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## Background



Above Figure from Beth Ebert

## Background



| Traditional score | geom001/002/004 | geom003 | geom005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.81 |
| Frequency bias | 1.00 | 4.02 | 8.03 |
| Multiplicative <br> intensity bias | 1.00 | 4.02 | 8.04 |
| RMSE (mm) | 3.5 | 5.6 | 6.9 |
| Bias-corrected <br> RMSE (mm) | 3.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 |
| Correlation <br> coefficient | -0.02 | -0.05 | 0.20 |
| Probability of <br> detection | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 |
| Probability of false <br> detection | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| False alarm ratio <br> Hanssen-Kuipers <br> discriminant (H-K) | -0.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 |
| Threat score or CSI | 0.00 | -0.11 | 0.69 |
| Equitable threat <br> score or GSS | -0.01 | -0.00 | 0.11 |
| HSS | -0.03 | -0.04 | 0.16 |

## Background



Fig. 2 from G. et al. (2010, 10.1175/2010BAMS2819.1)

## Distance Measures

Pratt's Figure of Merit (FoM) =

## centroid distance (CD)

$$
\operatorname{FoM}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B})=\frac{1}{\max \{n(A), n(B)\}} \sum_{x \in B} \frac{1}{1+\kappa d(x, A)}
$$



## Distance Measures

$d(x, A)$

$d(x, B)$


Distance maps for A and B. Note dependence on location within the domain.

## Distance Measures

$$
T=|\omega(d(x, A))-\omega(d(x, B))|
$$

## Baddeley's $\Delta$ Metric

- $p=1$ gives the arithmetic average of $T$
- $p=2$ is the usual choice
- $p=\infty$ gives the max of T (Hausdorff distance, H)
$\Delta$ is the $L_{p}$ norm of $T$
$d(x, A)$ and $d(x, B)$ are first transformed by a function $\omega$. Usually,
$\omega(x)=\max (x$, constant $)$, but the picture here uses " $\infty$ " for the constant term.
$\Delta(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})=\Delta(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})=\left[\Sigma_{\mathrm{x} \text { in } D} \mathrm{~T}^{p}\right]^{1 / p} /|\mathrm{N}|$
$|\mathrm{N}|$ is the size of the domain, $D$.


## Distance Measures

Zhu's metric (Z) from Zhu et al. (2011, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.09.004)

Between forecast F and observation O :
$\mathrm{Z}=\lambda_{1} \mathrm{D} 1+\lambda_{2} \mathrm{D} 2$
D1 = root sum of squared differences between the two binary fields (overlap measure)
$D 2=M E D(F, O)$ (or MED miss) provided the product of the number of points in either set is not zero. If no 1-valued points are in both $F$ and $O$. Otherwise, it is set to a large number.

## ICP Phase 1



## MesoVICT



## MesoVICT

WWRP COPS (RDP, Wulfmeyer, et al., 2008, BAMS) and D-PHASE (FDP, Rotach, et al., 2009, BAMS), data available: (http://cera-www.dkrz.de/WDCC/ui/Index.jsp)


## Observations-Joint D-PHASE COPS (JDC) data-set

- 32 data providers
- GTS-Stations: 1232
- NGTS-Stations: > 13000
- Mean station distance: GTS: ~

36 km
GTS+Non-GTS: ~ 12km
Frames: D-PHASE (large)
\& COPS (small) areas

## MesoVICT

Case 1 (core case): 20-22 June 2007 (COPS IOP case)
Storng convective developments north of the Alps followed by a cold front the next day. Cold air mass could not spill over the Alps.


Precipitation analysis for the 3hperiod ending at 21 June 2007, 00 UTC.


Equivalent potential temperature analysis for 21 June 2007, 12 UTC.

## New Geometric Cases

## Pathological Cases

P1: Null Case

P2: Full Case

## New Geometric Cases

## Pathological Cases



## New Geometric Cases

$\Delta=Ð=\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CD}=\mathrm{MED}=\mathrm{Z}=0.00$, FoM undefined


FoM(P2, P1) undefined MED(P2, P1) undefined
$\Delta=\mathrm{H}=400.00$
$\mathrm{Đ}=401.99$
$C D=142.13$
$Z=200$
rP1P2: Perfectly bad (all errors = 1)
$\operatorname{FoM}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2)=0.00$
$\operatorname{MED}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2)=400.00$

$$
\Delta=Đ=H=C D=M E D=Z=0.00, F o M=1.00
$$

## New Geometric Cases

| P1P3: Exactly one grid cell with <br> error $=-1$ and all else are zero. <br> $\Delta=246.29$ <br> $D=322.12, H=400.00$ <br> $C D=1.41, Z=100.50$ <br> $M o M(P 1, P 3)=0.00$ <br> $M E D(P 1, P 3)=400.00$ | FoM(P3, P1) undefined <br> $M E D(P 3, P 1)$ undefined |
| :--- | :--- |

