Summertime influence of Asian pollution in the free troposphere over North America
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[1] We analyze aircraft observations obtained during INTEX-A (1 July to 14 August 2004) to examine the summertime influence of Asian pollution in the free troposphere over North America. By applying correlation analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) to the observations between 6 and 12 km, we find dominant influences from recent convection and lightning (13% of observations), Asia (7%), the lower stratosphere (7%), and boreal forest fires (2%), with the remaining 71% assigned to background. Asian air masses are marked by high levels of CO, O3, HCN, PAN, C2H6, C6H6, methanol, and SO42-. The partitioning of NOy species in the Asian plumes is dominated by PAN (~600 pptv), with varying NOy/HNO3 ratios in individual plumes, consistent with individual transit times of 3–9 days. Export of Asian pollution occurred in warm conveyor belts of midlatitude cyclones, deep convection, and in typhoons. Compared to Asian outflow measurements during spring, INTEX-A observations display lower levels of anthropogenic pollutants (CO, C1H4, C2H6, C6H6) due to shorter summer lifetimes; higher levels of biogenic tracers (methanol and acetone) because of a more active biosphere; and higher levels of PAN, NOy, HNO3, and O3 reflecting active photochemistry, possibly enhanced by efficient NOy export and lightning. The high ΔO3/ΔCO ratio (0.76 mol/mol) in Asian plumes during INTEX-A is due to strong photochemical production and, in some cases, mixing with stratospheric air along isentropic surfaces. The GEOS-Chem global model captures the timing and location of the Asian plumes. However, it significantly underestimates the magnitude of observed enhancements in CO, O3, PAN and NOy.


1. Introduction

[2] Many field campaigns and modeling studies have shown a significant influence of transpacific transport of Asian pollution over North America during spring [e.g., Andreue et al., 1988; Kritz et al., 1990; Parrish et al., 1992, 2004b; Berntsen et al., 1999; Yienger et al., 2000; Jacob et al., 1999; Jaffe et al., 1999, 2003; Nowak et al., 2004]. Not much attention has been paid to summertime transpacific transport, which is expected to be inefficient because of the relatively weak large-scale flow and the shorter lifetimes of many trace gases. However, modeling studies have shown that even a small influence of Asian emissions over North America during summer can have significant implications for air quality regulation. Fiore et al. [2002] found that...
anthropogenic emissions from Asia and Europe contribute 4–7 ppbv to summertime afternoon ozone \((O_3)\) concentrations in surface air over the U.S., potentially causing violations of the air quality standard. Jacob et al. [1999] estimated that a tripling of Asian anthropogenic emissions from 1985 to 2010 will increase surface \(O_3\) in the United States (U.S.) by 1–5 ppbv during summer. Long-range transport of Asian pollution across the Pacific reaches a maximum in spring due to active cyclone activity and strong westerly winds. The strongest Asian outflow occurs in the middle troposphere [Bey et al., 2001b; Stohl et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003] and can be transported across the Pacific in 5–10 days [Jaffe et al., 1999, 2001; Yienger et al., 2000; Stohl et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2004]. During summer, export of Asian pollution by convection competes with export in midlatitude cyclones, and transpacific transport occurs predominantly in the middle and upper troposphere with an average transpacific transport time of 6–10 days [Liang et al., 2004; Holzer et al., 2005]. A significant fraction of the summertime Asian outflow is transported westward to the Middle East rather than to the Pacific [Liu et al., 2002, 2003; Lelieveld et al., 2002]. The weaker Aleutian low during summer also leads to relatively slow and weak transport across the Pacific [Liang et al., 2005; Holzer et al., 2005].

The Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment–Phase A (INTEX-A) aircraft mission was conducted during the summer of 2004 and focused on quantifying and characterizing the summertime inflow and outflow of pollution over North America [Singh et al., 2006]. INTEX-A was part of the larger International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation (ICARTT) multiplatform field campaign aimed at examining regional air quality, intercontinental transport, and radiation balance in the atmosphere.

During the INTEX-A mission several Asian plumes were predicted by forecast chemical transport models (CTMs) and then sampled by aircraft, displaying enhanced levels of many trace gases and aerosols. INTEX-A observations thus offer the unprecedented opportunity to quantify the role of transpacific transport of Asian pollution during summer. In this study we will analyze these observations to examine the chemical composition and transport mechanisms of these plumes and contrast them to springtime observations. Section 2 describes the observations and the model used in this study. In section 3 we use principal component analysis (PCA) to identify characteristic air masses, in particular air masses influenced by Asian pollution. Transport mechanisms and chemical characteristics of the Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A are discussed in detail in section 4. Conclusions are presented in section 5.

## 2. Observations and Model

### 2.1. Observations

A total of 18 flights were made by NASA's DC-8 aircraft between 1 July and 14 August 2004 during INTEX-A. The flights took place mostly over the United States, extending to the Pacific Ocean in the west and Atlantic Ocean to the east. Flight tracks are shown on Figure 1. In situ observations included an extensive suite of measurements of ozone, aerosols and their precursors, as well as long-lived greenhouse gases, and many meteorological and optical parameters. Table 1 summarizes the in situ observations used in this paper. We also use remote sensing of ozone and aerosols (both zenith and nadir) on board the DC-8 with the Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) [Browell et al., 2003].

Some compounds were measured by two different techniques (hydrogen cyanide (HCN), nitric acid \((HNO_3)\) and hydrogen peroxide \((H_2O_2)\), with the two measurements being often temporally complementary to each other during many flights. When both measurements are available, we average them, and when only one is available we use it
directly. This maximizes the measurement points available for our PCA analysis (section 3.2). On several occasions, CO measurements were not available. CO is critical as a tracer of long-range transport in our analysis. As CO and acetylene (C2H2) are highly correlated (r = 0.92 for all INTEX-A measurements), we replace these missing CO values with values derived from the observed C2H2-CO relationship during INTEX-A: CO (ppbv) = 73 + 0.33 * C2H2 (ppbv).

### 2.2. Model Description

The GEOS-Chem CTM is driven by assimilated meteorological data compiled at the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). The GEOS-4 meteorological fields have a horizontal resolution of 1° × 1.25° and 55 vertical layers. The surface and upper level meteorological fields are updated every 3 and 6 hours, respectively. For computational efficiency, the meteorological fields are regridded to a 2° × 2.5° resolution using area-preserving and mass-preserving mapping. We reduce the original 55 vertical layers into 30 layers by merging the upper 36 pressure layers (<65 hPa) into 11 layers using a mass-weighted average, with the bottom layers kept unchanged. We expect the degraded vertical resolution above 65 hPa to have a negligible impact in the troposphere. For this study, we use model version v7.02.04 (http://www-as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/geos/index.html).

