurements. Figure 4 shows the comparisons with CMAM-DAS for NO, NO₂, HNO₃, and ClONO₂. The CMAM-DAS NO partial columns match the FTIR columns in early spring but become larger, on average by 16%, during late spring and summer. The CMAM-DAS NO₂ partial columns are consistently larger on average by 8% throughout each year, the difference being most pronounced in the spring of 2007. The CMAM-DAS HNO₃ and ClONO₂ partial columns are smaller than the FTIR columns, with the largest differences occurring during summer for HNO₃ and during the spring for ClONO₂. The ClONO₂ bias is due to a known issue in CMAM-DAS with the partitioning of chlorine reservoir species in the polar vortex, resulting in overestimated HCl mixing ratios and underestimated ClONO₂ mixing ratios. The HNO₃ bias is currently not well understood. In a recent comparison of NOₓ-N₂O correlations between a variety of coupled chemistry-climate models and data from MIPAS and the NASA ER-2 aircraft [cf. Eyre et al., 2010, Figure 6.14] CMAM showed excellent agreement with the observation. (Note that HNO₃ constitutes the largest fraction of NOₓ.) This inconsistency between the
Eyring et al. [2010] study and the results presented here needs further analysis.

[32] Similar plots are shown in Figure 5 for GEM-BACH. For NO and NO$_2$, GEM-BACH has consistently larger partial columns (on average by 18 and 20%, respectively), especially during the summer. The GEM-BACH HNO$_3$ partial columns match the FTIR well during the spring of 2007, but are slightly smaller than the FTIR for the rest of the comparison period (by approximately 10%). This shows the shortcoming of the GEM-BACH parameterization of denitrification, i.e., irreversible loss of HNO$_3$ as soon as NAT PSCs appear. For ClONO$_2$, GEM-BACH consistently delivers significantly larger partial columns than observed by the FTIR. This is most probably due to the model neglecting Type Ib PSCs (supercooled ternary solutions), while they play an important role in the wintertime heterogeneous loss of ClONO$_2$ in the Arctic [e.g., Hanisco et al., 2002]. It should be noted that the PSC parameterization in GEM-BACH (see section 3.2) was adjusted for ozone depletion processes above the Antarctic and was not checked previously against Arctic observations.

[33] SLIMCAT partial columns show the best agreement with the FTIR data, as seen in Figure 6. For NO, SLIMCAT matches the measured partial columns very closely, with the exception of some enhanced columns measured in summer. The SLIMCAT NO$_2$ partial columns are larger than the measurements, by approximately 5% for the entire comparison interval. For HNO$_3$, the SLIMCAT partial columns agree within approximately 2% with the measured partial columns except during the early springs, when they peak earlier than the FTIR. ClONO$_2$ partial columns agree within approximately 2% during all four spring seasons, but during the summer–fall periods, SLIMCAT partial columns are larger than the measurements. Model comparisons are extremely difficult around the polar vortex edge due to the resolution of the models, and this may be contributing to the difficulty all the models have in capturing the observations at this time of year.

[34] To obtain an estimate of the NO$_y$ partial columns, the partial columns for NO, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$, and ClONO$_2$ were added for the FTIR and the three models. This product is henceforth called 4-NO$_y$. The results are shown in Figure 7. The mean percentage difference between each model and the FTIR measurements was calculated as:

$$\Delta \% = \frac{100}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\text{MODEL}_i^0 - \text{FTIR}_i^0}{\text{FTIR}_i^0} \right)$$

where $\text{FTIR}_i^0$ is the partial column measured by the FTIR and $\text{MODEL}_i^0$ is the partial column simulated by the model, for day $i$.

[35] Figure 7a compares CMAM-DAS 4-NO$_y$ with the FTIR and shows that the model partial columns generally are smaller than those measured by the FTIR. During the 2007 spring, the partial columns match well, but throughout rest of the period, the model partial columns are consistently smaller than the FTIR columns. Figure 7b shows the mean
Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 but for the FTIR and smoothed GEM-BACH.

