Organic peroxy radical chemistry in oxidation flow reactors and environmental chambers and their atmospheric relevance
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Abstract. Oxidation flow reactors (OFRs) are a promising complement to environmental chambers for investigating atmospheric oxidation processes and secondary aerosol formation. However, questions have been raised about how representative the chemistry within OFRs is of that in the troposphere. We investigate the fates of organic peroxy radicals (RO\textsubscript{2}), which play a central role in atmospheric organic chemistry, in OFRs and environmental chambers by chemical kinetic modeling and compare to a variety of ambient conditions to help define a range of atmospherically relevant OFR operating conditions. For most types of RO\textsubscript{2}, their bimolecular fates in OFRs are mainly RO\textsubscript{2} + HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} + NO, similar to chambers and atmospheric studies. For substituted primary RO\textsubscript{2} and acyl RO\textsubscript{2}, RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2} can make a significant contribution to the fate of RO\textsubscript{2} in OFRs, chambers and the atmosphere, but RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2} in OFRs is in general somewhat less important than in the atmosphere. At high NO, RO\textsubscript{2} + NO dominates RO\textsubscript{2} fate in OFRs, as in the atmosphere. At a high UV lamp setting in OFRs, RO\textsubscript{2} + OH can be a major RO\textsubscript{2} fate and RO\textsubscript{2} isomerization can be negligible for common multifunctional RO\textsubscript{2}, both of which deviate from common atmospheric conditions. In the OFR254 operation mode (for which OH is generated only from the photolysis of added O\textsubscript{3}), we cannot identify any conditions that can simultaneously avoid significant organic photolysis at 254 nm and lead to RO\textsubscript{2} lifetimes long enough (\textasciitilde 10 s) to allow atmospherically relevant RO\textsubscript{2} isomerization. In the OFR185 mode (for which OH is generated from reactions initiated by 185 nm photons), high relative humidity, low UV intensity and low precursor concentrations are recommended for the atmospherically relevant gas-phase chemistry of both stable species and RO\textsubscript{2}. These conditions ensure minor or negligible RO\textsubscript{2} + OH and a relative importance of RO\textsubscript{2} isomerization in RO\textsubscript{2} fate in OFRs within \textasciitilde 2 of that in the atmosphere. Under these conditions, the photochemical age within OFR185 systems can reach a few equivalent days at most, encompassing the typical ages for maximum secondary organic aerosol (SOA) production. A small increase in OFR temperature may allow the relative importance of RO\textsubscript{2} isomerization to approach the ambient values. To study the heterogeneous oxidation of SOA formed under atmospherically relevant OFR conditions, a different UV source with higher intensity is needed after the SOA formation stage, which can be done with another reactor in series. Finally, we recommend evaluating the atmospheric relevance of RO\textsubscript{2} chemistry by always reporting measured and/or estimated OH, HO\textsubscript{2}, NO, NO\textsubscript{2} and OH reactivity (or at least precursor composition and concentration) in all chamber and flow reactor experiments. An easy-to-use RO\textsubscript{2} fate estimator program is included with this paper to facilitate the investigation of this topic in future studies.

1 Introduction

Laboratory reactors are needed to isolate and study atmospheric chemical systems. Environmental chambers have been a major atmospheric chemistry research tool for decades (Cocker et al., 2001; Carter et al., 2005; Presto et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2011; Platt et al., 2013). Over the last
few years, oxidation flow reactors (OFRs; see Appendix A for the meanings of the acronyms) (Kang et al., 2007) have emerged as a promising complement to chambers and are being used to investigate atmospheric oxidation processes, particularly volatile organic compound (VOC) oxidation and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation and aging (Kang et al., 2011; Lambe et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016). These processes have air quality (Levy II, 1971), human health (Nel, 2005) and climate impacts (Stocker et al., 2016).

The most important advantage of OFRs is their ability to achieve relatively high photochemical ages (of the order of equivalent hours or days assuming an average ambient OH concentration of $1.5 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$; Mao et al., 2009) in minutes instead of hours in chambers (Lambe et al., 2011). Rapid aging is usually achieved by highly active HO$_2$ radical chemistry initiated by low-pressure Hg lamp emissions (185 and 254 nm) (Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015). This allows for shorter residence times in OFRs, thus reducing the relative importance of gas and particle losses to walls (Palm et al., 2016), which can be very important in Teflon chambers (Cocker et al., 2001; Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010; Zhang et al., 2014; Krechmer et al., 2016). In addition, the lower costs and small size (volumes of the order of 10 L) of OFRs allow for better portability. These, together with the ability to rapidly achieve high photochemical ages, are advantageous for field applications. These advantages of OFRs have led a number of atmospheric chemistry research groups (Lambe and Jimenez, 2018) to deploy them in field (Hu et al., 2016; Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017), source (Ortega et al., 2013; Tkacik et al., 2014; Karjalainen et al., 2016; Link et al., 2016) and laboratory studies (Kang et al., 2011; Lambe et al., 2013; Richards-Henderson et al., 2016; Lim et al., 2017).

While the use of oxidation flow reactors is growing rapidly in the atmospheric chemistry community, some researchers have raised two concerns with regard to OFRs: (1) the chemical regime of OFRs may be unrealistic compared to the atmosphere, and (2) OFRs are derivative of flow reactors with a long tradition in atmospheric chemistry, especially for chemical kinetic measurements, and thus there is not much new to be discussed or analyzed in their chemistry. While it is true that OFRs follow the tradition of flow tubes used in atmospheric chemistry, they attempt to simulate a much more complex system all at once and typically use much longer residence times, and thus many fundamental and practical issues arise that have not been addressed before. The need to achieve longer effective photochemical ages within a short residence time can, however, lead to the occurrence of undesirable oxidation pathways.

To clarify this issue, a series of chemical kinetic modeling studies have been performed: Li et al. (2015) and Peng et al. (2015) established a radical chemistry and oxidation model whose predictions compare well against laboratory experiments and found that OH can be substantially sup-pressed by external OH reactants (e.g., SO$_2$, NO$_x$ and VOCs externally introduced into the reactor); Peng et al. (2016) identified a low water mixing ratio (H$_2$O) and/or high external OH reactivity (OH$_{ext}$, i.e., first-order OH loss rate constant contributed by external OH reactants) as conditions that can cause significant non-tropospheric VOC reactions (e.g., through photolysis at 185 and/or 254 nm); Peng and Jimenez (2017) studied NO$_x$ chemistry in OFRs and showed that high-NO conditions, under which organic peroxy radicals react more rapidly with NO than with HO$_2$, can only be realized by simple NO injection in a very narrow range of physical conditions, whose application to investigating intermediate- and high-NO environments (e.g., urban area) is limited; Peng et al. (2018) thus evaluated a few new techniques to maintain high-NO conditions in OFRs and found the injection of percent-level N$_2$O effective to achieve this goal.