The GEOS-Chem model simulates ozone-NOx-hydrocarbon-aerosol chemistry and involves 41 tracers, over 80 chemical species and 300 reactions. The ozone simulation [Bey et al., 2001a; Martin et al., 2002] is fully coupled to the aerosol simulation that includes sulfate-nitrate-ammonium, org black carbon, mineral dust, and sea salt aerosols [Park et al., 2003, 2004; Alexander et al., 2005; Fairlie et al., 2007]. We use a base anthropogenic emission inventory for 1985 based on Global Emission Inventory Activity (GEIA) and scaled to 1998 following Bey et al. [2001a]. We use the updated U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1999 National Emission Inventory (NEI-99, http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/1999inventory.html) over the U.S. with a 50% decrease in NOx emissions from power plant and industry sources and a 30% decrease in CO emissions based on ICARTT observations [Hudman et al., 2007]. Large fires occurred over Alaska and Canada during INTEX-A [Pfister et al., 2005; Morris et al., 2006]. We use daily biomass burning emissions over North America derived from Moderate Resolution Imagine Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Active Fire and the area burned as reported by the National Interagency Coordination Center (NICC), contributing 27 Tg CO between 1 June and 31 August 2004, 3 times the climatology (9 Tg CO) [Turquety et al., 2007]. Elsewhere, we use the monthly varying climatological biomass burning inventory of Duncan et al. [2003]. Biofuel emissions are described by Yevich and Logan [2003]. Soil nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions are based on Yiem and Levy [1995] as described by Wang et al. [1998]. We include a lightning NOx source of 4.7 Tg N/yr with a factor of 4 increase over the U.S. to match ICARTT observations [Martin et al., 2006; Hudman et al., 2007]. Transport of ozone from the stratosphere is parameterized using the “Synoz” (Synthetic Ozone) flux boundary layer condition of McLinden et al. [2000] to yield an ozone cross-tropopause flux of 550 Tg/yr on average. A more detailed description of the model as applied to ICARTT observations is given by Hudman et al. [2007] and Turquety et al. [2007].

Table 1. Summary of INTEX-A In Situ Observations Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Instrument and Methods</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>(1) diode laser spectrometer and (2) gas chromatography/ mass spectrometry (GC/MS)</td>
<td>(1) Bartlett et al. [2003] and (2) Blake et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) and (2) mist chamber/ion chromatography</td>
<td>Brownell et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO3</td>
<td>(1) chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) and (2) mist chamber/ion chromatography</td>
<td>(1) Crounse et al. [2006] and (2) Dibb et al. [2003b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>gas chromatography with cryofocusing</td>
<td>Singh et al. [1994]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>chemiluminescence</td>
<td>Ren et al. [2006]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH, HO2</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence (LIF)</td>
<td>Ren et al. [2006]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, CNTPs</td>
<td>LIF</td>
<td>Cohen et al. [2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>(1) CIMS and (2) high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)/enzyme fluorescence detection (EFD)</td>
<td>(1) Crounse et al. [2006] and (2) Heikes et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3OH</td>
<td>HPLC/EFD</td>
<td>Heikes et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO2, SO2</td>
<td>CIMS</td>
<td>Huey et al. [2004]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>diode laser spectrometer</td>
<td>Bartlett et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS)</td>
<td>Fried et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone, acetaldehyde</td>
<td>photo ionization detector</td>
<td>Singh et al. [1994]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meethanol, ethanol, CH3CN</td>
<td>reduction gas detector</td>
<td>Singh et al. [1994]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>(1) reduction gas detector and (2) CIMS</td>
<td>(1) Singh et al. [2003] and (2) Crounse et al. [2006]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHC/halocarbons</td>
<td>GC/MS</td>
<td>Blake et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Be</td>
<td>Gamma-spectroscopy and Alpha-spectroscopy</td>
<td>Dibb et al. [2003b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3, SO42-, ClO3-, CH3CO3-</td>
<td>ion chromatography analysis</td>
<td>Dibb et al. [2003a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4+, Na+, K+, Mg2+, Ca2+</td>
<td>Fine sulfate aerosols mist chamber/ion chromatography</td>
<td>Dibb et al. [2003a]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
monthly hydroxyl radical (OH) fields from the full chemistry simulation. We transport a suite of six CO tracers to track emissions from individual source regions and types: Asian anthropogenic (combining fossil fuel and biofuel emissions), Asian biomass burning, North American anthropogenic, North American biomass burning, European anthropogenic, and emissions from the rest of the world. We use “Asian CO” to refer to CO emitted over east Asia (defined as the 66–146°E, 9°S–90°N region), including both anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions. Climatological Asian biomass burning emissions account for 26% of CO emissions over Asia during summer.

[11] GEOS-Chem has been validated by numerous comparisons to observations, showing no model biases [e.g., Li et al., 2002, 2004; Heald et al., 2003; Fiore et al., 2002; Park et al., 2003; Duncan and Bey, 2004]. Of particular interest to our study, the model has been used to examine outflow of Asian pollution to the Pacific [Bey et al., 2001b; Liu et al., 2002, 2003; Palmer et al., 2003] and trans-Pacific transport to North America [Heald et al., 2003, 2006; Jaegle et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2004, 2005; Weiss-Penzias et al., 2004; Jaffe et al., 2004; Hudman et al., 2004; Bertschi et al., 2004; Park et al., 2004].

[12] Several studies use the GEOS-Chem model to interpret ICARTT observations. Hudman et al. [2007] examine the North American nitrogen budget. Turquety et al. [2007] constrain boreal forest fire emissions by combining the model together with MOPITT observations. Martin et al. [2006] use the model to evaluate a new space-based NOx emissions inventory by comparison to ICARTT observations. Millet et al. [2006] combine in situ and satellite measurements of HCHO to constrain isoprene emissions in the model.

3. Air Mass Identification

3.1. Biomass Burning Influence

[13] Several biomass burning plumes from Canadian and Alaskan fires were sampled during INTEX-A in the lower and middle troposphere. We identify observations with CO > 170 ppbv or HCN > 560 pptv as biomass burning plumes. We confirmed the validity of this method by examining results from the tagged CO simulation as well as back trajectories. We find that 2% of the INTEX-A observations in the middle and upper troposphere (6–12 km) were influenced by emissions from boreal forest fires. The biomass burning air masses display large enhancements in many trace gases: CO, hydrocarbons (ethene (C2H4), benzene (C6H6), C6H6), methanol (CH3OH), toluene (C6H5CH3), ethanol (C2H5OH), acetaldehyde (CH3CHO), ethane (C2H6), reactive nitrogen species (peroxycetyl nitrate (PAN), total peroxyamines (XP1Ns)), HCN, acetonitrile (CH3CN), as well as smaller enhancements in methylhydroperoxides (CH3OOH), H2O2, and methane (CH4) (Table 2). These air masses also display elevated concentrations of aerosols and ionic species: sulfate (SO42−), nitrate (NO3−), ammonium (NH4+), as well as oxalate (C2O42−) and potassium (K+). Such enhancements in biomass burning plumes have been commonly observed in previous studies [e.g., Goode et al., 2000; Cofer et al., 1998; Bertschi et al., 2004; Dibb et al., 2003a].

3.2. Principal Component Analysis

[14] For the remaining observations, we apply principal component analysis (PCA) to objectively identify the origin of air masses. PCA is a mathematical technique that reduces the dimensions of a data set based on covariance of variables, and has been applied to source identification in numerous air quality studies [e.g., Thurston and Spengler, 1985; Buhr et al., 1995; Statheropoulos et al., 1998; Guo et al., 2004]. A typical approach is to extract preliminary factors using PCA and then obtain the final physically realizable structures through rotations of the preliminary factors. For this work, we use the rotated principal component analysis by Lin and Arakawa [2000], which uses Promax rotation with a revised manipulation to reduce the deficiency introduced by the linear Promax model and to improve the capability in recovering embedded structures of data.