Figure 6. Same as Figure 4 but for the FTIR and smoothed SLIMCAT.
percentage difference for the compared pairs, \((-17.7 \pm 0.5)\%\), where the given error, here and henceforth throughout the paper, is the standard error of the mean. The standard error of the mean relative difference between the model and FTIR partial column (\(\text{sem, in percent}\)) has been evaluated as \(sd/\sqrt{N}\), in which \(sd\) is the statistical 1-sigma (1\(\sigma\)) standard deviation of the observed differences, and \(N\) is the number of coincidences. The \(\text{sem}\) provides a measure of the significance of an observed bias [De Mazière et al., 2008]. The standard deviation about the mean, 7.6\%, is also shown. For this comparison, the negative bias is a consequence of the low bias in the CMAM-DAS HNO\(_3\) partial columns (Figure 4), as HNO\(_3\) dominates the NO\(_x\) budget.

For the GEM-BACH comparison seen in Figure 7c, the agreement with the FTIR is good. There is a slight positive bias of \((5.0 \pm 0.8)\%\) (Figure 7d), indicating that the model partial columns are slightly larger. The standard deviation in this case is 9.7\%. For the SLIMCAT comparison (Figure 7e) the model partial columns are smaller than those measured by the FTIR during the spring of each year, and better match the measurements during the summer. The mean bias in this case is negative, \((-6.3 \pm 0.5)\%\), as shown in Figure 7f, with a standard deviation of 8.4\%. For the
The FTIR measures four of the five NO\textsubscript{x} primary species: NO, NO\textsubscript{2}, HNO\textsubscript{3}, and ClONO\textsubscript{2}. Since N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} also contributes significantly to the Arctic NO\textsubscript{x} budget, as shown in Figure 1, we used the simulated N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/NO\textsubscript{x} partial column ratios from the models discussed above to calculate N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}. The resulting NO\textsubscript{x} product, including all five primary NO\textsubscript{x} species (henceforth called 5-NO\textsubscript{x}), was derived as follows:

\[
5\text{-NO}_x^{\text{FTIR}} = \text{NO}_x^{\text{FTIR}} + \text{NO}_2^{\text{FTIR}} + \text{HNO}_3^{\text{FTIR}} + \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{FTIR}} + 2 \times \left( \frac{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{model}}}{\text{NO}_x^{\text{model}}} \right) \times \text{NO}_x^{\text{FTIR}} \tag{5}
\]

where N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}^{\text{model}}/NO\textsubscript{x}^{\text{model}} is the model ratio, and NO\textsubscript{x}^{\text{FTIR}} is the measured NO\textsubscript{x} partial column. Figure 8 shows these ratios calculated for each model. For all three models, the summer ratios are near zero due to 24 h daylight and hence very similar, however differences are seen for early spring and fall–winter, with CMAM-DAS ratios significantly higher than those from GEM-BACH and SLIMCAT. This discrepancy is most likely due to the less detailed transport scheme in CMAM-DAS as compared to the other models. While GEM-BACH transports every species separately and SLIMCAT transports all nitrogen species separately except NO\textsubscript{x} (NO + NO\textsubscript{2}), in CMAM-DAS four of the shorter-lived nitrogen species (NO + NO\textsubscript{2} + NO\textsubscript{3} + 2 \times N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}) are transported together. Bulk-transport by chemical family is done generally to minimize the computational cost of a transport scheme and to avoid strong gradients in advected fields. This means that after each transport step in the model, the advected family is split apart into its individual family members using the partitioning ratios saved on the grid during the previous time step. The partitioning between NO and NO\textsubscript{2} is very fast and completely dependent on sunlight, so the NO concentration goes to zero in the night in all three models. But the partitioning between NO\textsubscript{x} and the longer-lived species; e.g., N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} and HNO\textsubscript{3}, is slower. Both GEM-BACH and SLIMCAT see substantial values of NO\textsubscript{x} in the polar night, which is evidence of air masses that have recently been exposed to sunlight. Since in CMAM-DAS the partitioning is locked down on to the model grid, nitrogen oxides from lower latitudes can be advected into the polar night but when the advected quantity is split apart into the separate species, the partitioning will be that of an air mass that has been in the dark for a long time, which explains why CMAM-DAS displays near-zero NO\textsubscript{x} (Figure 4) and an anomalous N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/NO\textsubscript{x} ratio throughout the winter (Figure 8).