While HO$_x$ and NO$_y$ chemistries have been extensively characterized in OFRs so far, organic peroxy radical (RO$_2$) chemistry has yet to be considered in detail, as previous studies have only considered the balance between RO$_2$ + NO vs. RO$_2$+HO$_2$. There has been some speculation that due to high OH concentrations in OFRs, RO$_2$ concentration and lifetime might be significantly different from ambient values, leading to the dominance of RO$_2$ self- and cross-reactions and the elimination of RO$_2$ isomerization pathways (Crounse et al., 2013; Praske et al., 2018). Given the central role RO$_2$ plays in atmospheric chemistry (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012) and the rapidly increasing use of OFRs, RO$_2$ chemistry in OFRs needs to be studied in detail to characterize the similarities and differences between their reaction conditions and those in the ambient atmosphere and traditional atmospheric reaction chambers.

In this paper, we address this need via modeling. All major known fates of RO$_2$ in OFRs will be investigated and compared with those in typical chamber cases and in the atmosphere. This comparison will provide insights into the atmospheric relevance of RO$_2$ chemistry in atmospheric simulation reactors and allow for the selection of experimental conditions with atmospherically relevant RO$_2$ chemistry in experimental planning.

## 2 Methods

Due to a variety of loss pathways of RO$_2$ and a myriad of RO$_2$ types, RO$_2$ chemistry is of enormous complexity. We detail the RO$_2$ production and loss pathways of interest in this study, the approximations used to simplify this complex problem and the steps to investigate it methodically. We briefly introduce the base OFR design and the model, which are described in detail elsewhere (Kang et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2015, 2018).
2.1 Potential aerosol mass oxidation flow reactor (PAM OFR)

The concept of the base OFR design simulated in this study, the potential aerosol mass (PAM) reactor, was first introduced by Kang et al. (2007). The geometry of the most popular PAM OFR is a cylinder of \( \sim 13 \) L volume. The PAM reactor we simulate is equipped with low-pressure Hg lamps (model no. 82-9304-03, BHK Inc.) emitting UV light at 185 and 254 nm. When both 185 and 254 nm photons are used to generate OH (termed “OFR185”), water vapor photolysis at 185 nm produces OH and HO\(_2\). Recombination of O\(_2\) and O\(_3\)(P), formed by O\(_2\) photolysis at 185 nm, generates O\(_3\), O(1D), formed through O\(_3\) photolysis at 254 nm, reacts with water vapor and produces additional OH. 185 nm photons can be filtered by installing quartz sleeves around the lamps. This converts the reactor into “OFR254” mode, for which the photolysis of O\(_3\), which must be initially injected, is the only OH production route. The notation “OFR254-X” is used to specify the initial amount of injected O\(_3\) (X ppm) in OFR254, Lambe et al. (2017) and Peng et al. (2018) have shown that the initial injection of N\(_2\)O is able to maintain up to tens of ppb NO in both OFR185 and OFR254. These modes are denoted “OFR185-iN\(_2\)O” and “OFR254-X-iN\(_2\)O”, or more generally “OFR-iN\(_2\)O”. In OFR254-iN\(_2\)O, O(1D) generated from O\(_3\) photolysis reacts with N\(_2\)O to generate NO, while in OFR185-iN\(_2\)O, O(1D) is mainly supplied by N\(_2\)O photolysis at 185 nm (Peng et al., 2018).

2.2 RO\(_2\) production and loss pathways

A single generic RO\(_2\) is adopted for modeling purposes to avoid the huge number of RO\(_2\) types that would complicate effective modeling and analysis. In OH-initiated VOC oxidation, RO\(_2\) is primarily produced via VOC + OH \( \rightarrow R + H_2O \) followed by R + O\(_2\) \( \rightarrow RO_2\), where R is hydrocarbonyl or oxygenated hydrocarbonyl radical. Since the second step is extremely fast in air (Atkinson and Arey, 2003), the first step controls the RO\(_2\) production rate, which depends on OH concentration and OHR\(_{ext}\) due to VOCs (OHR\(_{VOC}\); see Appendix B for details). OHR\(_{VOC}\) also includes the contribution from oxidation intermediates of primary VOCs (e.g., methyl vinyl ketone and pinonic acid). When the information about oxidation intermediates is insufficient to calculate OHR\(_{VOC}\), OHR due to primary VOCs is used instead as an approximant. RO\(_2\) production through other pathways, e.g., VOC ozonolysis and photolysis, is not considered, since all non-OH pathways of VOC destruction only become significant at low H\(_2\)O and/or high OHR\(_{ext}\) (Peng et al., 2016). These conditions lead to significant non-tropospheric VOC photolysis and thus are of little experimental interest.

Table 1 lists all known RO\(_2\) loss pathways. Among those, RO\(_2\) photolysis, RO\(_2\) + NO\(_3\) and RO\(_2\) + O\(_3\) are not included in this study, since they are minor or negligible in OH-dominated atmospheres, chambers and OFRs for the following reasons.

The first-order RO\(_2\) photolysis rate constant is of the order of \( 10^{-2} \) s\(^{-1}\) at the highest lamp setting in OFRs (Kalafut-Pettibone et al., 2013) and of the order of \( 10^{-5} \) s\(^{-1}\) in the troposphere under the assumption of unity quantum yield (Kleins et al., 2015), while RO\(_2\) reacts with HO\(_2\) at > 1 s\(^{-1}\) at the highest lamp setting in OFRs and at \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \) s\(^{-1}\) in the troposphere. Note that in this study we assume an average ambient HO\(_2\) concentration of \( 1.5 \times 10^8 \) molecules cm\(^{-3}\) (Mao et al., 2009; Stone et al., 2012) and RO\(_2\) + HO\(_2\) rate constant of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012).

When daytime photochemistry is active, NO\(_3\) is negligible in the atmosphere. In OFR-iN\(_2\)O modes, RO\(_2\) + NO\(_3\) is negligible unless at very low H\(_2\)O and high UV intensity (abbreviated UV hereafter), which result in high O\(_3\) to oxidize NO\(_2\) to NO\(_3\) and keep HO\(_2\) minimized. However, very low H\(_2\)O causes serious non-tropospheric organic photolysis (Peng et al., 2016) and thus these conditions are of no experimental interest.

In the atmosphere RO\(_2\) + O\(_3\) is thought to play some role only at night (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012). Similar conditions may exist in some OFR254 cases if a very large amount of O\(_3\) is injected and H\(_2\)O and UV are kept very low to limit HO\(_2\) production. These conditions are obviously not OH dominated and not further investigated in this study.

