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ABSTRACT

At high latitudes, changes in soil moisture could alter soil temperatures independently of air temperature changes by interacting with the snow thermal rectifier. The authors investigated this mechanism with model experiments in the Community Land Model 4 (CLM4) with prescribed atmospheric forcing and vegetation state. Under equilibrium historical conditions, increasing CO₂ concentrations experienced by plants from 285 to 857 ppm caused local increases in soil water-filled pore space of 0.1–0.2 in some regions throughout the globe. In permafrost regions that experienced this moistening, vertical- and annual- mean soil temperatures increased by up to 3°C (0.27°C averaged over all permafrost areas). A similar pattern of moistening and consequent warming occurred in simulations with prescribed June–September (JJAS) rainfall increases of 25% over historical values, a level of increase commensurate with projected future rainfall increases. There was a strong sensitivity of the moistening responses to the baseline hydrological state. Experiments with perturbed physics confirmed that the simulated warming in permafrost soils was caused by increases in the soil latent heat of fusion per unit volume and in the soil thermal conductivity due to the increased moisture. In transient Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) scenario experiments, soil warming due to increased CO₂ or JJAS rainfall was smaller in magnitude and spatial extent than in the equilibrium experiments. Active-layer deepening associated with soil moisture changes occurred over less than 8% of the current permafrost area because increased heat of fusion and soil thermal conductivity had compensating effects on active-layer depth. Ongoing modeling challenges make these results tentative.

1. Introduction

Permafrost-zone soils contain about 1700 Pg C (Tarnocai et al. 2009), and recent research has focused on investigating the potential vulnerability of this carbon to mineralization under climate warming (Schuur et al. 2008, 2011). A number of modeling studies have investigated the magnitude of expected near-surface permafrost thaw (Schaefer et al. 2011), which may be a precursor to large losses of soil carbon, but these studies typically simulated or analyzed only surface air temperature (SAT; precise definitions of common terms in appendix A, section a) changes as the primary driver of soil temperature increases. However, other factors can be at least as important in determining soil temperature and active layer thickness (ALT; appendix A, section a): the seasonally asymmetric presence of snow insulation (the snow thermal rectifier) is a major control on soil temperature (Goodrich 1982; Zhang 2005). Many studies have shown the potential for changes in snowfall, snow properties, and snow season length to alter soil
temperatures (Iijima et al. 2010; Lawrence and Slater 2010; Pavlov and Moskalenko 2002; Stieglitz et al. 2003; Westermann et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2005).

Modeling has shown that increased soil moisture could cause mean annual warming of permafrost soils by interacting with the snow thermal rectifier because of increased latent heat of fusion per unit soil volume (LHF) (Goodrich 1982) and increased soil thermal conductivity (Zhang and Stamnes 1998). Two mechanisms that could alter soil moisture independently of air temperature under twenty-first-century climate change are the physiological effects of increased CO₂ on plants and increased precipitation. An experimental synthesis found significant increases in soil moisture across a range of ecosystems due to reduced transpiration in open-air elevated-CO₂ experiments (van Groenigen et al. 2011). Modeling studies typically find decreased transpiration resulting from increased CO₂ (Boucher et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2010; de Boer et al. 2011; Gopalakrishnan et al. 2011; Sellers et al. 1996b), consistent with recent observed increases in continental runoff (Gedney et al. 2006). Such reduced transpiration could result in SAT increases due to an increased Bowen ratio (Boucher et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2010; Sellers et al. 1996b). Additional warming could result from decreased albedo due to increased leaf area associated with CO₂ fertilization (Bala et al. 2006; Notaro et al. 2005, 2007). Nevertheless, we are unaware of any studies investigating the effects of elevated-CO₂ physiological forcing on soil temperatures.

A robust prediction in future climate simulations is increased precipitation at high latitudes throughout the year (Christensen et al. 2007), although the net effects on soil moisture are uncertain because of likely increases in potential evapotranspiration (ET) (Hinzman et al. 2005) and possible increases in drainage due to the retreat of underlying impervious permafrost (Avis et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2005). Some studies have discussed permafrost soil temperature increases co-occurring with increases in rainfall (Dankers et al. 2011; Iijima et al. 2010; Saito 2008; Westermann et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2001), but these studies did not isolate changes in soil thermal properties associated with increased moisture from other influences on soil temperature, including changes in summer Bowen ratio and in snow properties. Observational evidence for increased ALT or warmer soils in areas with higher soil moisture is mixed (Hinkel and Nelson 2003; Hinkel et al. 2001; Jorgenson et al. 2010; Shiklomanov et al. 2007), which could be due to the confounding warming effects of surface water and cooling effects of increased near-surface moss and soil organic content in wet ecosystems (Jorgenson et al. 2010). One recent Arctic experimental manipulation partially attributed observed summer soil warming to increased subsurface thermal conductivity associated with flooding treatment (Zona et al. 2012).

In this study, we investigated whether increased soil moisture from increased CO₂ or summer rainfall could cause soil warming in addition to that caused by increases in air temperature associated with climate change, and we quantified the mechanisms by which soil moisture affects soil temperature. To illustrate the thermal dynamics occurring in the model experiments, we include conceptual and simplified analyses of the seasonal cycle in section 3c(3).