[15] We first construct our data matrix by using 9 observed chemical variables from a 1-min merged data set of observations obtained between 6 and 12 km altitude: O3, CFC-11, CFC-12, NO (NO + NO2), the NOx/HNO3 ratio, H2O2, CO, HCN, and PAN. Through a systematic correlation analysis for all observed species, we found that these nine variables display the highest intercorrelations indicating they are good tracers for identification of air mass origin. These variables are also chosen because they contain the least number of missing values. We apply our analysis to 3560 1-min observations, 85% of the observations obtained between 6 and 12 km during INTEX-A.

[16] The PCA yields three leading empirical orthogonal functions (EOF) representing distinct patterns. The relative contribution of each EOF to an individual 1-min measurement point is contained in the corresponding principal component (PC) value. We define a measurement point as being dominated by a specific EOF when its PC is greater than one standard deviation (1σ) and other PCs are < 1σ. The EOF patterns are shown in Figure 2, while the chemical composition of each corresponding type of air mass is listed in Table 2. Chemical species that are significantly enhanced (> mean + 1σ) or depleted (< mean − 1σ) compared to background levels are highlighted in bold in Table 2.

[17] On the basis of these EOFs, we partitioned air sampled between 6 and 12 km during INTEX-A into 4 major categories: (1) lower stratospheric air (7% of observations), (2) convection/lightning (13%), (3) Asian (7%), and (4) biomass burning (2%, from the correlation analysis, see section 3.1). Air masses not included in any of the above categories were classified as “background” air (71%) (Table 2). These background air masses are likely to have mixed contributions of air from various sources, including the above four. The individual chemical signatures do not stand out as clearly in these remaining air masses (PC < 1σ) and further categorizing of the origin of this background into our four categories by using a lower threshold is thus more difficult. Instead for this work, we focus on air masses with clear chemical signatures singled out by our PCA analysis.

[18] The first EOF represents air with enhanced O3 concentrations and is depleted in CFC-11 and CFC-12 (Figure 2). CFCs are man-made compounds emitted at the surface and destroyed in the stratosphere, while the majority of the atmospheric O3 is photochemically produced in the
Table 2. Observed Chemical Composition of Air Masses Sampled at 6–12 km

| Background | Number of 1-min measurements | O₃, ppbv | CO, pptv | H₂O, pptv | Relative humidity, % | NOₓ, pptv | PAN, pptv | ΣPNs, pptv | HNO₃, pptv | NO₂, pptv | NO₃, pptv | SO₂, pptv | HCN, pptv | CH₃CN, pptv | CH₃OCHO, pptv | H₂O, pptv | HCHO, pptv | OH, pptv | HO₂, pptv | CH₄, pptv | CH₃CHO, pptv | CH₃H₂O₂, pptv | H₂SO₄, pptv | Toluene (C₇H₈), pptv | Acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), pptv | Acetone (C₃H₆O), pptv | Methanol (CH₃OH), pptv | Ethanol (C₂H₅OH), pptv | CH₄, pptv | CFC-12, pptv | CFC-11, pptv | CFC-113, pptv | H-1211, pptv | ³¹⁷Be, IC/cm² | Nitrate (NO₃⁻), pptv | Sulfate (SO₄²⁻), pptv | Oxalate (C₂O₄²⁻), pptv | Ammonium (NH₄⁺), pptv | Sodium (Na⁺), pptv | Potassium (K⁺), pptv | Magnesium (Mg²⁺), pptv | Calcium (Ca²⁺), pptv | Fine sulfate aerosols, pptv | Modeled Asian CO, pptv | Modeled NABB CO, pptv
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*For each type of air mass we indicate the observed mean ± standard deviation (σ). The mean model results sampled along the flight track are given in parenthesis. Chemical species that are significantly enhanced (> mean + 1σ) or depleted (< mean − 1σ) with respect to background are highlighted in bold.

¹Nitrogen oxides, NOₓ = observed NO + observed NO₂.

²Measurements of total peroxynitrates, ΣPNs = NOₓ + HNO₃ + PAN + PPN + other organic peroxynitrates.

³We define total reactive nitrogen, NO₂, as the sum of observed NO + NO₂ + PAN + HNO₃ + HNO₄.

⁴NA, not available.

⁵Modeled North American biomass burning (NABB) CO.

stratosphere [Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998]. Therefore this first EOF is consistent with air with lower stratospheric origin. We find that the stratospheric air masses contain enhanced levels of HNO₃ (680 ± 340 pptv versus 250 ± 210 pptv in background air), SO₄²⁻ (100 ± 40 pptv versus 60 ± 40 pptv), beryllium-7 (³¹⁷Be, 2990 ± 1750 fCi/m² versus 500 ± 680 fCi/m²), and low relative humidity (RH) (24 ± 20% versus 49 ± 27%), CH₄ (1757 ± 34 ppbv versus 1791 ± 16 ppbv), CFC-113 (75.8 ± 1.7 ppbv versus 78.4 ± 0.6 ppbv), halon-1211 (H-1211, 4.06 ± 0.21 ppbv versus 4.32 ± 0.11 ppbv) (Table 2).

[19] The second EOF contains enhanced NO₂ concentrations and NO₂/HNO₃ ratios, which are correlated with low concentrations of H₂O₂ (Figure 2). Enhanced levels of NO₂ are commonly associated with ventilation of fresh pollution from the boundary layer by convection and formation of NO₃ in lightning [Thompson et al., 1999]. The former also leads to low levels of water-soluble species, i.e., HNO₃ and H₂O₂, due to scavenging. Thus our second
EOF is indicative of air that recently experienced convection and lightning. This air mass type is characterized by elevated anthropogenic tracers, in particular sulfur dioxide (SO₂, 50 ± 85 pptv versus 35 ± 45 pptv), C₇H₆ (980 ± 510 pptv versus 720 ± 270 pptv), propane (C₃H₈, 280 ± 270 pptv versus 140 ± 120 pptv), toluene (8 ± 3 pptv versus 5 ± 2 pptv), and C₂H₂ (100 ± 40 pptv versus 80 ± 30 pptv) (Table 2). It also contains high levels of methanol and ethanol, which have biogenic land sources [Singh et al., 2000; Heikes et al., 2002]. It displays a higher NOₓ/HNO₃ ratio (1.26 ± 0.93 mol mol⁻¹) compared to background air (0.38 ± 0.32 mol mol⁻¹) as a result of HNO₃ scavenging with recent ventilation of NOₓ from boundary layer by deep convection, as well as NOₓ formation in lightning.

The third EOF is significantly enhanced in CO, HCN, and PAN without any NOₓ enhancements (Figure 2). High levels of CO and PAN are observed in biomass burning [e.g., Cofer et al., 1998; Goode et al., 2000] and anthropogenic pollution plumes [e.g., Russo et al., 2003; Nowak et al., 2004]. HCN is typically associated with biomass burning sources [Lobert et al., 1990], but also with residential coal burning in China [Singh et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003]. As biomass burning plumes are excluded in our PCA, we thus link this EOF to anthropogenic pollution plumes. The lack of NOₓ enhancements (absence of fresh pollution) together with the enhancements in HCN further leads us to conclude that this EOF represents aged transport plumes from east Asia. The Asian origin of these plumes is confirmed by independent analyses with the GEOS-Chem tagged simulation and back trajectories (section 4.1).