Of the RO\(_2\) fates considered in this study, RO\(_2\) + HO\(_2\), RO\(_2\) + NO and RO\(_2\) + RO\(_2\) have long been known to play a role in the atmosphere (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012). Recommended general rate constants are available for RO\(_2\) + HO\(_2\) and RO\(_2\) + NO (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012; Table 1), albeit with some small dependencies on the type of RO\(_2\) and a few deviations that are slightly larger but not important for the overall chemistry (e.g., CH\(_3\)O\(_2\) and C\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\) for RO\(_2\) + HO\(_2\)). We use these recommended values for generic RO\(_2\) in this study. RO\(_2\) + NO has two main product channels, i.e., RO + NO\(_2\) and RONO\(_2\), whose branching ratios are RO\(_2\) structure dependent (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). We do not include these product channels in this study, since they have negligible impacts on the chemical scheme described here. This feature results from two facts: (i) we focus on generic RO\(_2\) and do not explicitly consider the chemistry of the products of different RO\(_2\) loss pathways; and (ii) the channel producing RO and NO\(_2\) contributes little to NO\(_2\) production (Peng et al., 2018). However, RO\(_2\) self- and cross-reaction rate constants are highly dependent on the specific RO\(_2\) types and can vary over a very large range \( (10^{-17} - 10^{-10}) \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). Unsubstituted primary, secondary and tertiary RO\(_2\) radicals self-react at \( \sim 10^{-13}\), \( \sim 10^{-15}\) and \( \sim 10^{-17}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). Rate constants of cross-reactions between these RO\(_2\) types also span this range (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012). Substituted RO\(_2\) types have higher self- and cross-reaction rate constants (Orlando
Table 1. Rate constants (in cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ except for isomerization; in s$^{-1}$), cross section (in cm$^2$) and product(s) of RO$_2$ loss pathways. Only organic species are listed for product(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RO$_2$ loss pathway</th>
<th>Rate constant or cross section</th>
<th>Product(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + NO</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>RO, RONO$_2$ $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + RO$_2$</td>
<td>Primary: $\sim 10^{-13}$ $^e$</td>
<td>ROH + R(=O), RO + RO, ROOR $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary: $\sim 10^{-15}$ $^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary: $\sim 10^{-17}$ $^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substituted: can be up to 2 orders of magnitude higher $^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ (in OFRs)</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>RO$_2$NO$_2$ $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + OH</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-10}$ $^d$</td>
<td>ROOOH (for $\geq$ C$_4$ RO$_2$), RO (smaller RO$_2$) $^g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ isomerization</td>
<td>Autoxidation: $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ $^f$</td>
<td>generally another RO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other: up to $10^{16}$ $^f$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ photolysis</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-18}$ at 254 nm $^h$</td>
<td>mainly R, other photochemical products possible $^i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-21} - 10^{-19}$ in UVA and UVB $^h$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + NO$_3$</td>
<td>$\sim 1 - 3 \times 10^{-12}$ $^b$</td>
<td>RO $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO$_2$ + O$_3$</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-17}$ $^b$</td>
<td>RO $^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Ziemann and Atkinson (2012). $^b$ Orlando and Tyndall (2012); $^c$ typical value within the reported range in Orlando and Tyndall (2012); thermal decomposition rate constants of nitrates of acyl and non-acyl RO$_2$ are assumed to be 0.0004 and 3 s$^{-1}$, respectively, which are also typical values within the reported ranges in Orlando and Tyndall (2012). $^d$ Value used in the present work based on Bossolasco et al. (2014); Assaf et al. (2016, 2017a); Müller et al. (2016); Yan et al. (2016); Assaf et al. (2017b, 2018); $^e$ Crounse et al. (2013). $^f$ Knap and Jørgensen (2017).

and Tyndall, 2012). RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ of highly substituted primary RO$_2$ can be as high as $\sim 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012). Very recently, a few highly oxidized 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene-derived RO$_2$S were reported to self- and cross-react at $\sim 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Berndt et al., 2018). In the present work, we make a simplification to adapt to the generic RO$_2$ treatment by assuming a single self- and cross-reaction rate constant for generic RO$_2$ in each case. Three levels of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ rate constants, i.e., $1 \times 10^{-13}$, $1 \times 10^{-11}$ and $1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, are studied in this paper. The first level is referred to as “medium RO$_2$ + RO$_2$”; as many other RO$_2$ types can have self- and cross-reaction rate constants as low as $10^{-17}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, the second level is defined as “fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$”; and the last level is called “very fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$”. No RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ rate constant lower than the medium level is investigated in the current work, although there are still a large variety of RO$_2$ types whose self- and cross-reactions are at lower rate constants, since at the medium level, RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ is already negligible in all the environments studied in this work, i.e., OFRs, chambers and the atmosphere (see Sect. 3.1.1). Since there are only a few very specific examples for very fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ reported to date, we will not systematically explore this category but compare very fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ as a sensitivity case with the other two types of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ reactions.

Acyl RO$_2$ is considered as a separate RO$_2$ type (neither medium nor fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$) in this study since its reaction with NO$_2$ can be a major sink of RO$_2$ in OFR (Peng and Jimenez, 2017). Thermal decomposition lifetimes of the product of RO$_2$ + NO$_2$, i.e., acylperoxy nitrates, can be hours at laboratory temperatures (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012; also taken into account in the current work; see Table 1), while OFR residence times are typically minutes. Besides, acyl RO$_2$ reacts with many RO$_2$ types at $\sim 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012), similar to that of fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$. We thus assume the acyl RO$_2$ self- and cross-reaction rate constant to also be $1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ to facilitate comparison with fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ results.

In OFRs operated at room temperature, acylperoxy nitrates barely decompose, as their thermal decomposition lifetime is typically $\sim 1$ h (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012), while OFR residence time is usually a few minutes. In contrast, peroxy nitrates of non-acyl RO$_2$ do decompose on a timescale of 0.1 s (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012; Table 1). As a consequence, the production and decomposition of peroxy nitrates of non-acyl RO$_2$ reach a steady state in OFRs, which can be greatly shifted toward the peroxy nitrates in cases with very high NO$_2$ (Peng and Jimenez, 2017; Peng et al., 2018).

RO$_2$ + OH (Fittschen et al., 2014) and RO$_2$ isomerization (Crounse et al., 2013) have recently been identified as possible significant RO$_2$ fates in the atmosphere. Reactions of the former type, according to several recent experimental and theoretical studies (Bossolasco et al., 2014; Assaf et al., 2016, 2017a, b; Müller et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2016), have similar rate constants ($\sim 1 \times$...
$10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) regardless of RO$_2$ type. Therefore, the reaction rate constant of generic RO$_2$ with OH is assigned as $1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. RO$_2$ isomerization reactivity is highly structure dependent (Crounse et al., 2013; Praske et al., 2018) and rate constant measurements are still scarce, preventing us from assigning a generic RO$_2$ isomerization rate constant. However, for generic RO$_2$ isomerization is generally not a sink but a conversion between two RO$_2$ radicals (both encompassed by the generic one in this study), as RO$_2$ isomerization usually generates an oxygenated hydrocarbyl radical, which rapidly recombines with O$_2$ and forms another RO$_2$. Therefore, RO$_2$ isomerization is not explicitly taken into account in the modeling, but is considered in the RO$_2$ fate analysis.