P1P4: Same as P1P3, but different placement of the error.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta=246.29 \\
& Đ=322.12, H=400.00 \\
& C D=282.84, Z=100.50
\end{aligned}
$$

## P2P5: Same as P1P5 but the one

 grid square is the only non-error.

$$
\Delta=320.51
$$

$\mathrm{D}=322.12, \mathrm{H}=400.00$
$C D=141.42, Z=100.50$
$\operatorname{FoM}(P 1, P 5)=0.00$
$\operatorname{MED}(P 1, P 5)=400.00$
MED(P5, P1) undefined
$\Delta=86.21$
Đ = 86.62, $\mathrm{H}=142 . .13$
$C D=0.71, Z=140.44$
$\operatorname{FoM}(P 2, P 5)=0.01$
$\operatorname{MED}(\mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 5)=0.00, \operatorname{MED}(\mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 2)=80.88$
$\operatorname{FoM}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 4)=0.00$
$\operatorname{MED}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 4)=400.00$
MED(P4, P1) undefined

$$
\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}
$$

## New Geometric Cases



Circle Cases

## New Geometric Cases



## New Geometric Cases

C1-C2


C2-C4


MED(False Alarm) $=$ MED(Miss) $=21.92$
FoM $($ False Alarm $)=\mathrm{FoM}($ Miss $)=0.07$

## New Geometric Cases

C1-C9

$\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$
Baddeley's $\Delta=38.13$
$\mathrm{Đ}=38.17$
Hausdorff $=43.43$
Centroid distance $=0.00$
Zhu's metric $=50.5$

MED(Miss) $=21.72$
$\operatorname{MED}($ False Alarm $)=0.00$
FoM(Miss) $=0.12$
FoM(False Alarm) $=0.18$

## New Geometric Cases



## New Geometric Cases


rE4E8


Complex Terrain Cases

## New Geometric Cases

$\Delta=22.53$
$\mathrm{Đ}=22.52, \mathrm{H}=25.13$
$C D=25.00, Z=36.41$
E1E9


FoM $=0.05$
$\mathrm{MED}=17.09$
$\Delta=14.15$
Đ = 14.18, H=25.13
$C D=0.00, Z=20.02$
E3E7


FoM(Miss) $=0.5$
FoM(False Alarm) $=0.25$ MED(Miss) $=0.00$
MED(False Alarm) $=5.86$


FoM(Miss) $=0.19$
FoM(False Alarm) $=0.14$
MED(Miss) $=3.10$
$\operatorname{MED}($ False Alarm $)=12.01$

$$
\Delta=23.51
$$

$$
\mathrm{Đ}=23.53, \mathrm{H}=40.2
$$

$$
C D=0.00, Z=27.16
$$



$$
\text { FoM }=0.34
$$

$$
\text { MED }=13.30
$$

$\Delta=32.16$
$\mathrm{D}=31.18, \mathrm{H}=65.38$
$C D=25.00, Z=35.23$


$$
\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}
$$

FoM(Miss) $=0.32$
FoM(False Alarm) $=0.30$
MED(Miss) $=14.08$
MED(False Alarm) 20.76

## New Geometric Cases



## Random Rain Cases

## New Geometric Cases

$\Delta=1.91$
$\mathrm{Đ}=1.78, \mathrm{H}=9.12$
$C D=1.30, Z=10.59$

## Random Rain Cases

FoM $=0.62$
MED(False Alarm) $=2.37$
$\operatorname{MED}($ Miss $)=2.56$


$\Delta=63.39$
$\mathrm{D}=63.49, \mathrm{H}=104.42$
$C D=99.11, Z=45.06$
$\mathrm{FoM}=0.00$
$\operatorname{MED}$ (False Alarm) $=70.04$
MED(Miss) $=70.60$

## New Geometric Cases

Additional Cases include:

- Holes (inverted C1 and C2)
- C1C4 with noise added
- C1C4 with P3 added
- C1C4 with P5 added


## Summary

- Overview paper of project accepted to BAMS (available at Early online release:
https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/BAMS-
D-17-0164.1).
- Special Collection of Papers for Monthly Weather Review
- SpatialVx (R package for performing many of the spatial methods; still in beta form-use at your own risk!)
- All test cases and other information (including preliminary results) available at MesoVICT web site (https://ral.ucar.edu/projects/icp/)
- New geometric cases available soon (paper in progress).


## Thank you

EricG "at" ucar "punto" edu
https://ral.ucar.edu/staff/ericg/