2. Methods

We performed experiments with the Community Land Model 4 (CLM4) (Lawrence et al. 2011), the land surface component of the Community Climate System Model 4 (CCSM4) (Gent et al. 2011) and the Community Earth System Model 1 (CESM1) (http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm1.0/). Transpiration is calculated iteratively during the evaluation of surface and canopy energy fluxes, using formulations for stomatal conductance (Collatz et al. 1991; Oleson et al. 2010; Sellers et al. 1996a) and photosynthesis (Collatz et al. 1991; Farquhar et al. 1980) that include soil-moisture stress (Oleson et al. 2008). CLM4 soil physics includes vertically resolved thermal and hydrological diffusion in 10 soil layers down to 3.8 m, with five hydrologically inactive bedrock layers continuing down to 42 m (Lawrence et al. 2011; Oleson et al. 2010). The effects of observed vertically resolved gridcell-mean soil organic content on soil physical properties are prescribed (Lawrence and Slater 2008). At each time step, thermal diffusion is solved in the absence of phase change, and then temperatures are corrected for LHF (Oleson et al. 2010), with allowance for the persistence of unfrozen soil moisture below the nominal freezing point (Niu and Yang 2006) (sensitivity to this parameterization in appendix A, section b). While CLM4 contains a number of subgrid land types, we only analyzed changes occurring in the vegetated land unit (appendix A, section a). The snow model includes up to five resolved layers with state variables of temperature, ice density, water density, snow grain radius, and deposited aerosol concentration (Flanner and Zender 2006; Flanner et al. 2007; Lawrence et al. 2011). Predictions of snow cover, snow accumulation, and permafrost extent in the offline CLM4 compare satisfactorily with observations, while positive snowfall biases in the coupled CCSM4 increase soil temperatures and ALT and decrease permafrost extent, somewhat degrading their realism (Lawrence et al. 2011, 2012a,b). In the simulations performed here, we included preliminary changes to CLM4 soil hydrology that correct...
for unrealistic conduction of liquid water through frozen soils (appendix A, section c).

We performed offline simulations using prescribed atmospheric forcing, leaf area, and canopy height in order to isolate the direct interactions of soil moisture and soil temperature (Table 1; detailed model-configuration information in appendix A, section d). For equilibrium historical simulations, we used repeated cycles of 1948–72 atmospheric forcing from a bias-corrected reanalysis dataset of historical conditions (Qian et al. 2006). For equilibrium 1850–74 and for transient climate change simulations, we used atmospheric forcing generated from a coupled CCSM4 simulation for the 1850–2005 historical period and the 2006–99 Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) scenario (Riahi et al. 2011) (appendix A, section e). June–September (JJAS) rainfall for the simulated 1850s permafrost region (non-glaciated land area north of 45°N with mean annual SAT ≤ 0°C) increased by 27% in this scenario from the 1850s to the 2090s (supplemental Fig. 1). The atmospheric forcing used here is subject to the biases in CCSM4 (de Boer et al. 2012; Gent et al. 2011; Lawrence et al. 2012a,b; Peacock 2012); we briefly discuss the likely implications of these biases for our simulations in section 4.

3. Interactions of soil moisture and soil temperature under equilibrium historical conditions

a. Results

To set the context for the results presented here, we found that eliminating snow insulation (experiment NoSnowIns-Control; Table 1), while maintaining other snow properties unchanged (appendix A, section f), caused 7°C-mean and 18°C-maximum decreases in annual-mean soil temperatures north of 45° latitude (supplemental Fig. 2a); differences between SAT and soil surface temperature in Control (supplemental Fig. 2b) were commensurate with previous studies (Zhang 2005). This result indicates a very large effect of the snow thermal rectifier in CLM4 compared to projected future SAT increases, implying a large potential sensitivity to processes that modify or interact with this effect.

Increasing atmospheric CO2 concentrations experienced by plants (CO2-Control) from 285 (preindustrial levels) to 857 ppm [projected for 2100 in the A2 scenario (Nakicenovic and Swart 2000)] caused increases in soil water-filled pore space (WFPS) of ~0.1–0.2 (mean...
0.03 in Control permafrost; Table 1) in many regions throughout the globe because of reduced transpiration (Fig. 1a). Increasing JJAS rainfall by 25% (HiRain-Control) caused similarly widespread WFPS increases (Fig. 1b), although the increases extended further north into the Canadian archipelago where there was insufficient vegetation to cause reduced transpiration in CO2-Control. Increasing JJAS rainfall from 75% of the climatological value to 100% (Control-LoRain) caused even larger increases in WFPS (mean 0.06 in Control permafrost), especially in Northeastern Siberia (Fig. 1c), indicating substantial sensitivity to the baseline hydrological state.

In these three experiments, increases in WFPS of 0.1–0.2 in permafrost soils were associated with local increases in vertical- and annual-mean soil temperatures of $-1^\circ$C–2°C (mean 0.3–0.6°C in Control permafrost; Figs. 2a–c), particularly northeast Siberia, northern Canada, and northern Alaska; these regions were characterized by cold winter air, nonnegligible winter snow accumulation, nonnegligible leaf area (for CO2-Control) or summer rainfall (for HiRain-Control), and unsaturated soils in the baseline simulation (supplemental Figs. 3a,b). Warming was largest in the Control-LoRain experiment, which also had the largest simulated soil moisture increases. Local soil temperature changes outside of present-day permafrost regions were smaller than 0.5°C in magnitude, and we did not analyze changes in those regions.