In summary, six pathways are included in the RO$_2$ fate analysis of this study. The need to explore these six pathways for a high number of OFR, chamber and atmospheric conditions makes the presentation of results challenging. For clarity, we present the results in two steps. In the first step, only well-known RO$_2$ fates (reaction with NO$_2$, HO$_2$, NO and RO$_2$) will be included in the model. In the second step, the results of the first step will be used to guide the modeling and analysis of a more comprehensive set of significant RO$_2$ fates.

2.3 Model description

The model used in the present work is a standard chemical kinetic box model implemented in the KinSim 3.4 solver in Igor Pro 7 (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, Oregon, USA) and has been described in detail elsewhere (Peng et al., 2015, 2018). Plug flow in the reactor with a residence time of 180 s is assumed, since the effects of non-plug flow are major only in a narrow range of conditions of little experimental interest, and the implementation of laminar flow or measured residence time distribution substantially increases computational cost (Peng et al., 2015; Peng and Jimenez, 2017). The reactions of RO$_2$ discussed in Sect. 2.2 are added to the chemical mechanism. A generic slow-reacting VOC (with the same OH rate constant as SO$_2$) is used as the external OH reactant. Its initial concentration is determined by the initial OHR$_{ext}$ in each model case. Then as this proxy external OH reactant slowly reacts, OHR$_{ext}$ slowly decays. This slow change in OHR$_{ext}$ represents not only the decay of the initial reactant but also the generation and consumption of later-generation products that continue to react with OH. The reason for this approximation has been discussed in detail in previous OFR modeling papers (Peng and Jimenez, 2017; Peng et al., 2018). We exclude NO$_3$ species, which are explicitly modeled, from the calculation of OHR$_{ext}$; thus, OHR$_{ext}$ only includes non-NO$_3$ OHR$_{ext}$ hereafter. As OHR$_{ext}$ is dominated by OHR$_{VOC}$ in most OFR experiments, we use OHR$_{ext}$ to denote OHR$_{VOC}$ in OFRs (while for ambient and chamber cases OHR$_{VOC}$ is still used to exclude the contribution of CO, etc.). The outputs of our model (e.g., species concentrations and exposures) were estimated to be accurate to within a factor of 2–3 when compared with field OFR experiments; better agreement can generally be obtained for laboratory OFR experiments (Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015).

Another key parameter in the model is the HO$_3$ recycling ratio ($\beta$), defined in this study as the number of HO$_2$ molecule(s) produced per OH molecule destroyed by external OH reactants (Peng et al., 2015). This ratio depends on the products of RO$_2$ loss pathways. The main product of RO$_2$ + HO$_2$ is usually ROOH (Table 1), yielding no recycled HO$_2$, while the main products of RO$_2$ + NO are RO and NO$_2$, the former of which can often undergo extremely fast H abstraction by O$_2$ to form a carbonyl and HO$_2$. We used the HO$_3$ recycling ratio for the lamps simulated here (Li et al., 2015).

In the present work, we model OFR185, OFR254-70 and OFR254-7 (including their inN$_2$O variants). We specify the same temperature and atmospheric pressure (295 K and 835 mbar, typical values in Boulder, Colorado, USA) as our previous OFR modeling studies (Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015, 2016, 2018; Peng and Jimenez, 2017). The explored physical condition space follows that of our previous OFR-inN$_2$O modeling work (Peng et al., 2018). The only differences are that in this study we also include cases without any N$_2$O injected (OFR185 and OFR254 only) and exclude OHR$_{ext} = 0$ conditions, which produce no RO$_2$. In detail, the explored physical condition space covers the following: H$_2$O of 0.07 – 2.3 % (relative humidity of 2 % – 71 % at 295 K); UV photon flux at 185 nm (abbreviated F185) of $1.0 \times 10^{11}$ – $1.0 \times 10^{14}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (corresponding photon flux at 254 nm (F254) of $4.2 \times 10^{13}$ – $8.5 \times 10^{15}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$); OHR$_{ext}$ of 1–1000 s$^{-1}$; and N$_2$O mixing ratio (abbreviated N$_2$O$_{ext}$ hereafter) of 0 and 0.02 %– 20 %. All model cases are logarithmically evenly distributed except for N$_2$O = 0 and F254. The latter is calculated based on the F185–F254 relationship for the lamps simulated here (Li et al., 2015).

For the classification of conditions, the same criteria as in the OFR-inN$_2$O modeling study (Peng et al., 2018) are adopted. In detail, high- and low-NO conditions are classified by $r$ (RO$_2$ + NO)/$r$ (RO$_2$ + HO$_2$). In the current work, these reactive fluxes are explicitly tracked in the modeling instead of approximated as in previous studies (Peng and Jimenez, 2017; Peng et al., 2018). The terms “good,” “risky” and “bad” are used to describe OFR operating conditions in terms of non-tropospheric organic photolysis and are defined
based on the ratios of F185 and F254 exposure (F185_{exp} and F254_{exp}, i.e., integrated photon fluxes over residence time) to OH exposure (OH_{exp}), as presented previously (Peng and Jimenez, 2017; Peng et al., 2018). Briefly, under a given condition non-tropospheric photolysis is of different relative importance in the fate of each specific organic species: under good conditions, photolysis at 185 and/or 254 nm is unimportant for almost all VOCs; under bad conditions, non-tropospheric photolysis is problematic for most VOC precursors, since significant photolysis of their oxidation intermediates at 185 and/or 254 nm is almost inevitable; and risky conditions can be problematic for some but not all VOCs. Note that good, risky or bad conditions refer only to non-tropospheric organic photolysis and not to whether RO_2 chemistry is atmospherically relevant. Table S1 summarizes our condition classification criteria.

3 Results and discussion

In this section, the results are presented in two parts, i.e., first for the simulations with well-known pathways only and secondly with all significant pathways, as proposed in Sect. 2.2. Then based on the results and their comparison with the atmosphere and chamber experiments, we propose guidelines for OFR operation to ensure atmospherically relevant RO_2 chemistry, as well as other chemistries already discussed in the previous studies (Peng et al., 2016, 2018), in OFRs.