5. ACE-FTS Comparisons

5.1. Methodology

The methodology for comparing ACE-FTS data with the FTIR was described by Batchelor et al. [2010]. In brief, the following filtering criteria were applied to the VMR profiles: a time difference of less than \(\pm 12\) h, a spatial...
difference of \( \leq 1000 \) km, and a maximum temperature difference of \(< 10 \) K between the sampled air masses at each altitude between 15 and 40 km. In addition, the scaled potential vorticity (sPV) differences between the FTIR and ACE-FTS at each altitude along the line-of-sight were restricted to less than \( 0.3 \times 10^{-4} \) s\(^{-1}\), ensuring that the sampled air masses were similar for both measurements relative to the polar vortex edge. sPV was derived for both ACE-FTS and FTIR at locations along the line-of-sight using the GEOS Version 5.0.1 (GEOS-5) analyses [Reinecker et al., 2008]. sPV is the PV scaled in vorticity units, providing a field with a similar range of values at altitudes throughout the stratosphere [e.g., Dunkerton and Delisi, 1986; Manney et al., 1994; Manney et al., 2007, and references therein]. An sPV value of \( 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \) s\(^{-1}\) was used as a proxy for the outer edge of the polar vortex and an sPV value of \( 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \) s\(^{-1}\) was used for the inner edge, as these values are typically in the vortex edge region in the stratosphere [Manney et al., 2008].

For all coincident ACE-FTS NO\(_x\), NO\(_2\), and ClONO\(_2\) profiles, a scaling to noon was also performed to account for the diurnal variation of these species. The ACE-FTS profiles were then interpolated on to the FTIR retrieval grid and smoothed by the FTIR averaging kernels and a priori profiles, using equation (3). Partial columns were calculated for the altitude range 15–40 km to match the FTIR partial columns, and finally, the “daily mean” partial columns were derived for those cases when more than one ACE-FTS measurement was found for a particular day. The 4-NO\(_x\) and 5-NO\(_y\) were then calculated for both the FTIR and ACE-FTS. To calculate 5-NO\(_y\) for the FTIR, the ACE-FTS N\(_2\)O\(_3)/NO\(_x\) ratios were used, applying equation (5), where the model ratio was replaced by the ACE-FTS ratio.

5.2. Results and Discussion

The quality of the ACE-FTS measurements has been demonstrated by previous studies. Kerzenmacher et al. [2008] provide an assessment of ACE-FTS v2.2 NO and NO\(_2\). A companion paper by Wolff et al. [2008] provides an assessment of the quality of ACE-FTS v2.2 HNO\(_3\), ClONO\(_2\), and the ACE-FTS v2.2 N\(_2\)O\(_3\) update. Both studies included comparisons with globally distributed ground-based FTIR spectrometers affiliated with the Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC). For the comparisons of ACE-FTS NO partial columns with six ground-based FTIRs, the mean differences (ACE-FTS – FTIRs) were between –14.5 and –67.5\%, becoming more negative from South to North [Kerzenmacher et al., 2008]. Partial column comparisons for NO\(_2\) showed a mean difference of +7.3\% between the ACE-FTS instrument and the same six ground-based FTIR spectrometers.