The Asian air masses are significantly enhanced in many trace gases associated with fossil fuel combustion, in particular CO (128 ± 14 ppbv, 35% increase with respect to background), C₂H₆ (160 ± 40 pptv, 100%), HCN (420 ± 60 pptv, 50%), and C₂H₂ (20 ± 10 pptv, 85%) (Table 2). Species with biogenic sources are also found to be enhanced in the Asian plumes: methanol (2.2 ± 1.0 ppbv, 65%) and acetone (2 ± 1 ppbv, 40%). Enhanced mixing ratios of O₃ (99 ± 20 ppbv, 35%) and PAN (590 ± 160 pptv, 100%) in the Asian plumes, indicate efficient photochemistry. The Asian air masses also display enhanced levels of aerosol species associated with anthropogenic emissions: SO₂⁻ (110 ± 60 pptv, 85%) and NH₄⁺ (130 ± 60 pptv, 85%). In addition, levels of C₂O₅²⁻ (15 ± 7 pptv, 150%), which has been linked to biomass or biofuel burning [Dibb et al., 2003a] are also elevated.

J. Al-Saadi et al. (unpublished manuscript, 2007) presented a Lagrangian analysis of air influencing the U.S. domain between 6 and 12 km during ICARTT using 10-day back trajectories and found that 9% was influenced by east Asian air, 12% by stratospheric air, and 13% by strong convection, consistent with our results.

### 3.3. Trace Gas Relationships

Figure 3 shows trace gas relationships for these 5 air masses. The air masses with lower stratospheric influences show strong negative CO-O₃ (r = −0.80) and CO-HNO₃ (r = −0.69) relationships, and a weaker positive O₃-NOₓ relationship (r = 0.41). Note that correlation coefficients in this section are statistically significant at the 99% level when their absolute values are greater than 0.12. The Convection/Lightning category displays positive correlation for anthropogenic pollutants, i.e., CO, C₂H₂, and PAN, a negative CO-HNO₃ correlation (−0.44) and no significant correlation between CO and O₃ (r = 0.18), indicating freshly ventilated pollution with scavenging of HNO₃ and little O₃ production. Biomass burning plumes contain highly correlated CO, PAN, HCN, C₂H₂, SO₂⁻ (r = 0.72 − 0.99) with weak negative CO-O₃ and CO-HNO₃ correlations (r = −0.44 and −0.42, respectively).

The Asian air masses show strong positive CO-PAN (r = 0.69) and CO-C₂H₂ (r = 0.78) correlations indicative of anthropogenic transport plumes [e.g., Singh et al., 1995]. While CO and HCN are significantly enhanced in the Asian air masses (Table 2), these tracers are only moderately correlated (r = 0.41) with a slope of 0.87e⁻³ mol mol⁻¹, half the value observed in the Chinese urban plumes during TRACE-P (1.67e⁻³ mol mol⁻¹) [Li et al., 2003] probably indicating mixing with background air.

We find that CO and NOₓ are moderately correlated (r = 0.30, ∆NOₓ/∆CO = 8 ± 2 pptv/ppbv). CO and O₃ are positively correlated (r = 0.53) with a ∆O₃/∆CO ratio of 0.76 ± 0.04 mol mol⁻¹. O₃ and NOₓ are also positively correlated (r = 0.51, ∆O₃/∆NOₓ = 0.1 ± 0.01 mol mol⁻¹). These positive correlations are indicative of efficient NOₓ export from Asia followed by active photochemical ozone
Figure 3. Scatter diagram of observed (a) 10-s CO and O$_3$, (b) 75-s CO and PAN, and (c) 6.5-s CO and HNO$_3$, (d) 2-min CO and SO$_4^{2-}$, (e) 10-s CO and HCN, (f) 1-min CO and acetylene, (g) 1-min O$_3$ and NO$_y$, and (h) 1-min CO and NO$_y$ within different air masses between 6 and 12 km during INTEX-A. Biomass burning plumes that have CO > 200 ppbv are not shown here.
production (section 4.3). The Asian air masses do not display consistent relationships between CO and HNO$_3$, and CO and SO$_4^{2−}$ ($r_{\text{CO,HNO}_3} = 0.36$, $r_{\text{CO,SO}_4^{2−}} = −0.09$), likely reflecting different chemical and wet scavenging histories for individual plumes.

4. Asian Plumes

[26] We identify 5 major Asian plumes during INTEX-A: 1 July, 15 July, 20 July, 2 August, and 14 August 2004. Figure 1a shows the location of these plumes, color coded by observed CO levels. The plumes extend from the northeastern (NE) Pacific to northwestern (NW) Atlantic.

4.1. Identification With Other Methods

[27] We verify the PCA Asian air mass identification by using the GEOS-Chem tagged Asian CO tracer sampled along the flight tracks. Because of the dispersion of Asian plumes as they cross the American continent [Li et al., 2005], we use a longitude-dependent threshold for Asian CO linearly decreasing from 32 ppbv at 125°W to 24 ppbv at 65°W. We identify the same 5 Asian plumes and we find that 9% (340 min) of observations were influenced by Asian emissions (compared to 7% from PCA). The broad picture of geographic distribution of the Asian plumes agrees well between PCA and GEOS-Chem (Figures 1a and 1b). There are differences in the detailed location of the plumes, likely from transport errors resulting from the coarse model resolution and the fact that meteorological data are only available at 6 hour intervals. Both could result in small errors in depicting individual meteorological features and thus in the precise location of Asian plumes after intercontinental transport. If we allow an overlap time window of ±10 min along the flight tracks (~70 km horizontal displacement) to account for transport error, we find that 88% of the Asian plumes identified by PCA overlap with those identified by GEOS-Chem. The overlap increases to 95% when the time window is increased to ±20 min.

[28] We further verify our PCA results with 10-day kinematic back trajectories calculated with the Florida State University (FSU) kinematic model [Fuehrberg et al., 2003] using reanalysis data from the National Weather Service’s Global Forecast System (GFS). The reanalysis data were available 4 times daily, with 26 vertical levels and a horizontal resolution of T254, which was interpolated to a 0.5° × 0.5° horizontal grid [Fuehrberg et al., 2007]. The trajectories were calculated for each minute along the flight tracks. Measurements with back trajectories that overpass the heavily polluted region in east Asia (90°–140°E, 20°–55°N) within 10 days are identified as Asian plumes. This method yields a very similar geographical distribution of Asian plumes (Figure 1c) compared to the PCA and GEOS-Chem methods. It identifies 11% (403 min) of the observations as Asian plumes. We find that 95% of the Asian plumes identified by the PCA overlap with those identified using back trajectories within ±10 min, and the overlap increases to 99% within ±20 min. Uncertainties associated with back trajectories are discussed in detail by Kiley et al. [2003].

[29] Both GEOS-Chem and back trajectories identify more observations with Asian influence (9% and 11% of observations, respectively) compared to the observation-based PCA (7%). With the coarse vertical resolution (~1 km) of the meteorological fields used in these analyses, it is not possible to accurately represent thin layers of pollution plumes crossing the Pacific [e.g., Brock et al., 2004; de Gouw et al., 2004]. In some cases (1 July and 14 August flights) observations indicate Asian pollution layers of ~1–2 km thickness. Both GEOS-Chem and the back trajectories spread these Asian plumes into thicker layers of ~5–6 km depth. This implies excessive vertical dispersion by a factor of ~3 for Asian transport plumes that are concentrated in thin layers.