b. Perturbed physics simulations

To test the hypothesis that these soil temperature increases were caused by increased LHF and soil thermal conductivity due to increased soil moisture, we repeated the elevated-CO2 experiment with perturbed physics that selectively eliminated these mechanisms (see appendix A, section f, for model configuration details). In tkSat_CO2-tkSat, soil thermal conductivity was prescribed to saturated values (either for frozen or unfrozen soils, respectively) while LHF varied with soil moisture; in NoHfus_CO2-NoHfus, LHF was eliminated beneath the top soil layer while thermal conductivity varied with soil moisture; and in tkSat_NoHfus_CO2-tkSat_NoHfus, both thermal conductivity and LHF were prescribed. While the patterns of WFPS increases in these three experiments were similar to those in CO2-Control (Figs. 1a,d–f), the magnitude of warming was reduced by about 36% (Table 1) in both tkSat_CO2-tkSat and NoHfus_CO2-NoHfus (Figs. 2d,e). In tkSat_NoHfus_CO2-tkSat_NoHfus, the warming was reduced by 81% (Table 1) compared to CO2-Control (Fig. 2f). These results demonstrate that these two mechanisms coupling soil moisture and soil temperature were necessary to cause the warming we observed in section 3a.

c. Analysis

1) VERTICAL AND SEASONAL PATTERNS OF SOIL TEMPERATURE CHANGE

To better understand the mechanisms coupling soil moisture to soil temperature, we computed a mean annual cycle averaged over all grid cells showing greater than 1°C soil temperature increases in CO2-Control (Fig. 2a), weighting each grid cell equally (hereafter denoted the comparison region). The comparison region, representing 7% of the permafrost area in Control, was characterized by the largest magnitude of soil warming resulting from soil moistening due to the combination of factors described in section 3a. Decreased transpiration in CO2-Control caused WFPS to increase from 0.7 to 0.9 in the middle to lower active layer for the comparison region (supplemental Fig. 4b), which had a mean ALT in Control of 1.5 m (Fig. 3a). Near-surface soils warmed by up to 3.3°C in the winter while slightly cooling (<0.4°C) in the summer (Fig. 3b). This seasonal cycle was rectified to a nearly constant 1.3°C warming deeper than 4.5 m. The mean ALT increased by 0.1 m, and the fall freeze-up was delayed by about 3 days.

Soil temperature changes for the comparison region in the elevated-CO2 experiments with perturbed physics (Fig. 3c,d) showed a complementary vertical–seasonal pattern from the two mechanisms coupling soil moisture and soil temperature, roughly adding linearly to produce the pattern in Fig. 3b. With variable LHF only (tkSat_CO2-tkSat), winter warming and summer cooling were relatively vertically symmetric (Fig. 3c), as increased energy diverged from the freeze front in the fall and winter and converged to the thaw front in the summer (Fig. 4c); the increase in the energy-transport requirement caused delays in freeze and thaw and reduced ALT by 0.4 m. With variable soil conductivity only (NoHfus_CO2-NoHfus), near-surface winter warming and summer cooling contrasted with winter cooling and summer warming at the top of the permafrost table (Fig. 3d), causing an ALT increase of 0.5 m; winter energy fluxes increased upward in the winter and downward in the summer (Fig. 4d). In both cases, we can infer that the longer seasonal extent and larger magnitude of winter warming as compared with summer cooling required the seasonally asymmetric presence of snow insulation; when the CO2-Control experiment was repeated with snow insulation eliminated, no net warming occurred (not shown).
FIG. 1. Vertical- (to 3.8-m depth) and annual-mean soil water-filled pore space differences for experiments under equilibrium historical conditions: (a) increased CO₂, (b) increased JJAS rainfall, (c) increased JJAS rainfall relative to reduced baseline, (d) increased CO₂ with saturated soil thermal conductivity, (e) increased CO₂ with no LHF, and (f) increased CO₂ with both modifications to soil physics. Cyan lines encircle regions with ALT < 5 m in the baseline (i.e., drier) simulations for each panel.
FIG. 2. As in Fig. 1, but for soil temperature differences (°C). Cyan lines encircle regions with ALT < 5 m in the baseline (i.e., cooler) simulations for each panel.
2) CHANGES IN SURFACE ENERGY FLUXES

We examined the annual cycle of surface energy flux changes in the comparison region. Because of increased CO₂ (CO₂-Control), the downward subsurface energy flux (appendix A, section a) increased by as much as 4 W m⁻² in the summer and decreased by about 2 W m⁻² throughout the winter (Fig. 5a), enhancing the baseline seasonal cycle of energy exchange between the soil and the atmosphere. In the summer, decreased transpiration caused an increase in the Bowen ratio. Some increased shortwave absorption occurred in the spring and summer because of earlier snowmelt (a positive feedback to the soil warming) and because of the moister and, therefore, darker soil. Monthly mean snow thickness decreases were <5%. In the perturbed physics experiments isolating mechanisms coupling soil moisture and soil temperature (Figs. 5b,c), the enhanced seasonal energy exchange simulated in CO₂-Control was decreased by about half; when both these mechanisms were removed (Fig. 5d), it was negligible, leaving only the summer Bowen ratio change due to decreased transpiration. Increasing JJAS rainfall (HiRain-Control; Fig. 5e) caused nearly identical changes in downward subsurface energy flux as CO₂-Control, although the summer Bowen ratio change was reversed. Summer SAT changes (Fig. 6) were smaller in magnitude than 0.2°C in these uncoupled experiments and not consistent in sign with surface, vegetation, and soil temperature (Fig. 4) changes, highlighting that Bowen ratio changes had only minor contributions to the soil temperature changes.