For HNO\(_3\), the comparison with nine ground-based FTIRs showed an agreement within the range –12.6 to +6.0\% [Wolff et al., 2008]. For ClONO\(_2\), the same study showed an agreement within the range –8.8\% to +8.9\%. For N\(_2\)O\(_3\), there are no comparisons with ground-based FTIRs, but the comparison with MIPAS on ENVISAT using the Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung and Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IMK-IAA) data processor showed a low bias of the ACE-FTS relative to MIPAS IMK-IAA of –0.25 ppbv at an altitude of 30 km (the altitude of maximum N\(_2\)O\(_3\)). The mean absolute differences at lower altitudes (16–27 km) were found to be typically –0.05 ppbv for MIPAS nighttime and ±0.02 ppbv for MIPAS daytime measurements [Wolff et al., 2008].

For the 5-NO\(_y\) product, approximately two years of overlap between Odin and ACE permitted the comparison of Odin NO\(_y\) climatology [Brohede et al., 2008] with an ACE-FTS climatology [Jones et al., 2011]. The comparison was made for pressure surfaces up to 1 hPa and gave absolute differences better than 2 ppbv, equivalent to relative differences less than 20\%.

Figure 9a shows the comparison between ACE-FTS and the FTIR 5-NO\(_y\) partial columns using all coincidences between 2007 and 2010. Figure 9b shows the percentage differences between the two instruments (ACE-FTS – FTIR)/FTIR. There were fewer coincidences for 2007 and 2008 than for 2009 and 2010. This is a consequence of the number of FTIR spectra acquired during each spring campaign, which is influenced by the local meteorological conditions at Eureka. Table 3 gives the breakdown of the mean percentage differences, the standard deviation, and the standard error on the mean by year. For both 4-NO\(_x\) and 5-NO\(_y\), 2007 was a year when the polar vortex was located...
above Eureka for more than 10 days. For these coincidences, the ACE-FTS measurements were consistently larger than those of the FTIR, as shown in Figure 9b. During the following years, the instruments sampled most of the time through the vortex edge and the comparisons show both positive and negative differences. Overall, there is a positive mean bias for all years, with ACE-FTS higher than the FTIR. Results for 4-NO\textsubscript{y} and 5-NO\textsubscript{y} are similar, except for 2008, for which the 5-NO\textsubscript{y} difference (0.8%) is much smaller than that for 4-NO\textsubscript{y} (9.1%). Over the entire comparison period, the mean percentage difference for 5-NO\textsubscript{y} is (5.6 \pm 2.3)%, with a standard deviation of 12.2%. This agreement between the ACE-FTS and the FTIR provides confidence in the NO\textsubscript{y} product derived from ground-based FTIR measurements at Eureka.

6. Seasonal and Interannual Variability of NO\textsubscript{y}

To assess the seasonal and interannual variability of NO\textsubscript{y} above Eureka, we used the 5-NO\textsubscript{y} calculated using the SLIMCAT N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/NO\textsubscript{x} ratio. The motivation for this choice was that SLIMCAT provided the longest model data set, with a ratio that was consistent with GEM-BACH. Figure 10 shows the FTIR time series of the 5-NO\textsubscript{y} partial columns for each year, beginning in August 2006 and ending in October 2010. Variability is greatest during the spring, decreasing in summer and fall. Considering the period up to day 140, we find a mean partial column (\pm 1\sigma) of (2.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10\textsuperscript{16} molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}, while for all days after 140, we find a mean partial column of (2.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10\textsuperscript{16} molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}. This shows that the spring mean is higher by approximately 8%, while the spring variability, indicated by the standard deviation, is twice that of the summer and fall. The more scattered partial columns can be explained by more dynamically active meteorological conditions during spring compared to the summer, with the higher partial column values driven by the subsidence of NO\textsubscript{y}-rich air from above the partial column range into the column inside the polar vortex.