4.2. Transport Mechanisms

[30] Fuehrberg et al. [2007] compared the meteorological conditions during INTEX-A to the mean climatology for the 2000–2004 summers and found a stronger than normal Alaskan ridge. This configuration favors long-range transport across the Pacific [Liang et al., 2005]. The 300 hPa winds during 2004 were not significantly different from climatology.

[31] We identify the transport mechanisms of the Asian plumes in two steps. We first determine transport time by tracking Asian plumes from the sampling location back to Asia using the GEOS-Chem tagged CO simulation. The transport time is verified using the FSU back trajectories. In a second step we examine export mechanisms using the GEOS-4 convective diagnostics, sea level pressure fields and detailed synoptic charts with frontal and typhoon positions from the NCEP weather maps at the National Climatic Data Center (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html).

[32] The transport characteristics of the 5 major Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A are summarized in Table 3. The plumes have varying transit times across the Pacific (3–9 days) and transport altitudes (6 to 11.5 km). The shortest transport times correspond to the two strongest plumes observed during INTEX-A: 3–5 days from Asia to the NE Pacific for the 1 July event and 5–9 days from Asia to the U.S. East Coast for the 2 August event.

[33] The export mechanisms of these Asian plumes are lifting in warm conveyor belts (WCB) of midlatitude cyclones (1 July, 15 July, 20 July, and 14 August) and deep convection (1 July and 2 August). During August, which marks the beginning of the typhoon season for east Asia, we find that lifting in typhoons also plays an important role in the export of two Asian plumes (2 and 14 August). The role of typhoons in inducing rapid lifting of surface pollution in the upper troposphere was observed during PEM-West A [Newell et al., 1996; Blake et al., 1996] and discussed by Liang et al. [2004].

4.3. Chemical Composition: Comparison to Previous Campaigns

[34] Table 4 compares the chemical composition of the Asian air masses sampled during INTEX-A with four springtime measurement campaigns: PEM–West B (February–March 1994) [Hoell et al., 1997], TRACE-P (February–April 2001) [Jacob et al., 2003], ITCT 2K2 (April–May 2002) [Parrish et al., 2004b], and PHOBEA (springs 1997–2002) [Jaffe et al., 2001]. The summertime Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A display a chemical signature somewhat different from that observed in the
springtime measurements. They contain systematically lower levels of anthropogenic tracers (CO, C2H6, C3H8, C2H4, and C6H6; 128 ± 14 pptv, 880 ± 190 pptv, 150 ± 100 pptv, 160 ± 40 pptv, 25 ± 10 pptv) compared to springtime Asian outflow (134–198 pptv, 1240–1800 pptv, 260–430 pptv, 360–450 pptv, 55–110 pptv). The difference is likely due to the shorter lifetime of these species during summer, which lead to lower background concentrations as well as more rapid loss during transport (for the shorter-lived C2H4 and C6H6). Methanol and acetone levels (2.2 ± 1.0 pptv and 2 ± 1 pptv, respectively) are higher than during spring (0.7–1.8 pptv and 0.6–1.4 pptv, respectively). Both these tracers have strong biogenic source during summer [Singh et al., 2000; Heikes et al., 2002]. In their global simulation, Jacob et al. [2005] found a late spring-summer maximum in methanol and acetone concentrations (>5–10 pptv) over midlatitudes. Surface concentrations were particularly elevated north of 35°N over Asia in July because of the late emergence of leaves and the long continental fetch. INTEX-A observations of elevated methanol in Asian plumes are thus consistent with mixing of large biogenic emissions in the anthropogenic outflow.