3) CONCEPTUAL AND SIMPLE ANALYTICAL REPRESENTATIONS

Conceptually, the LHF mechanism warms the soils after moistening because the extra LHF released during freeze tends to be trapped under the snow in the winter, while the extra LHF needed to melt the extra soil ice in
the summer can be readily conducted into the soil from the atmosphere when snow is not present. Likewise, increased soil thermal conductivity acts to conduct more heat through the active layer during the summer but cannot contribute equally to heat loss during the winter because the snow is the dominant thermal resistance. Without a winter snowpack, the greater thermal conductivity of ice compared with liquid water would reverse the sign of this mechanism because of the “thermal offset” (Goodrich 1982).

Analytically, we first examine the LHF mechanism by considering the latent-heat-dominated regime where $L \gg c\Delta T$: $L$ is LHF, $c$ is the soil heat capacity per unit volume, and $\Delta T$ is the amplitude of seasonal temperature variation. In this regime, the Stefan equation approximates the instantaneous thaw depth $X$ during the unfrozen season (Riseborough et al. 2008):

$$X = \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{L}},$$

where $k$ is the soil thermal conductivity and $T$ is proportional to the thawing-degree days (the time integral of the temperature above freezing). In the Stefan equation, increasing $L$ decreases the seasonal maximum $X$ by a factor proportional to $1/\sqrt{L}$ but increases the integrated summer subsurface energy flux $(XL)$ by a factor of $\sqrt{L}$. In tkSat_CO2-tkSat, exemplifying the LHF mechanism, reduced transpiration from increased CO$_2$ added $110$ kg m$^{-2}$ of water to the active layer in the comparison region (supplemental Fig. 4c). This would correspond to an increased $XL$, to first order, of $55$ kg m$^{-2}$, implying an increased flux to the atmosphere of about $1.2$ W m$^{-2}$ averaged over November–April. During this period, such a change in energy flux across a mean 0.33 m of snow with conductivity $0.25$ W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ would have been expected to be associated with a $1.5^\circ$C increase in the difference between the soil and snow surface temperatures. This is similar to the $1.2^\circ$C increase occurring in tkSat_CO2-tkSat.
Secondly, we examine the thermal conductivity mechanism, which applies in both the $L \gg c\Delta T$ regime and in the opposite, purely diffusive, regime (Riseborough et al. 2008, their Eq. 2). In both cases, the integrated summer subsurface energy flux is proportional to $\sqrt{k}$. In the NoHfus_CO2-NoHfus experiment exemplifying the thermal conductivity mechanism, the summer $k$ increased by $\sim 25\%$ (not shown) in the comparison.
region because of extra soil moisture. As the total summer subsurface energy absorption in the comparison region was $9.5 \times 10^7$ J m$^{-2}$ in the NoHfus simulation, we would expect this to have increased, to first order, by 12.5% or $1.2 \times 10^7$ J m$^{-2}$. To exchange this increased energy with the atmosphere of 0.76 W m$^{-2}$ divided over the November–April period, we would expect the difference between soil and snow surface temperatures to increase by 1.1°C given the 0.35 m of snow in NoHfus_CO2-NoHfus. This is similar to the increase of 1.3°C occurring in the model experiment.

These two mechanisms explained at least 80% of the soil warming associated with soil moistening in our
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**Fig. 6.** As in Fig. 5, but for monthly mean near-surface temperature differences (°C). Surface temperature is defined as the temperature of the top resolved soil or snow (if present) layer; vegetation temperature is defined at the leaf surface when present.
experiments, comparing the responses in tkSat_NoHfus_CO2-tkSat_NoHfus to CO2-Control (Table 1). Additional mechanisms may have made minor contributions to the net warming. In particular, adding water or ice to soils increases the specific heat per unit volume, which would cause seasonal temperature changes similar in sign to those caused by the LHF mechanism. This specific heat mechanism is explicitly represented in CLM4, as the (time varying) soil heat capacity is a function of soil mineral, water, and ice concentrations (Oleson et al. 2010). However, the LHF and thermal conductivity mechanisms dominated this mechanism in our experiments because, in general, $L \gg c \Delta T$ in permafrost soils. To illustrate, an additional 55 kg m$^{-2}$ of water in the active layer (as in tkSat_CO2-tkSat) would increase the total heat capacity by up to $2.3 \times 10^5$ J K$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (for the unfrozen season) or $1.1 \times 10^5$ J K$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (for the unfrozen season). [Like increasing LHF, increasing heat capacity by adding water would tend to reduce the depth of seasonal temperature penetration (Riseborough et al. 2008), causing the first-order increase in seasonal energy exchange to be half as large as that proportional to the heat capacity increase.] Assuming an amplitude of 5°C warming during the unfrozen season and 10°C cooling during the frozen season, typical of the comparison region, this increased heat capacity would require an extra $\sim 1.1 \times 10^6$ J m$^{-2}$ of energy exchange in both seasons. This is an order of magnitude smaller than the increased energy exchange requirements caused by each of the other two mechanisms described above.