3.1 Simulations with well-known pathways

\( \text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2, \text{RO}_2 + \text{RO}_2, \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \) and \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \)

Due to the significantly different reactivities of non-acyl and acyl RO_2, the results of these two types of RO_2 are shown separately.

3.1.1 Non-acyl RO_2

In this case non-acyl RO_2 radicals have only three fates, i.e., \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2, \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \) and \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \). The relative importance of these three fates can be shown in a triangle plot (Fig. 1). The figure includes data points of OFR185 (including OFR185-iN_2O) and OFR254-70 (including OFR254-70-iN_2O), as well as several typical ambient and chamber studies, including two pristine remote area cases (P_1 and P_2) from the ATom-1 study (Wofsy et al., 2018), two forested area cases (F_1 and F_2) from the BEACHON-RoMBAS and GoAmazon campaigns, respectively (Ortega et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2016, 2017), an urban area case (U) from the CalNex-LA campaign (Ryerson et al., 2013), and five typical chamber experiment cases (C_1–C_5) from the FIXCIT study (Nguyen et al., 2014). These typical cases shown in Fig. 1 bring to light several interesting points.

- In all ambient and chamber cases, medium and slower \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \) contribute negligibly to the RO_2 fate. This confirms a common impression that self- and cross-reactions of many RO_2 radicals do not significantly affect RO_2 fates.

- However, if RO_2 self- and cross-reacts rapidly, RO_2 + RO_2 can be the most important loss pathway among RO_2 + RO_2, RO_2 + HO_2 and RO_2 + NO even in pristine regions with higher VOC (e.g., P_1 in Fig. 1) compared to an average pristine region case (P_2). Note that the P_1 case is still very clean compared to typical forested and urban areas (Table 2).

- Forested areas located in the same region as pollution sources are not as “low NO” as one may expect (points F_1 and F_2 in Fig. 1). RO_2 + NO contributes \( \sim 20\%–50\% \) to RO_2 loss, as NO and HO_2 concentrations are of the same order of magnitude in these cases.

- RO_2 + NO dominates over RO_2 + RO_2 and RO_2 + HO_2 in almost all urban areas. Even in relatively clean urban areas such as Los Angeles during CalNex-LA in 2010 (point U in Fig. 1), average NO is \( \sim 1 \) ppb, still sufficiently high to ensure the dominance of RO_2 + NO among the three pathways.

- Various chamber cases in the FIXCIT campaign (low to high OHR_{ext}; low to high NO; points C_1–C_5 in Fig. 1) are able to represent specific RO_2 fates that appear in different regions in the atmosphere.

On these plots, points for bad conditions (in terms of non-tropospheric photolysis) are not shown because of the lack of experimental interest. The triangle plots for OFR254-7 (including OFR254-7-iN_2O) in the same form (Supplement Fig. S1a, b) show no qualitative differences from the results of OFR254-70, implying that initial O_3 in OFR254 modes has only minor impacts on RO_2 fate. We see this result not only for well-known non-acyl RO_2 fate, but also for the aspects discussed in the following sections. The similarity between OFR254 modes can be explained by the minor effects of a lower O_3 on HO_2 at relatively low OHR_{ext} (Peng et al., 2015). Cases at higher OHR_{ext} often have stronger non-tropospheric photolysis (Peng et al., 2016) and hence are more likely to be under bad conditions and are not shown in Figs. 1 and S1a, b. For simplicity, this similarity is not discussed further.

An important feature confirmed in Fig. 1 is that OFR-iN_2O modes effectively realize conditions of experimental interest with variable relative importance of RO_2 + NO in RO_2 fate (Lambe et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2018). Tuning initially injected N_2O can achieve this goal (Fig. 2). While it is possible to reduce RO_2 + HO_2 in OFR185-iN_2O to negligible compared to RO_2 + NO by increasing N_2O, this is not possible in OFR254-70-iN_2O due to fast NO oxidation by the large amounts of O_3 added in the reactor. Nevertheless, OFR254-70-iN_2O can still make RO_2 + NO dominate over RO_2 + HO_2.
in RO\textsubscript{2} fate. OFR and chamber cases span a range of \(\sim 0\%\) – \(\sim 100\%\) in relative importance of RO\textsubscript{2} + NO in RO\textsubscript{2} fate (Fig. 2), suggesting that both chambers and OFRs are able to ensure the atmospheric relevance of RO\textsubscript{2} + NO in RO\textsubscript{2} fate.

Another important feature that can be easily seen in Fig. 1 is that medium-rate RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2} (and hence also RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2} slower than \(10^{-13}\) cm\(^{-3}\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) is of negligible importance in the fate of RO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 1a, c) in OFR185 (including OFR185-iN\textsubscript{2}O), OFR254-70 (under most conditions, including OFR254-70-iN\textsubscript{2}O). Inclined tick values on an axis indicate the grid lines that should be followed (in parallel to the inclination) to read the corresponding values on this axis. The OFR data points are colored by the logarithm of the exposure ratio between 254 nm photon flux and OH, a measure of badness of OFR conditions in terms of 254 nm organic photolysis. Several typical ambient and chamber cases (see Table 2 for details on these cases) are also shown for comparison.
Typical ambient value (Mao et al., 2009; Stone et al., 2012).

*Estimated (Peng et al., 2016).

Typical low- and moderate-OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ ambient environments (e.g., typical pristine and forested areas; Figs. 1b, d and 3) and low-OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ chambers, OFR185 cannot achieve a relative importance of RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ significantly greater than 50%, such as excluding OFR254–70-in$_2$O$_3$, chambers and the atmosphere. Thus, a very large subset of RO$_2$ types have only a minor or negligible contribution from RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ to their fate.

This is already known for ambient RO$_2$ fate (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). The reason why this is also true in OFRs is that while OH is much higher than ambient levels, HO$_2$ and NO (high-NO conditions only) are also higher. One can easily verify that steady-state RO$_2$ concentrations (see Appendix B for details) would not deviate from ambient levels by orders of magnitude. The reactive fluxes of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ in OFRs are thus not substantially different than in the atmosphere, while RO$_2$ + HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ + NO (high-NO conditions only) are both faster in OFRs because of higher HO$_2$ and NO. The combined effect is a reduced relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ in RO$_2$ fate in OFRs compared to the atmosphere. The only exception in OFRs occurs at very high VOC precursor concentrations (OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ significantly > 100 s$^{-1}$) in OFR254 (Fig. S2), in which OH levels are not substantially suppressed due to large amounts of O$_3$ (Peng et al., 2015). As a result, RO$_2$ concentration is remarkably increased by strong production, and RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ relative importance increases roughly quadratically and becomes significant.