Table 3. Transport Statistics and Chemical Characteristics of Individual Asian Plumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background</th>
<th>1 Jul</th>
<th>2 Aug</th>
<th>20 Jul</th>
<th>14 Aug</th>
<th>15 Jul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minutes sampled</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export mechanism</td>
<td>convection</td>
<td>WCB</td>
<td>convection, typhoon WCB</td>
<td>WCB</td>
<td>WCB, typhoon WCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude, km</td>
<td>6.5–11.5</td>
<td>6.5–11.0</td>
<td>7.5–10.5</td>
<td>5–8</td>
<td>8–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3, pptv</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>88 (81)</td>
<td>106 (81)</td>
<td>110 (89)</td>
<td>93 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, pptv</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>131 (125)</td>
<td>124 (111)</td>
<td>135 (95)</td>
<td>125 (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2, ppmv</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity, %</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx, pptv</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>140 (48)</td>
<td>160 (42)</td>
<td>270 (166)</td>
<td>410 (193)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN, pptv</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>550 (259)</td>
<td>480 (295)</td>
<td>620 (257)</td>
<td>680 (306)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣPNs, pptv</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO3, pptv</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>120 (181)</td>
<td>190 (206)</td>
<td>590 (358)</td>
<td>290 (256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO4, pptv</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx, pptv</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>870 (595)</td>
<td>900 (518)</td>
<td>1590 (772)</td>
<td>1440 (749)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2, pptv</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>60 (10)</td>
<td>25 (10)</td>
<td>50 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN, pptv</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CN, pptv</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3OCH3, pptv</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4, pptv</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1030 (828)</td>
<td>1090 (882)</td>
<td>390 (361)</td>
<td>510 (525)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOCHO, pptv</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>NA’ (70)</td>
<td>NA’ (70)</td>
<td>130 (134)</td>
<td>200 (216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H6, pptv</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>920 (1063)</td>
<td>840 (1081)</td>
<td>900 (723)</td>
<td>850 (814)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H4, pptv</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H2, pptv</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H6, pptv</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>120 (218)</td>
<td>110 (224)</td>
<td>150 (104)</td>
<td>160 (134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H2, pptv</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone, ppbv</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>2.59 (0.80)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.86)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.73)</td>
<td>2.29 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol, ppbv</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol, ppbv</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CH2OH, ppbv</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>1821</td>
<td>1810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3I, pptv</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx, pptv</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2, pptv</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120 (137)</td>
<td>165 (130)</td>
<td>90 (49)</td>
<td>130 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3, pptv</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2, pptv</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>170 (376)</td>
<td>210 (356)</td>
<td>110 (190)</td>
<td>140 (157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na+, pptv</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K+, pptv</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg2+, pptv</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca2+, pptv</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sulfate aerosol, pptv</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Asian O3, ppbv</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Asian CO, ppbv</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modeled trace gas mixing ratios are shown in parentheses. For individual Asian plumes, concentrations of trace gases that are significantly enhanced with respect to background are in bold. Numbers in italics indicate that fewer than 5 measurement points were available.
*Export mechanisms are determined by examining surface weather charts, SLP fields, and model convective diagnostics.
*Altitude range of the plumes sampled by the DC-8 aircraft.
*Transport time is estimated using back trajectories.
*NA, not available.
Table 4. Observed Chemical Composition of Asian Plumes: Comparison Between INTEX-A and Other Campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>O₃ (ppbv)</th>
<th>CO (ppbv)</th>
<th>NOₓ (pptv)</th>
<th>PAN (pptv)</th>
<th>HNO₃ (pptv)</th>
<th>NO₂⁺ (pptv)</th>
<th>HCN (pptv)</th>
<th>CH₃CN (ppbv)</th>
<th>CH₃OH (ppbv)</th>
<th>SO₂⁺, pptv</th>
<th>C₂O²⁻, pptv</th>
<th>NH₃ (pptv)</th>
<th>Na⁺, pptv</th>
<th>K⁺, pptv</th>
<th>Mg²⁺, pptv</th>
<th>Ca²⁺, pptv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTEX-A</td>
<td>North America</td>
<td>Jul–Aug 2004</td>
<td>99 ± 20</td>
<td>128 ± 14</td>
<td>390 ± 160</td>
<td>360 ± 300</td>
<td>1330 ± 460</td>
<td>880 ± 190</td>
<td>160 ± 40</td>
<td>160 ± 30</td>
<td>1815 ± 13</td>
<td>110 ± 60</td>
<td>15 ± 7</td>
<td>130 ± 60</td>
<td>130 ± 340</td>
<td>20 ± 20</td>
<td>11 ± 16</td>
<td>31 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM-West B</td>
<td>NW Pacific (25–50°N; 120–150°E)</td>
<td>Jul–Aug 2004</td>
<td>56 ± 30</td>
<td>134 ± 40</td>
<td>210 ± 150</td>
<td>530 ± 270</td>
<td>350 ± 170</td>
<td>1350 ± 430</td>
<td>400 ± 240</td>
<td>160 ± 20</td>
<td>1761 ± 24</td>
<td>160 ± 60</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td>160 ± 100</td>
<td>200 ± 120</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>27 ± 22</td>
<td>51 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACE-P</td>
<td>NW Pacific (25–50°N; 120–150°E)</td>
<td>Feb–Mar 1994</td>
<td>60 ± 25</td>
<td>138 ± 54</td>
<td>270 ± 180</td>
<td>230 ± 290</td>
<td>600 ± 350</td>
<td>1240 ± 500</td>
<td>360 ± 250</td>
<td>160 ± 50</td>
<td>1813 ± 21</td>
<td>220 ± 170</td>
<td>17 ± 9</td>
<td>190 ± 140</td>
<td>230 ± 150</td>
<td>20 ± 20</td>
<td>44 ± 66</td>
<td>176 ± 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITCT2K2</td>
<td>NE Pacific (30–45°N; 15–130°W)</td>
<td>Feb–Apr 2001</td>
<td>73 ± 10⁶</td>
<td>198 ± 40b</td>
<td>360 ± 130b</td>
<td>70 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>1600 ± 30⁸</td>
<td>1800 ± 18d</td>
<td>183 ± 28⁸</td>
<td>209 ± 89f</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>100 ± 100</td>
<td>100 ± 100f</td>
<td>430 ± 90⁶</td>
<td>450 ± 70⁰</td>
<td>110 ± 60⁴</td>
<td>20 ± 20</td>
<td>1834 ± 18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHOBEA</td>
<td>NE Pacific (47–49°N; 122–126°W)</td>
<td>Apr–May 2002</td>
<td>66 ± 13⁶</td>
<td>198 ± 40b</td>
<td>360 ± 130b</td>
<td>70 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>1600 ± 30⁸</td>
<td>1800 ± 18d</td>
<td>183 ± 28⁸</td>
<td>209 ± 89f</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>100 ± 100</td>
<td>100 ± 100f</td>
<td>430 ± 90⁶</td>
<td>450 ± 70⁰</td>
<td>110 ± 60⁴</td>
<td>20 ± 20</td>
<td>1834 ± 18d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a For PEM-West B and TRACE-P, the value listed are the mean ± 1σ of all observations between 5 and 10 km at [25–50°N, 120–150°E].
b Mean ± 1σ of 7 transpacific transport plumes obtained during ITCT2K2 from Nowak et al. [2004].
c The highest 10% concentration levels obtained during ITCT2K2 from Brock et al. [2004].
d Mean concentration ranges of Asian air masses originating north of 40°N or west of 180°W sampled between 2 and 8 km during the PHOBEA 1999 aircraft experiment [Kotchenruther et al., 2001].
e Mean concentration ranges in 6 Asian transpacific transport plumes observed in the free troposphere during the PHOBEA project between 1999 and 2002 [Price et al., 2004].
f For PEM-West B and ITCT2K2, NOₓ was measured in situ, while for TRACE-P NOₓ represents the sum of observed NOₓ, HNO₃, and PAN.

[36] A first possibility is that higher NOₓ mixing ratios during INTEX-A could be due in part to mixing with high background NOₓ over North America influenced by local lightning [Martin et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2007; Hudman et al., 2007]. Table 2 shows that NOₓ levels in the Asian plumes (290 pptv) are similar to those in background air (290 pptv). However, when we restrict our analysis to plumes sampled over the NE Pacific (1 July), we still find relatively high levels of NOₓ (150 pptv, Table 3, see section 4.4). In addition, the low NOₓ/HNO₃ in Asian air masses (0.3 mol/mol) indicates aged plumes compared to those influenced by local convection/lightning (1.26 mol/mol).

[37] A second possibility is more efficient NOₓ export during summer. Short-lived species like NOₓ, with strong vertical gradients, may be more efficiently exported to the free troposphere by deep convection compared to WCBs which dominate export during spring [Wild and Akimoto, 2001; Cooper et al., 2005]. Indeed, observations over North America indicate a doubling in NOₓ export efficiency during summer compared to fall and spring [Farrish et al., 2004a; Miyazaki et al. [2003] also found higher NOₓ export efficiency in convective outflow compared to WCB outflow over Asia.

[38] A third explanation is injection of lightning NOₓ in the plumes over Asia. The generally strong correlation in the Asian plumes between CO and PAN (r = 0.69) in combination with the much weaker correlation between CO and NOₓ (r = 0.30) suggest that exported anthropogenic NOₓ, mostly in the form of PAN, may be mixed with lightning NOₓ emissions over Asia or the western Pacific.

[39] Despite the difference in total reactive nitrogen, we find a similar partitioning among NOₓ species, with PAN being the dominant reactive nitrogen species, accounting for 44% of NOₓ during INTEX-A, compared to 40% for PEM-West B, 45% for TRACE-P, and 56% for ITCT2K2.

[40] Aerosol ion concentrations of SO₂⁺, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ in the Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A are similar to those observed during TRACE-P and ITCT2K2. Springtime measurements obtained during TRACE-P and ITCT2K2 display higher levels of Ca²⁺ (118 pptv and 73 pptv, respectively) than those observed during summer (31 pptv). Elevated Ca²⁺ concentrations are characteristic of dust emissions [Dibb et al., 2003a; Kline et al., 2004], which maximize in spring over east Asia [e.g., Merrill et al., 1989; Husar et al., 2001]. ITCT2K2 observations show high levels of K⁺ (75 pptv, compared to 21 pptv during INTEX-A) as well as CH₃CN (310 pptv, compared to...
158 pptv during INTEX-A), because of long-range transport of biomass burning emissions from SE Asia, which also maximize in spring [Nowak et al., 2004; de Gouw et al., 2004; Brock et al., 2004].

[44] The observed $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ ratio in the Asian plumes during INTEX-A (0.76 ± 0.04 mol mol$^{-1}$) is higher than the values observed in Asian plumes over the NE Pacific during spring: $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO = 0.37$ mol mol$^{-1}$ for PHOBEA [Price et al., 2004] and $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO = 0.10$ mol mol$^{-1}$ for ITCT2K2 [Nowak et al., 2004]. However, similar $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ enhancements ratios (>0.7 mol mol$^{-1}$) were reported in the upper troposphere downwind of Asia for July using CO and O$_3$ from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) instrument [Zhang et al., 2006]. Ozone production in the upper troposphere over east Asia reaches its maximum during summer because of efficient convective export of ozone precursors [Liu et al., 2002; Mauzerall et al., 2000] found a near doubling in net ozone production over east Asia during summer compared to spring.