To investigate the springtime evolution of NO\textsubscript{y}, Figure 11 shows the time series for 5-NO\textsubscript{y} and its constituent species, along with the sPV for 2007–2010. Figures 11a (top)–11d (top) show the NO\textsubscript{y} partial columns together with those of HNO\textsubscript{3}, since its contribution is the largest. For all four years, it is evident that the variability of the 5-NO\textsubscript{y} partial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean % Difference</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Standard Error of Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Summary of the ACE-FTS Versus FTIR Mean Percentage Difference (100 \times (ACE-FTS – FTIR)/FTIR), Standard Deviation, Standard Error on the Mean, and Number of Coincidences, N, for the 4-NO\textsubscript{y} and 5-NO\textsubscript{y} Data Products

![Figure 10. FTIR 15–40 km partial columns of 5-NO\textsubscript{y} for the years indicated in the legend.](image-url)
columns is dominated by the variability in the HNO$_3$ column. Figures 11a (middle)–11d (middle) show the other four NO$_y$ species. In Figures 11a (bottom)–11d (bottom), the evolution of the sPV is given for the 18, 26, and 36 km altitude levels, corresponding to the lower and middle stratosphere. The horizontal distances at 18 and 36 km altitude are less than 1 km at a solar zenith angle of 87°.

[48] The 2006/2007 winter Arctic vortex was particularly strong and cold, resulting in significant destruction of stratospheric ozone [Rösevall et al., 2007; World Meteorological Organization (WMO), 2011]. After sunrise, occurring on 20 February at Eureka, the FTIR sampled the atmosphere inside the polar vortex for most of the spring, as can be seen from the evolution of sPV. These measurements are consistent with our understanding of the chemical processes that occur in the dynamically isolated air within the vortex, whereby HNO$_3$-containing PSCs form during cold winters, chlorine is released from its reservoirs (HCl and ClONO$_2$) to participate in springtime ozone destruction, and the resulting springtime repartitioning of chlorine in the Arctic results in enhanced ClONO$_2$ for some time after PSCs have disappeared [Dufour et al., 2006; WMO, 2007; Santee et al., 2008]. High ClONO$_2$ columns were measured inside the vortex during 2007 (Figure 11), showing that active chlorine started to return into its reservoirs. This is consistent with the evolution of ClONO$_2$ and HCl measured by Batchelor et al. [2010] for this period. Also in the 2007 spring, we see that the HNO$_3$ partial columns are smaller inside the vortex compared to the vortex edge. Usually, if the vortex is not perturbed by PSC formation, HNO$_3$ concentrations are large and well correlated with PV [Santee et al., 1999]. In 2007, vortex temperatures (not shown) were favorable for PSC

Figure 11. FTIR 15–40 km partial columns of 5-NO$_y$ and constituent species, derived for the spring (a) 2007, (b) 2008, (c) 2009, and (d) 2010. The colors match those in Figure 10. (top) The partial columns of 5-NO$_y$ and HNO$_3$, and (middle) the partial columns of ClONO$_2$, NO, NO$_2$, and N$_2$O$_5$. (bottom) The evolution of sPV for three altitudes: 18 km (cyan), 26 km (pink), and 36 km (green). The dash-dot lines mark the inner (purple) and outer (blue) vortex edge.
formation, reaching values below the type II PSC threshold in December and January, and below the type I PSC threshold through 21 March. However, radioxene measurements above Eureka never fell below 198 K during our measurement campaign. The low HNO3 values measured at Eureka are thought to be a consequence of HNO3 being removed from the gas phase in liquid/solid PSC particles elsewhere in the vortex, with this HNO3-poor air being transported over Eureka. Figure 11a also shows the evolution of NOy species and N2O5. As the days become longer, more NO and NO2 form from the nighttime reservoir, N2O5, through photolysis, implying that the evolution of the N2O5 partial columns is opposite to that of NO and NO2.