4. Effects on future permafrost thaw vulnerability

a. Preindustrial equilibrium experiments forced by CCSM4

To investigate whether the mechanisms illustrated in section 3 may be important factors affecting permafrost thaw during future climate change, we performed additional land model experiments forced with atmospheric conditions generated by a CCSM4 historical and RCP8.5 1850–2099 simulation (appendix A, section e). We first repeated equilibrium experiments forced by the 1850–74 period in order to identify the sensitivity of the responses to the baseline atmospheric forcing. For elevated CO$_2$ (1850_CO2-1850) and increased JJAS rainfall (1850_HiRain-1850), regions of moistening (Figs. 7a,b) and warming (Figs. 8a,b) permafrost soils occurred, but these regions were smaller in spatial extent and magnitude of warming (Table 1) than, and generally did not overlap with, the regions warming in CO$_2$-Control and HiRain-Control. This result reinforces the high sensitivity of these moistening mechanisms to the modeled hydrological state: comparing supplemental Fig. 3 with Figs. 1a–c and Figs. 7a,b illustrates that regions of permafrost experiencing moistening with increased CO$_2$ or rainfall were those regions not saturated in the baseline simulations.

Biases in the CCSM4 atmospheric forcing may also have contributed to the weaker soil warming response in the 1850_CO2-1850 and 1850_HiRain-1850 experiments as compared with the CO$_2$-Control and HiRain-Control experiments. Prescribed snowfall in the 1850 simulation (supplemental Fig. 5) was much larger than in the Control simulation (not shown) (Qian et al. 2006) throughout the Arctic (by 6.7 mm month$^{-1}$, or 49%, averaged over nonglaciated land area north of 60° latitude), consistent with the excessive snowfall bias in historical CCSM4 simulations (Lawrence et al. 2012a,b). This increased prescribed snowfall was associated with increased simulated snow accumulation in these CLM4 simulations. As in Lawrence et al. (2012b), the increased snow accumulation apparently caused warmer winter Arctic soil temperatures, smaller permafrost area, and a shorter growing season. All of these factors would tend to reduce the sensitivity to the soil warming mechanisms described in section 3, although the increased snow insulation would itself tend to increase this sensitivity. Additional experiments would be required to quantify the relative importance of all the hydrological and thermal factors contributing to disparate responses in the CCSM4-forced experiments.

b. Transient experiments under climate change

We investigated the soil moistening and warming mechanisms under transient climate change by comparing a fully transient RCP8.5 land model simulation to one with fixed 1850 CO$_2$ concentrations of 285 ppm (RCP8.5-RCP8.5_FixCO$_2$) and to one with decadal JJAS rainfall forcing detrended (appendix A, section g) to maintain the 1850–74 climatology (RCP8.5-RCP8.5_FixRain). Regions of moistening (Figs. 7c–h) and warming (Figs. 8c–h) permafrost soils occurred throughout the 2025–99 period, but warming due to moistening was smaller in magnitude than that occurring in the equilibrium historical experiments (Table 1). Warming of $\sim 0.5$°C from increased CO$_2$ also occurred in some areas that had already lost near-surface permafrost by the end of the twenty-first century (Figs. 8e,g), associated with up to 0.2-m increases in annual-mean thickness of unfrozen soil. Warming from increased CO$_2$ in already-thawed permafrost was largest during the 2075–99 period (Fig. 8g) and during the fall season (not shown).

Temporal and regional mismatches between the areas susceptible to moistening (from increased CO$_2$
or rainfall) and the areas susceptible to consequent warming drove the smaller impacts of soil moistening in the future simulations as compared to those simulated under historical conditions. By the latter half of the 21st century, when the JJAS rainfall and the CO2 trends became large (supplemental Figs. 1 and 6), permafrost extent was already substantially reduced (Fig. 8) and air temperatures had dramatically increased (supplemental Fig. 5), especially during winter, reducing susceptibility to the mechanisms coupling soil moisture and soil temperature. The rapid loss of permafrost may have been accentuated by the CCSM4 excessive-snowfall bias (Lawrence et al. 2012b). The late-twenty-first-century regional mismatch was also exacerbated in RCP8.5-RCP8.5_FixCO2 because the permafrost had retreated to high Arctic regions lacking much leaf area at present. Our prescribed leaf area simulations were inherently unable to show much sensitivity to CO2 concentrations in these barren northern regions, but vegetation productivity could increase there under a more hospitable climate, which would then increase the potential for CO2 increases to cause increases in soil moisture.

c. Effects on permafrost vulnerability to thaw

Increased ALT and vulnerability to complete thaw are additional critical metrics for assessing the potential for loss of permafrost carbon. In the equilibrium historical simulations, effects of the soil warming on ALT area distributions were small (Fig. 9a): area with ALT less than 2 m or 4 m decreased by up to $3 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ (2%). Small changes also were predicted in the future simulations (Fig. 9b): an area with ALT less than 4 m decreased by up to $2 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in RCP8.5–RCP8.5_FixRain. This change represented an 8% decrease in area in 2075–99, but relative to an already very low remaining area of $2.2 \times 10^6$ km$^2$. These results indicate that soil moistening, despite causing substantial increases in mean annual soil temperatures, likely has only modest effects on ALT or permafrost vulnerability to thaw. This contrast is likely because of the compensating effects on ALT of the two mechanisms coupling soil moisture and soil temperature [sections 3a(1) and 3a(3)]: while increased soil thermal conductivity tends to increase ALT, increased LHF tends to decrease it, resulting in a small net increase in our experiments.