[42] In addition, some Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A exhibit mixing with stratospheric air (see section 4.4), further enhancing O$_3$ levels. During summer at midlatitudes, stratosphere-troposphere exchange occurs mostly along isotropic surfaces that intersect the tropopause [Scott and Cammas, 2002; Jing et al., 2004]. The transport of Asian pollution in the upper troposphere over the Pacific is thus subject to mixing with lower stratospheric air.

### 4.4. Variability in Individual Plumes and Case Studies

[43] Individual Asian plumes all have common enhancements in CO, PAN, HCN, C$_2$H$_2$, C$_6$H$_6$, and methanol, but they display varying levels of O$_3$, HNO$_3$, and H$_2$O$_2$, as well as aerosol concentrations of SO$_4$$^{2-}$, NO$_x$, and NH$_4$ (Table 3). Below we contrast the two strongest Asian plumes observed on the 1 July and 2 August 2004 flights.

[44] The 1 July 2004 Asian long-range transport event is remarkable because of its very short transport time of 3–5 days, which is faster than many trans-Pacific transport events during spring [Jaffe et al., 2003]. Kritz et al. [1990] observed similarly fast transpacific transport events in the upper troposphere during the summers of 1983 and 1984, with 2–3 day transit times. Both the GEOS-Chem Asian CO tracer (Figure 4) and the kinematic back trajectories (Figure 5) indicate that the 1 July episode is the result of two separate transport plumes: plume A with a 3 day transpacific transport time and plume B with a 5 day transport time. Plume A was injected into the midlatitude jet in the upper troposphere through deep convection embedded in a midlatitude cyclone over NE China on 28 June 2004. Plume B was exported in the WCB of a midlatitude cyclone on 26 June. Note the rapid vertical transport associated with the WCB on Figure 5. The upper level large-scale flow was unusually zonal in the days following injection, favoring very rapid advection across the Pacific with a mean wind speed of 21 m/s and peak winds of 54 m/s (compared to the monthly average of 13 m/s). Both plumes arrived over the NE Pacific on 1 July where they were sampled by the DC-8 aircraft (Figure 4): plume B was intercepted three times (at 36°N, 41°N and 45°N), while plume A was intercepted further south (at 35°N).

[45] Figure 6 shows observed and modeled curtain plots of O$_3$ along the flight track for 1 July. Figure 7 shows observed vertical profiles of CO, O$_3$, SO$_4$$^{2-}$, HCN and PAN for the same flight. While all five tracers are enhanced in plume B, O$_3$, SO$_4$$^{2-}$, HCN and PAN show lower levels and sometimes no enhancement in plume A. This is consistent with the difference in transport time and the more northerly transport of plume A relative to plume B (Figure 5), which would result in less photochemical processing and thus less efficient production of O$_3$ and SO$_4$$^{2-}$ for plume A. Indeed, in plume A CO and O$_3$ are weakly correlated (r = 0.20) with a low O$_3$-CO slope of 0.17 mol mol$^{-1}$. CO and O$_3$ in plume B display a stronger positive correlation (r = 0.51) with a high slope of 1.12 mol mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 7c). In addition Table 3 shows that plume B has a lower NO$_x$/HNO$_3$ ratio (0.8 mol mol$^{-1}$) compared to plume A (1.2 mol mol$^{-1}$).
also consistent with an older plume with more photochemical processing of NO$_x$ to form HNO$_3$ [Jaegle et al., 1998].

The 2 August Asian plume was observed over the Gulf of Maine and was the result of intermixing of two Asian plumes. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the plume in the GEOS-Chem simulation and compares it to space-based CO observations from the Measurements of Pollution In The Troposphere (MOPITT) instrument [Drummond and Mand, 1996; Deeter et al., 2003, 2004] on board Terra (level 2 V3 data set).

The first plume (plume C) was lifted into the upper troposphere in deep convection over NE China on 24 July 2004 (Figures 8c and 9) and the second plume (plume D) was exported by vigorous lifting in a typhoon to the east of Japan on 28 July 2004 (Figures 8e, 8f, and 9). MOPITT CO on 28 July places plume C in the central North Pacific and the edge of plume D to the east of Japan (Figure 8d). The two plumes merged and advected eastward across the Pacific following the large-scale flow and arrived on the U.S. West Coast on 31 July (Figures 8g–8i). The merged plume was then rapidly transported behind a mid-latitude cyclone traveling from central Canada to the North Atlantic (Figures 8i and 8l). Biomass burning emissions from Alaskan and Canadian fires traveled below the Asian pollution, at 2–4 km, behind the cold front of that cyclone. Enhanced CO levels from these fires can be seen extending from Alaska through Central Canada on both the MOPITT observations and GEOS-Chem simulation on 26, 28 and 31 July (Figure 8). Part of the MOPITT CO column enhancements over the flight track region is due to the transport of these boreal forest fire emissions.

The vertical profiles for the 2 August flight indicate layered influences (Figure 10). A local pollution layer with moderately high CO mixing ratios was sampled in the boundary layer (0–2 km), extending from New England into the Atlantic. At 2–4 km altitude, colocated CO (>150 ppbv) and HCN (> 400 ppbv) enhancements indicate the sampling of the biomass burning plume. Finally, in the upper troposphere (8–11 km) the plume with Asian pollution contained elevated CO (100–150 ppbv), O$_3$ (60–140 ppbv), HCN (320–580 pptv), HNO$_3$ (up to 1.2 ppbv) and PAN (350–750 pptv). This plume was next to a stratospheric intrusion with high O$_3$, HNO$_3$, and low CO.

The chemical characteristics of the two Asian subplumes (C and D) are similar, probably because of the long transport time and sufficient intermixing, we thus do not separate them. The Asian plume of 2 August contained more NO$_y$ (~1590 pptv) compared to the 1 July plume.
The difference in NO$_x$ levels explains the contrasting levels of H$_2$O$_2$ and HNO$_3$ between the two plumes: 1 July contained high H$_2$O$_2$ (~1050 pptv) and low HNO$_3$ (~65 pptv), while 2 August displayed low H$_2$O$_2$ levels (390 pptv) with high HNO$_3$ (120 pptv). This indicates a shift from a NO$_x$-limited regime where the main loss of HO$_x$ is via HO$_2$+HO$_2$ forming H$_2$O$_2$ (1 July), to transition regime with higher NO$_x$ levels (2 August), where HO$_2$ + NO$_2$ → HNO$_3$ competes with the formation of H$_2$O$_2$ [Jaegle et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2007].

CO and O$_3$ were highly correlated ($r = 0.75$) in the 2 August Asian plume with a $\Delta$O$_3$/$\Delta$CO ratio of 0.98 mol mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 10b). The high ozone production rate is driven by long transport time, active photochemistry and abundant NO$_x$.