During the following years, the instrument sampled mostly through the edge of, or outside, the polar vortex, as seen in the sPV plots in Figure 11 for 2008, 2009, and 2010. The year 2008 was another cold winter, with significant chemical processing [WMO, 2011]. The years 2009 and 2010 were both characterized by a strong and prolonged sudden stratospheric warming (SSW) in late January, with no chemical processing and CI deactivated after early February. The evolution of NOy shows some temporal variability within each of these years, which is mostly a consequence of dynamics. Some peaks in the CIONO2 partial columns coincide with larger sPV values, corresponding to measurements inside the polar vortex. Some examples are day 64 in 2008, and days 58, 59, and 77 in 2010. During 2009, enhanced partial columns of HNO3, CIONO2, and N2O5 can be seen near day 63, when the sampled layers were on the vortex edge rather than inside the vortex. This is again a consequence of the buildup at the vortex edge, as discussed above. Similar peaks were also seen in the total columns of O3, HCl, and HF for those days (not shown here). Each year, the photolysis of HNO3 and N2O5 to NO and NO2 is observed, with low NO and NO2 in early spring, increasing as the days become longer, as shown above.

7. Conclusions

A four year time series of the total reactive nitrogen (NOy) stratospheric partial columns (15-40 km) above Eureka, Nunavut, has been obtained using measurements made by a Bruker 125HR FTIR spectrometer. Four components of the NOy budget were measured (NO, NO2, HNO3, CIONO2), while N2O5 was derived using model ratios of N2O5/NOy for the closest grid point to Eureka. For the four individual NOy species, the SLIMCAT model results showed the best agreement with the FTIR measurements. Comparisons of 5-NOy (NO + NO2 + HNO3 + CIONO2 + 2 × N2O5) with three atmospheric models were also made. The comparison showed that the CMAM-DAS 5-NOy partial columns were smaller than the FTIR partial columns, the mean percentage difference (100 × (model – FTIR)/FTIR) being (−16.0 ± 0.6)% (where the uncertainty represents the standard error of the mean). GEM-BACH 5-NOy showed an agreement of (5.5 ± 1.0)%, with the model partial columns being slightly larger than the FTIR columns. For the SLIMCAT chemical transport model, the 5-NOy partial columns were slightly smaller than the FTIR columns, the mean percentage difference being (−5.8 ± 0.4)%. For GEM-BACH and SLIMCAT, the differences were found to be within the mean total (measurement + scaling) error of 9.6%, while the CMAM-DAS difference was larger than the mean total error estimated for the 5-NOy.

The FTIR measurements were further compared with ACE-FTS springtime measurements, a period when ACE has overpasses above Eureka. Data from four Canadian Arctic ACE Validation Campaigns at Eureka were compared using strict coincidence criteria to constrain time, distance, temperature, and sPV at altitudes along the lines-of-sight for both instruments for the compared air masses. For 5-NOy, a mean percentage difference of (5.6 ± 2.3)% was found, indicating that the ACE-FTS partial columns are slightly larger than the FTIR columns. The small difference shows that the two instruments are in good agreement, despite their different viewing geometries and the high variability of the springtime Arctic stratosphere.

The seasonal and interannual variability of 5-NOy above Eureka was also investigated. The 5-NOy partial column was approximately constant through the sunlit part of the year, from mid-February to mid-October, with greater variability during the spring. The mean partial column averaged for the spring period (up to day 140) was (2.5 ± 0.2) × 1016 molec cm−2, while for the period after day 140, it was (2.3 ± 0.1) × 1016 molec cm−2. The springtime evolution of 5-NOy and its constituent nitrogen species, along with sPV, was examined for all four years. The variability of the 5-NOy partial column was seen to be dominated by that of HNO3. The evolution of the individual nitrogen species was found to be consistent with the current understanding of the chemical and dynamical processes that occur in the polar stratosphere. The NOy data product derived in this study can be further used to validate the ACE-FTS v3 NOy. In the longer term, this data product can be also used for trend studies, contributing to a better understanding of the future evolution of NOy.
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