The generally lower relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ in OFRs than in the atmosphere is more obvious for the fate of RO$_2$ with fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ rate constants (Figs. 1b, d and 3). Although OFRs can reasonably reproduce RO$_2$ fates in typical low- and moderate-OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ ambient environments (e.g., typical pristine and forested areas; Figs. 1b, d and 3) and low-OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ chambers, OFR185 cannot achieve a relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ significantly larger than 50%.
found in remote environments with higher VOC (e.g., P1 in Fig. 1) and high-OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ chamber experiments (e.g., C$_2$ and C$_3$ in Fig. 1; the distribution for C$_2$ is also shown in Fig. 3). In OFR254-70, a relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ as high as $\sim 90\%$ may be attained (Fig. S3). However, this requires very high OHR$_{\text{ext}}$, which leads to medium (and slower) RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ showing higher-than-ambient relative importance. In reality, fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ reactions all involve substituted RO$_2$, which almost certainly arises from and coexists with unsubstituted RO$_2$ (with slower self- and cross-reactions). Therefore, very high OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ in OFR254 is not really suitable for attaining dominant RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ conditions. In OFR185, a higher OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ generally also results in a higher RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ relative importance because of higher RO$_2$ production (Fig. S3). Nevertheless, higher OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ is more likely to lead to risky or bad conditions (Fig. 3; Peng et al., 2016). It should be noted that although it is difficult to reliably achieve RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ with a relative importance larger than 50% in RO$_2$ fate in OFRs, the distributions of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ relative importance in OFRs seem to be within a factor of 2 of those of field and aircraft campaigns (Fig. 3).

In the case of very fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$, all features for fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ discussed above are still present (Fig. S1c, d). The only major difference between the results for fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ and very fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ is the significantly higher relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ in RO$_2$ fate in the latter case, which is expected. In summary, fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ is not perfectly reproduced in OFRs in terms of relative importance in RO$_2$ fate, but it is significant when this pathway is also important in the atmosphere.

The HO$_2$ recycling ratio $\beta$ (see Sect. 2.3) is one of the key factors determining HO$_2$ in the OFR model, yet it is not well constrained. Although we make reasonable assumptions for it in the model input (see Sect. 2.3 for details), a sensitivity study to explore its effects is also performed here. For RO$_2$ with the fast self- and cross-reaction rate constant, we perform simulations with the HO$_2$ recycling ratios fixed to a number of values from 0 (radical termination) to 2 (radical proliferation) in lieu of those calculated under the assumptions described in Sect. 2.3. As expected, the contribution of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ to RO$_2$ fate increases monotonically between $\beta = 2$ and $\beta = 0$ (Fig. S4) as the recycling of the competing reactant HO$_2$ decreases. Nevertheless, the change in the average RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ relative importance from $\beta = 0$ to $\beta = 2$ is generally within a factor of 2. Thus, it still holds that the RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ relative importance in OFRs is generally lower than in the atmosphere. Only at $\beta \approx 0$ may OFR185 theoretically attain a relative importance of RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ of $\sim 70\%$, as in the P$_1$ case (pristine, but relatively high VOC; Fig. S5). Note that $\beta = 0$ for all VOC oxidation (including oxidation of intermediates) is extremely unlikely. In OFR254, even if RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ may contribute up to $\sim 100\%$ to RO$_2$ fate at very high OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ at $\beta = 0$, these conditions still also lead to significant RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ in the fate of RO$_2$ that self- and cross-reacts more slowly, which is not atmospherically relevant.

3.1.2 Acyl RO$_2$

As described in Sect. 2.1, the generic acyl RO$_2$ modeled in this study has the same loss pathways as RO$_2$ with the fast self- and cross-reaction rate constant, except for RO$_2$ + NO$_2$, which can be a significant acyl RO$_2$ loss pathway in OFRs as well as both chambers and the atmosphere. When this reaction is included in the simulations of acyl RO$_2$, it is a minor or negligible loss pathway of RO$_2$ at low N$_2$O, while it can be the dominant fate of acyl RO$_2$ at high N$_2$O (Fig. 4). In general, the RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ relative importance increases with initial N$_2$O. This is always true in OFR254-70-in$_2$O$_2$ between N$_2$O = 0.02% and N$_2$O = 20%, while in OFR185-in$_2$O$_2$, the average relative contribution of RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ to RO$_2$ fate starts to decrease at N$_2$O $\sim 10\%$ because RO$_2$ + NO regains some importance. This results from the HO$_2$ suppression caused by high NO$_x$ and strong NO production at high N$_2$O. Strong NO production increases its concentration and suppresses HO$_2$ under these conditions, limiting the conversion of NO to NO$_2$. Because of the strong OH suppression by high NO$_3$.
In the troposphere, RO₂ + OH is a minor (at low NO) or negligible (at high NO) RO₂ loss pathway (Fittschen et al., 2014; Assaf et al., 2016; Müller et al., 2016), as its rate constant is roughly an order of magnitude higher than that of RO₂ + HO₂ (Table 1), while the ambient OH concentration is on average 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of HO₂ (Mao et al., 2009; Stone et al., 2012; Fig. 5). We will not discuss RO₂ + OH in the high-NO cases in detail. Simply put, the relative importance of RO₂ + OH is generally negatively correlated with input N₂O in OFR-iN₂O, as NO₃ suppresses OH and the relative importance of RO₂ + NO increases. Below, we focus on low-NO (actually, for simplicity, zero-NO) conditions.

3.2 Simulations with all significant pathways

Since RO₂ isomerization does not significantly affect the generic RO₂ concentration, the two RO₂ fates that were recently found to be potentially important, i.e., RO₂ + OH and RO₂ isomerization, can be discussed separately.

3.2.1 RO₂ + OH

In the troposphere, RO₂ + OH is a minor (at low NO) or negligible (at high NO) RO₂ loss pathway (Fittschen et al., 2014; Assaf et al., 2016; Müller et al., 2016), as its rate constant is roughly an order of magnitude higher than that of RO₂ + HO₂ (Table 1), while the ambient OH concentration is on average 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of HO₂ (Mao et al., 2009; Stone et al., 2012; Fig. 5). We will not discuss RO₂ + OH in the high-NO cases in detail. Simply put, the relative importance of RO₂ + OH is generally negatively correlated with input N₂O in OFR-iN₂O, as NO₃ suppresses OH and the relative importance of RO₂ + NO increases. Below, we focus on low-NO (actually, for simplicity, zero-NO) conditions.
The OFR distributions for lower (F185 and a chamber experiment and in the atmosphere (a couple of different environments). The OFR distributions for lower (F185 < 3.16 × 10^{12} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}), F254 < 5.95 × 10^{14} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) and higher UV (F185 ≥ 3.16 × 10^{12} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}), F254 ≥ 5.95 × 10^{14} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) are shown separately. Only good and risky conditions (in terms of non-tropospheric organic photolysis) are included in the distributions for OFRs. Also shown are the HO₂-to-OH ratio and the relative importance of RO₂ + OH for an OFR experiment with ambient air input in a field study (BEACHON-RoMBAS; Palm et al., 2016).