4.5. Comparison to GEOS-Chem Results

Simulated concentrations for each air mass type are indicated in parenthesis in Table 2. The model captures reasonably well background levels of O$_3$, CO, NO$_x$, PAN, H$_2$O$_2$, HCHO, C$_2$H$_6$, and C$_3$H$_8$, with an overestimate of HNO$_3$ mixing ratios. This is further discussed by Hudman et al. [2007]. The model predicts an average O$_3$ mixing ratio of 92 ppbv in the lower stratospheric air masses as compared to 212 ppbv in the observations. While the Synoz stratospheric O$_3$ method forces a realistic annual cross-tropopause flux of O$_3$, it does not reproduce well the regional and seasonal fluxes [Fusco and Logan, 2003; Hudman et al., 2004]. The modeled convection/lightning air masses have a similar signature compared to observations (low H$_2$O$_2$, high NO$_x$ and high NO$_x$/HNO$_3$ ratio), but underestimate NO$_x$ levels and overestimate H$_2$O$_2$ and HNO$_3$ levels. The model predicts enhancements of CO, C$_2$H$_6$, and H$_2$O$_2$ in the biomass burning air masses, but underestimates the magnitude of the enhancements, and shows no enhancements in PAN, HCHO, and C$_3$H$_8$. The modeled acetone mixing ratios are only half of the observed values in all air masses, indicating underestimate in biogenic emissions during summer.

We further focus on the model’s ability to capture the composition of the Asian plumes. While the model captures well the location and timing of the plumes (section 4.1), it underestimates the magnitude of the observed enhancements of O$_3$ and CO by a factor of 3. Relative to background levels the mean observed (modeled) enhancements in Asian air masses are 26 ppbv (8 ppbv) for O$_3$ and 33 ppbv (11 ppbv) for CO (Table 2). This is also true for individual plumes (see Table 3). In addition, while the observations show a doubling of PAN levels in Asian plumes relative to background, the model shows lower levels of PAN relative to background (Tables 2 and 3). The model also underestimates the observed levels of NO$_x$ in the plumes by a factor of two.

The model’s inability to capture the magnitude of observed strong transpacific plumes has been noted previously by Heald et al. [2003] and Hudman et al. [2004] and was attributed to numerical diffusion in the model. The combined large underestimate of NO$_x$ and PAN that we observe during INTEX-A could be due to a number of additional issues. First, poor representation of subgrid-scale processes such as deep convection could lead to an underestimate of export from Asia. Figure 8 shows that the model
significantly underestimates the magnitude of the enhancements observed by MOPITT, in particular in the plume associated with export in the typhoon. Second, the model might be underestimating Asian anthropogenic and soil NO\textsubscript{x} emissions \cite{Wang et al., 2004; McElroy and Wang, 2005; Martin et al., 2006}. Jaegle et al. \cite{2005} found that during summer, emissions from soils over Asia account for almost as much NO\textsubscript{x} as emissions from anthropogenic combustion sources, and that the inventory used by GEOS-Chem underestimates this soil source by a factor of 2.

\cite{55} Similar to our systematic model underestimate of Asian influence in the UT, Wang et al. \cite{2006} found that global and regional models were not able to capture the late spring increase in NO\textsubscript{x}, PAN, CO, and O\textsubscript{3} observed over North America. They attributed this to a poor representation of convection and lightning over east Asia. It appears that the poor performance of models in capturing the magnitude of transpacific transport enhancements persists though summer.

\cite{56} Previous GEOS-Chem studies have shown that, on average, Asian emissions contribute 1–5 ppbv to surface O\textsubscript{3} over North America during summer \cite{Li et al., 2002; Fiore et al., 2002}. Similar results were obtained with other CTMs \cite{Berntsen et al., 1999; Jacob et al., 1999}. As the model does not capture the observed free tropospheric enhancements in O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{x}, and PAN in the INTEX-A Asian plumes, it is likely that this 1–5 ppbv Asian contribution to surface O\textsubscript{3} is an underestimate of the true contribution of Asian intercontinental transport. We will investigate this in a separate paper.

5. Summary

\cite{57} Several Asian plumes were observed over North America during the NASA INTEX-A aircraft mission in

\textbf{Figure 7.} Observed CO, O\textsubscript{3}, HCN, PAN, and fine sulfate aerosols during the 1 July 2004 flight. Thick gray lines are the mean observed vertical profiles in background air. The two Asian subplumes are highlighted: plume A (red) and plume B (blue).
Figure 8. MOPITT CO at 350 hPa (left column), GEOS-Chem CO (with MOPITT kernel applied) at 350 hPa (middle column), and GEOS-Chem Asian CO (right column) at 369 hPa for (a–c) 26 July, (d–f) 28 July, (g–i) 31 July, and (j–l) 2 August 2004. MOPITT CO is regridded to the model resolution for comparison. Sea level pressure (contours) are shown in the middle column. The white thick line in Figure 8j indicates the flight track.
July-August 2004. We applied correlation analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) to the aircraft observations obtained between 6 and 12 km during INTEX-A to identify Asian plumes in the observations and to examine their composition.

We found distinct influences from Asia (7% of observations), the lower stratosphere (7%), convection and lightning (13%), and boreal forest fires (2%). The remaining 71% are assigned to background. The Asian air masses are significantly enhanced in CO, O$_3$, PAN, HCN, C$_2$H$_2$, C$_6$H$_6$, and SO$_4^{2-}$, consistent with the dominant influence of combustion emissions over east Asia. In addition, high levels of methanol and acetone indicate that biogenic emissions combine with the polluted outflow.

Our observations-based PCA method identifies five major Asian pollution plumes during INTEX-A. The Asian origin of these plumes is confirmed with results from the GEOS-Chem global model of tropospheric chemistry as well as back trajectories. The three main summertime trans-Pacific transport mechanisms are export of Asian pollution in the warm conveyor belts of midlatitude cyclones, deep convection, and lifting in typhoons followed by advection in the middle and upper troposphere for 3–9 days. Individual Asian plumes have some common characteristics (elevated CO, PAN, HCN, C$_3$H$_2$, and C$_2$H$_6$), but differ in the amounts of O$_3$, HNO$_3$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ present. We explain the differences in terms of a range of wet scavenging, chemical processing time and aging of the polluted air masses with conversion of NO$_x$ to HNO$_3$ accompanied by efficient O$_3$ production.

We contrast the composition of these summertime Asian plumes to observations obtained during spring aircraft campaigns: PEM-West B, PHOBEA, TRACE-P, and ITCT2K2. INTEX-A plumes contain lower levels of anthropogenic pollutants because of their shorter lifetime during summer. They display higher levels of biogenic tracers, indicating a more active biosphere. Finally, the Asian plumes observed during INTEX-A contain higher levels of reactive nitrogen species and O$_3$, possibly the result of active photochemistry fueled by higher NO$_x$ export efficiency from the Asian boundary layer. Additional summertime injection of lightning NO$_x$ over east Asia or the western Pacific might have further enhanced NO$_x$ levels in the upper troposphere. Stratosphere-troposphere exchange along isentropes accounts for part of the observed O$_3$ enhancements.

Although the GEOS-Chem model captures the timing and location of the observed Asian plumes, it underestimates the magnitude of enhancements of CO and O$_3$ by a factor of 3. In addition, the model does not show any enhancements in PAN (observations show a doubling...
relative to background levels) and significantly underestimates NO\textsubscript{x} levels in the plumes. While some of these underestimates are likely due to transport problems (numerical diffusion and poor representation of subgrid-scale features such as deep convection), it is also possible that the model underestimates surface NO\textsubscript{x} emissions over Asia and/or lightning emissions over Asia. The model underestimate of the observed O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{x}, and PAN enhancements in the Asian plumes suggests that current models might be underestimating the Asian contribution to summertime surface O\textsubscript{3} over North America.
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