5. Conclusions and discussion

a. Summary

We showed that future climate forcings, which could increase WFPS in some permafrost regions by $\sim(0.1–0.2)$, could thereby increase mean annual soil temperatures locally by $\sim(1^\circ C–2^\circ C)$ (up to $3^\circ C$ in our simulations). This potential warming, resulting principally from increased soil latent heat of fusion and thermal conductivity, is largest in the winter, with minimal effects on summer active layer thickness. This potential warming is currently not included in many analyses and depends on processes poorly simulated by current earth system models. A number of additional factors not simulated here could modify our results, discussed below.

b. Generality of results

While our experiments were conducted with prescribed atmospheric forcing, coupling with the atmosphere could alter soil temperatures via feedback from changes in SAT, if changes in surface energy fluxes occurred over large regions. Such feedbacks would likely enhance the soil warming associated with the spring and summer albedo decreases (Fig. 5), cause additional soil warming associated with decreased transpiration from increased CO2 (Fig. 5a), and reduce the soil warming associated with increased rainfall because of increased ET (Fig. 5e).

Our experiments were conducted with prescribed leaf area and canopy height in order to isolate the direct effects of increased soil moisture on soil temperature. In reality, increased CO2 or rainfall would likely result in increased leaf area and a consequent reduction in the net soil moisture increase, as some additional transpiration would be associated with increased plant growth. However, increased leaf area would also likely reduce albedo at high latitudes and facilitate snowmelt, which could cause spring and summer soil warming. If persistent increases in soil moisture enhanced anoxia (section 5c), more moss and near-surface soil organic matter could accumulate,

---

Fig. 7. Vertical- (to 3.8-m depth) and annual-mean soil water-filled pore space differences for experiments forced by an 1850–2099 CCSM4 RCP8.5 scenario: (a) increased CO2 in 1850–74 equilibrium, (b) increased JJAS rainfall in 1850–74 equilibrium, (c) transient effects of increasing CO2 during 2025–49, (d) transient effects of increasing JJAS rainfall during 2025–49, (e) transient effects of increasing CO2 during 2050–74, (f) transient effects of increasing JJAS rainfall during 2050–74, (g) transient effects of increasing CO2 during 2075–99, and (h) transient effects of increasing JJAS rainfall during 2075–99. Cyan lines encircle regions with ALT < 5 m in the baseline (i.e., drier) simulations for each panel.
FIG. 8. As in Fig. 8, but for soil temperature differences (°C). Cyan lines encircle regions with ALT < 5 m in the baseline (i.e., cooler) simulations for each panel.
decreasing summer soil thermal conductivity and mitigating soil warming. More generally, the effects of increasing CO2 and rainfall on vegetation abundance will have uncertain effects on soil temperatures.

Our results show that the potential for soil moistening and subsequent warming is very sensitive to the initial hydrologic conditions. In soils that are already relatively moist, additional precipitation or reduced transpiration will not generate warming. Conversely, moist soils may be more susceptible to future drying, with subsequent cooling that could mitigate the effects of climate warming. As a result, additional observations of present-day soil moisture in permafrost regions would allow improved assessment of the vulnerability to future moistening or drying and resulting effects on soil temperature.

c. Effects on soil carbon cycling

Our results indicate that increases in soil moisture will likely cause relatively small active-layer deepening and thus be unlikely to increase accessibility of deep permafrost carbon, but annual-mean soil warming may affect greenhouse gas budgets resulting from changes in decomposition in the active layer and in already-thawed permafrost soils. For instance, CO2 increases caused persistent soil warming following near-surface permafrost thaw in some areas (Figs. 8e.g), which could enhance the mineralization of newly thawed permafrost carbon. Moistening could increase anoxia and, thus, CH4 emissions, which may be enhanced because the delayed fall freeze-up increases the time when unfrozen soil remains under impervious frozen soil. Decreased freeze depth in seasonally frozen soils, as simulated in the late twenty-first century from increased CO2, could also increase the potential for winter anaerobic decomposition under frozen soil.

d. Implications for carbon cycle feedbacks

If elevated CO2 increases soil carbon mineralization or enhances anoxic conditions, increased emissions of CO2, CH4, and N2O could occur independently of atmospheric warming. Previous analyses, such as Ringeval et al. (2011), considered the effects of increased soil moisture due to elevated CO2 on CH4 emissions via anoxia, but they did not examine the direct temperature response due to this moistening. While our results do not suggest that large increases in greenhouse gas emissions will likely result from the effects of soil moisture on soil temperature, there were large sensitivities to modeled hydrology in equilibrium simulations, and model biases and limitations may have reduced the magnitude of soil moisture and associated soil temperature changes (section 4). Consequently, the effects of soil moisture on soil temperature should be considered in future analyses.
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APPENDIX

Definitions and Additional Methodological Detail

a. Definitions

SAT
Surface air temperature, calculated at 2 m above the surface.

ALT
Active layer thickness, here calculated annually as the maximum depth reached by the interpolated 0°C isotherm, including taliks (annually unfrozen ground).

LHF
Latent heat of fusion per unit volume of soil, that is, \(3.3 \times 10^5\) J kg\(^{-1}\) multiplied by the kilograms H\(_2\)O per cubic meter of soil volume.

Vegetated land unit
CLM4 contains five different subgrid land units, including vegetated, lake, wetland, urban, and glacier (Lawrence et al. 2011; Oleson et al. 2010). We only analyze the vegetated land unit here, which consists of vegetation and snow (if present) over soil and bedrock. We also generally exclude from analysis grid cells containing vegetated land units that do not experience at least one month in the mean annual cycle with surface temperatures above freezing.

WFPS
Water-filled pore space, the fraction of available pore volume in the soil occupied by liquid or solid H\(_2\)O, that is, volumetric saturated fraction.

Baseline
In the phrase “baseline hydrological state,” in figure captions, and when otherwise applicable, baseline denotes the member of an experimental comparison pair of simulations with lower soil moisture due to lower CO\(_2\) or rainfall forcing.