At N₂O = 0, it would be ideal if an HO₂-to-OH ratio identical to the ambient values was realized in OFRs. In OFR185 cases with medium RO₂ + RO₂, an HO₂-to-OH ratio around 100 occurs at a combination of low H₂O (of the order of 0.1%), low F185 (of the order of 10^{11} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) and medium OHR (10–100 s^{-1}) and also at medium F185 (~ 10^{12} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) combined with very high OHR (≈ 16000 s^{-1}). Under both sets of conditions, relatively high external OH reactants suppress OH, whose production is relatively weak, and convert some OH into HO₂ through HO₂ recycling in organic oxidation (e.g., via alkoxy radical chemistry). The reason why such an OH-to-HO₂ conversion is needed to attain an ambient-like HO₂-to-OH ratio is that OFR185 is unable to achieve this via the internal (mainly assisted by O₃) interconversion of HO₂. This inability is most evident when F185 (10^{10}–10^{14} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) and H₂O (of the order of 1%) are high and OHR is low (< 10 s^{-1}; Fig. S9). Under these conditions, OH production by H₂O photolysis is so strong that the HO₂-to-OH ratio is lowered to ∼ 1, since OH and H (which recombines with O₂ to form HO₂) are produced in equal amounts from H₂O photolysis. As the RO₂ + OH rate constant is only roughly 1 order of magnitude higher than that for RO₂ + H₂O, slightly lower HO₂-to-OH ratios (e.g., ∼ 30) suffice to keep RO₂ + OH minor in this case. A combination of UV and H₂O that are not very high and a moderate OHR is that able to convert some OH to HO₂ and somewhat elevate the HO₂-to-OH ratio results in minor relative importance for RO₂ + OH (Figs. S9 and S10).

In OFR254-70, it is more difficult to reach an HO₂-to-OH ratio of ∼ 100, which can only be realized at a combination of very low H₂O and F254 (∼ 0.07 % and ∼ 5 × 10^{13} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}, respectively) and very high OHR (∼ 16000 s^{-1}). This is mainly due to high O₃ in OFR254-70, which controls the HO₂ interconversion through HO₂ + O₃ → OH + 2O₂ and OH + O₃ → HO₂ + O₂ and makes both OH and HO₂ more resilient to changes due to OHR (Peng et al., 2015). Even without H₂O photolysis at 185 nm as a major HO₂ source, the HO₂ interconversion controlled by O₃ in OFR254-70 still brings the HO₂-to-OH ratio to ∼ 1 in the case of minimal external perturbation (see the region at the highest H₂O and UV and OHR = 0 in the OFR254-70 part of Fig. S9). This ratio cannot be easily elevated in OFR254-70 because of the resilience of OH to suppression for this mode (Peng et al., 2015). Thus, this ratio is relatively low (< 30) under most conditions (Fig. S9), and consequently (and undesirably) RO₂ + OH is a major RO₂ fate in OFR254-70. There is an exception at relatively low H₂O and UV with very high OHR (Fig. S10); however, these conditions are undesirable in terms of non-tropospheric organic photolysis (Peng et al., 2016).

Only the results of RO₂ with medium RO₂ + RO₂ are discussed in this section. Those of RO₂ with the fast RO₂ + RO₂ are not shown as they are not qualitatively different. In OFR185, for the fast self- and cross-reacting RO₂, RO₂ + RO₂ is relatively important at high OHR (∼ 100 s^{-1}; Fig. S3), while RO₂ + OH is a major RO₂ fate at low OHR (generally of the order of 10 s^{-1} or lower) and relatively high H₂O and UV (Fig. S10). These two ranges of conditions are relatively far away from each other, and hence there is no condition under which RO₂ + RO₂ and RO₂ + OH are both major pathways that compete, which simplifies understanding RO₂ fate. However, in OFR254-70, some conditions may lead to both significant RO₂ + RO₂ (for the fast self- and cross-reacting RO₂) and RO₂ + OH (e.g., H₂O ∼ 0.5 %,
RO₂ isomerization is a first-order reaction. For this type of reaction to occur, RO₂ does not need any other species but only a sufficiently long lifetime against all other reactants combined, as most RO₂ isomerization rate constants are \( < 10 \text{s}^{-1} \). Radical (OH, HO₂, NO, etc.) concentrations in OFRs are much higher than ambient levels and may shorten RO₂ lifetimes compared to those in the troposphere. Possibly reduced RO₂ lifetimes naturally raise concerns over the potentially diminished importance of RO₂ isomerization in OFRs.

In this section we examine generic RO₂ lifetimes against all reactions (calculated without RO₂ isomerization taken into account) in OFR (including OFR-iN) cases (for the medium RO₂ + RO₂ case) and compare them with the RO₂ lifetimes in recent major field and aircraft campaigns in relatively clean environments and a field campaign in an urban area (CalNex-LA), as well as a low-NO chamber experiment (Fig. 6). Indeed, RO₂ lifetimes in clean ambient cases and in chambers with near-ambient radical levels are generally much longer than those in OFRs. The RO₂ lifetime distribution of the explored good and risky cases in OFR254-70 (including OFR254-70-iN₂O) barely overlaps the ambient and chamber cases, while in OFR185 (including OFR185-iN₂O), the RO₂ lifetime can be as long as \( \sim 10 \text{s} \), which is longer than in urban areas and roughly at the lower end of the range of ambient RO₂ lifetimes in clean environments (Fig. 6). The longest RO₂ lifetime in OFR185 occurs at very low F185 (of the order of \( 10^{11} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \sim 0.1 \% \) (Fig. S11) when HO₂ is low. In OFR254-70, for RO₂ to survive for \( \sim 10 \text{s} \), in addition to very low UV and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), high \( \text{HO}_2 \) is also needed (Fig. S11). High-\( \text{HO}_2 \) conditions in OFR254-70 cause OH suppression and a decrease in HO₂ concentration and hence result in relatively long RO₂ lifetimes. However, the strong OH suppression is likely to create bad conditions (high contribution of non-tropospheric photolysis) (Peng et al., 2016). Low-\( \text{HO}_2 \)-ext conditions do not lead to long RO₂ lifetimes in OFR254-70 even at very low F254 and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), since \( \text{O}_3 \)-assisted \( \text{HO}_2 \) recycling prevents a very low \( \text{HO}_2 \) level even if \( \text{HO}_2 \) primary production is low (Peng et al., 2015).