Permafrost area
For calculating mean differences over “permafrost area” in the Control simulation and for figure contours (regions with ALT < 5 m), this area consists of nonglaciated soils (see vegetated land unit above) with climatological mean (annual maximum) ALT < 5 m.

Comparison region
Equal-weight average over grid cells (vegetated land unit only) experiencing greater than 1°C vertical- and annual-mean soil temperature increases in the CO\(_2\)-Control experiment (Fig. 2a).

Downward subsurface energy flux
The sum of downward heat flux and shortwave radiation penetrating the top resolved CLM4 soil or snow (if present) layer. [Snow is slightly transparent to shortwave radiation, depending on snow properties (Oleson et al. 2010), while soil is opaque.]

b. Sensitivity to treatment of unfrozen soil moisture

In CLM4, soil layers cooling below the freezing point are not forced to convert liquid water to ice (with release of LHF) until the soil moisture potential predicted to be associated with the temperature below freezing (Niu and Yang 2006) becomes more negative than the soil moisture potential based on the WFPS (Oleson et al. 2010). This parameterization was used for all of our simulations defined in Table 1. In addition, we repeated the CO\(_2\)-Control simulation with no allowance for the persistence of liquid water below 0°C: all liquid water was forced to freeze before soil layers could cool below 0°C. This modification caused only slight changes in the spatial pattern and magnitude of soil warming as compared with the original CO\(_2\)-Control simulation; mean differences in vertical- and annual-mean soil temperatures due to increased CO\(_2\) for grid cells in the comparison region decreased by 0.2°C in the modified experiment, but some permafrost grid cells showed greater soil warming in the modified experiment as compared with the original.

c. Modifications to CLM4 hydrology

Permafrost soils in CLM4 may be biased dry (Lawrence et al. 2011, 2012b); a likely contribution is excessive movement of liquid water through frozen soils (Swenson et al. 2012). The CLM4 formulation calculates an unfrozen fraction of soil pore volume (Niu and Yang 2006; Oleson et al. 2008) and allows liquid water to be conducted through that fraction (Oleson et al. 2010) without sufficiently accounting for the large effective tortuosity in soils with substantial ice-filled pore space (Lundin 1990). Similarly to the approach used in Swenson et al. (2012), we reduce the permeability of frozen soils by eliminating the Niu and Yang (2006) unfrozen fraction calculation and replacing it with a power-law ice impedance \(I\) (no unit) that reduces the
hydraulic conductivity according to (Hansson et al. 2004; Lundin 1990):

\[ I = 10^{-\Omega} F_{\text{ice}}, \]  

where \( F_{\text{ice}} \) (no unit) is the ice-filled pore space (fraction of total pore volume) and here \( \Omega = 8 \). As the CLM4 one-dimensional soil water budget is closed by calculating horizontal drainage as a function of the diagnosed water table depth, we compute a “perched” water table depth \( z_p \) (m) in grid cells with saturated layers occurring above a frost table \( z_f \) (m) and calculate horizontal drainage from this perched water table \( Q_p \) (mm H\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\)) according to

\[ Q_p = \alpha (z_p - z_f) k_p, \]  

where \( \alpha = 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} \) and \( k_p \) (mm H\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\)) is the vertical mean hydraulic conductivity in the perched water table, including the ice impedance (A1). In addition, while CLM4 assumed that hydraulic and thermal properties of organic soil (Lawrence and Slater 2008) corresponded to “fibric peat” (Letts et al. 2000), we included a linear transition to finer-pore-sized “sapric peat” (Letts et al. 2000) at 0.5-m depth. These three modifications yield wetter active layers in permafrost grid cells.

d. Details of CLM4 simulation configurations

All simulations (Table 1) used CLM4 offline (i.e., with prescribed atmospheric conditions) with prescribed vegetation state [satellite phenology (SP)] (Lawrence et al. 2011). Using prescribed vegetation state allows transpiration and diagnostic photosynthesis to vary but with inactive model biogeochemistry. Historical equilibrium simulations with Qian et al. (2006) atmospheric forcing [National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) in Table 1] were global with 1.9° × 2.5° resolution. The CESM1 standard I_1850 case was used, with 1850 conditions for aerosol deposition and land use (i.e., plant functional type spatial distributions). An initial simulation (with identical configuration to the Control simulation) was spun up for 200 years with repeated 1948–72 atmospheric forcing. The ending state from this simulation was used as initial conditions for an additional 200 years of spinup for each of the NCEP simulations with the respective conditions for CO\(_2\) concentration, JJAS rainfall, and perturbed physics for each experiment. Note that for the rainfall perturbation simulations HiRain and LoRain, JJAS rainfall was only altered for time steps when the lower atmospheric temperature was greater than or equal to 0°C to prevent alteration of snow properties. Finally, an additional 25-yr period was integrated and analyzed for each simulation.

Simulations using CCSM4 atmospheric forcing (appendix A, section e; CCSM4 and RCP8.5 in Table 1) were for a northern domain (>45°N) extracted from the global 0.9° × 1.25° resolution dataset. For the equilibrium historical simulations (CCSM4 in Table 1), an I_1850 case was modified to accept atmospheric forcing data from the archived CCSM4 simulation (appendix A, section e), with 1850 conditions for aerosol deposition and land use. These three equilibrium historical simulations were separately spun up for 200 years with repeated 1850–74 forcing from the CCSM4 data, with the respective conditions for CO\(_2\) concentration and JJAS rainfall for each simulation. Finally, an additional 25-yr period was integrated and analyzed for each simulation.

For the transient simulations (RCP8.5 in Table 1), an I_RCP8.5_CN case was modified to use satellite phenology and to accept atmospheric forcing data from the archived CCSM4 simulation (appendix A, section e), with transient 1850–2099 conditions for aerosol deposition and land use. The same initial conditions as for the 1850 simulation were used for the three transient simulations, which then proceeded for 250 years from 1850 to 2099.

e. 2° CCSM4 climate forcing

For the CCSM4 and RCP8.5 simulations in Table 1, we used atmospheric forcing generated from a previously archived CCSM4 historical and RCP8.5 simulation. This simulation was fully coupled (with active land, atmosphere, ocean, and sea ice models) and used the 1.9° × 2.5° resolution datasets for the land and atmosphere and the gx1v6 resolution dataset for the ocean. The land model included prognostic biogeochemistry (CN), and the atmosphere used Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4) physics. Atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases were prescribed. We used the standard B_RCP8.5_CN case (http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm1.0/). Other than the resolution, this simulation was configured similarly to those used in the CCSM4 RCP8.5 ensemble (Vavrus et al. 2011) archived for the Climate Model Intercomparison Project 5 (CMIP5); the projected >70°N twenty-first-century warming (2090–99 minus 2000–09) in our 2°-resolution CCSM4 simulation was 9.8°C, within the range projected in the 1° ensemble (Vavrus et al. 2011). The global-mean temperature increase from the 1850–74 period to the 2075–99 period was 4.3°C (supplemental Figs. 5a,b). High-latitude rainfall increased at most locations from the 1850–74 period to the 2075–99 period (supplemental Figs. 5c,d), while snowfall increased in the coldest areas and decreased in warmer areas (supplemental Figs. 5e,f). The archived data used as forcing for CLM4 included half-hourly lower-atmosphere incident solar radiation data.
and 3-hourly data for lower-atmosphere downward long-wave radiation, temperature, specific humidity, wind, pressure, and precipitation rates.

f. Perturbed physics

1) NO SNOW INSULATION

For the NoSnowIns simulation (Table 1), the thermal conductivity of each snow layer calculated by CLM4 (Oleson et al. 2010), as based on Snow Thermal Model (SNATHERM) (Jin et al. 1999), was multiplied by a factor of 10^6. As the energy fluxes in CLM4 from the snow surface are calculated based on a fixed top-layer temperature from the last time step and then are updated after the soil thermal diffusion solution based on the change in top-layer temperature and the first derivative of sensible and latent heat fluxes with surface temperature (Oleson et al. 2010), and because heat fluxes into the soil were limited by the conductivity of the top soil layer, no numerical stability problems occurred.

2) SOIL CONDUCTIVITY PRESCRIBED TO SATURATED VALUES

Soil thermal conductivity in CLM4 (Oleson et al. 2010), based on Farouki (1981), depends on soil texture, soil organic matter content, temperature, WFPS, and ice-filled pore space. (CLM4 does not include advection of heat by infiltrating water.) For the tkSat, tkSat_CO2, tkSat_NoHfus, and tkSat_NoHfus_CO2 simulations (Table 1), the soil thermal conductivity was set for all hydrologically active soil layers (1–10) regardless of the temperature from the last time step and then are updated after the soil thermal diffusion solution based on the change in top-layer temperature and the first derivative of sensible and latent heat fluxes with surface temperature (Oleson et al. 2010), and because heat fluxes into the soil were limited by the conductivity of the top soil layer, no numerical stability problems occurred.

3) NO HEAT OF FUSION

For the NoHfus, NoHfus_CO2, tkSat_NoHfus, and tkSat_NoHfus_CO2 simulations (Table 1), the latent heat of fusion for soil pore H2O was eliminated for soil layers 2–10. It was maintained in the top 0.01-m soil layer and in the snow layers; the CLM4 bedrock layers are assumed dry with fixed thermal properties. While normally the requirement of absorbing or releasing 3.3 × 10^6 J kg^-1 H2O for thaw or freeze, respectively, limits soil temperature changes near freezing in CLM4 (Oleson et al. 2010), in these simulations the heat of fusion was set to 1 J kg^-1 for soil layers 2–10. Consequently, energy transport requirements for thaw and freeze negligibly restricted soil temperature change.

We made sure that after our modifications, the soil energy balance check in CLM4 remained valid to 10^-6 W m^-2.

g. Precipitation detrending

The RCP8.5_FixRain simulation (Table 1) used “detrended” precipitation forcing from the archived CCSM4 historical and RCP8.5 coupled simulation data (appendix A, section e) as compared to the fully transient precipitation forcing used directly from the coupled simulation for the other RCP8.5 and CCSM4 simulations (Table 1). We calculated the 1850–74 JJAS rainfall climatology for each grid cell by averaging the rainfall for each 3-h time step during this period, separately for convective and large-scale liquid precipitation. To generate the detrended forcing for the RCP8.5_FixRain simulation, we calculated the anomalous ratio of decadal JJAS rainfall for each decade from 1850 to 2099 (i.e., 1850–59, 1860–69, . . ., 2090–99) as compared with the 1850–74 climatology. Then, at each JJAS time step from 1850–2099, for each grid cell, and separately for convective and large-scale liquid precipitation, we multiplied the precipitation in the forcing data by the inverse of this ratio (when finite) to obtain the detrended forcing data. This method preserved daily, seasonal, and interannual rainfall variation while maintaining a fixed decadal-mean climatology.
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