An RO₂ lifetime (without RO₂ isomerization included) of 10 s leads to a relative importance of isomerization of 50% in the total fate (including all loss pathways) of RO₂ with an isomerization rate constant of \( 0.1 \text{s}^{-1} \), which is a typical order of magnitude for isomerization rate constants of multifunctional RO₂ with hydroxyl and hydroperoxy substituents (Fig. 6; Crounse et al., 2013; D’Ambro et al., 2017; Praske et al., 2018). Although a 50% relative importance of isomerization under some OFR conditions is still lower than those in relatively low-NO ambient environments and low-NO chambers, this relative importance should certainly be deemed major and far from negligible as some have speculated (Crounse et al., 2013). Other multifunctional RO₂ (with peroxy radical site only) and bifunctional RO₂ with a peroxy radical site and a carbonyl group isomerize so slowly (\( \sim 0.001–0.01 \text{s}^{-1} \)) that their isomerizations are minor or negligible loss pathways in the atmosphere, chambers and OFRs with RO₂ lifetimes around 10 s (Fig. 6). Isomerizations of other types of multifunctional RO₂ (e.g., multifunctional acyl RO₂ with hydroxyl and hydroperoxy substituents at favorable positions) are extremely fast (rate constants up to \( 10^{6} \text{s}^{-1} \); Jørgensen et al., 2016; Knap and Jørgensen, 2017) and always dominate in their fates in the relatively low-NO atmosphere as well as in chambers and OFRs with RO₂ lifetimes around 10 s.

In the discussion about RO₂ isomerization above (as in the \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{OH} \) exploration in Sect. 3.2.1), we only examine low-NO (or zero-NO for simplicity) conditions with medium RO₂ + RO₂. In high-NO environments, e.g., polluted urban atmospheres with NO of at least \( \sim 10 \text{ppb} \) and high-NO OFRs in the \( \text{iN}_2\text{O} \) modes, the RO₂ lifetime is so short that isomerization is no longer a major fate for any but the most rapidly isomerizing multifunctional RO₂ types discussed above. NO measured in Los Angeles during the CalNex-LA campaign (Ortega et al., 2016) was only \( \sim 1 \text{ppb} \), which would allow RO₂ to survive for a few seconds and isomerize (Fig. 6), even in an urban area.

The OFR simulations for the discussions about RO₂ isomerization are the same as those conducted to study RO₂ + OH, i.e., the ones with medium RO₂ + RO₂ and RO₂ + OH included. For fast RO₂ self- and cross-reaction cases, RO₂ lifetimes may be significantly shorter than for RO₂ with the medium self- and cross-reaction rate constant at high \( \text{HO}_2 \)-ext (\( > 100 \text{s}^{-1} \)) in OFR185 (Fig. S3). These high-\( \text{HO}_2 \)-ext conditions are likely to be risky or bad (of little experimental interest) (Peng et al., 2016) and thus do not need to be discussed further in detail. OFR254-70 (a zero-NO mode) does not generate good or risky (of at least some experimental interest in terms of non-tropospheric organic photolysis) conditions, also leading to low-NO-atmosphere-relevant RO₂ lifetimes (Fig. 6). RO₂ types with faster self- and cross-reaction rate constants have even shorter lifetimes in OFR254-70 and will not be discussed further.

### 3.3 Guidelines for OFR operation

In this section we discuss OFR operation guidelines for atmospherically relevant RO₂ chemistry, with a focus on OFR185 and OFR254 (zero-NO modes). Since RO₂ + HO₂ and RO₂ + NO both can vary from negligible to dominant RO₂ fate in OFRs, chambers and the atmosphere (Figs. 1 and 2), these two pathways are not a concern in OFR atmospheric relevance considerations, and neither is RO₂ + RO₂. Medium or slower RO₂ + RO₂ is minor or negligible in the atmosphere.
Figure 6. (a) Same format as Fig. 5, but for RO$_2$ lifetime (RO$_2$ isomerization included in the model but excluded from lifetime calculation). (b) Relative contribution of isomerization to RO$_2$ fate as a function of RO$_2$ isomerization rate constant in several model cases for OFR experiments in the BEACHON-RoMBAS campaign (Palm et al., 2016), in a chamber experiment and in two ambient cases. Isomerization rate constants of several RO$_2$ types (Crounse et al., 2013; Praske et al., 2018) are also shown.

and chambers, as well as in OFRs, as long as high OHR$_{ext}$ is avoided in OFR254 (Fig. S2). Fast RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ is somewhat less important in OFRs than in the atmosphere (Figs. 1b, d and 3), but is still qualitatively atmospherically relevant, given the uncertainties associated with the HO$_2$ recycling ratios of various reactive systems and the huge variety of RO$_2$ types (and hence RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ rate constants).

Accordingly, we focus on the atmospheric relevance of RO$_2$ + OH and RO$_2$ isomerization, i.e., their relative contributions close to ambient values. Under typical high-NO conditions, RO$_2$ + NO dominates RO$_2$ fate and RO$_2$ + OH is negligible. High NO also shortens the RO$_2$ lifetime enough to effectively inhibit RO$_2$ isomerization. Both the dominance of RO$_2$ + NO and the inhibition of RO$_2$ isomerization also occur in the atmosphere and in chambers, so high-NO OFR operation (typically NO > 10 ppb) represents these pathways realistically. Some care is, however, required with the RO$_2$ + OH and RO$_2$ isomerization pathways at low NO. Since RO$_2$ + HO$_2$ in OFRs is always a major RO$_2$ fate at low NO and RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ is generally not problematic, RO$_2$ + OH and RO$_2$ + HO$_2$ can be kept atmospherically relevant as long as the HO$_2$-to-OH ratio is close to 100 (the ambient average). In addition, the RO$_2$ lifetime (calculated without RO$_2$ isomerization taken into account) should be at least around 10 s.

Practically, OH production should be limited to achieve this goal. Too-strong OH production at high H$_2$O and UV can elevate OH and HO$_2$ concentrations, which shortens RO$_2$ lifetime and decreases the HO$_2$-to-OH ratio to ~ 1 (see Sect. 3.2.1). OH production is roughly proportional to both H$_2$O and UV (Peng et al., 2015), so it can be limited by reducing either or both. However, H$_2$O and UV have different effects on non-tropospheric organic photolysis. At a certain OHR$_{ext}$, the OH production rate roughly determines the OH concentration in OFRs. Reducing UV decreases both OH and UV roughly proportionally (Peng et al., 2015), and hence changes in F185$_{exp}$/OH$_{exp}$ and F254$_{exp}$/OH$_{exp}$ are small (Peng et al., 2016); i.e., non-tropospheric organic photolysis does not become significantly worse if UV is reduced. By contrast, if H$_2$O is reduced without also decreasing UV, F185$_{exp}$/OH$_{exp}$ and F254$_{exp}$/OH$_{exp}$ both increase, signifying a stronger relative importance of non-tropospheric pho-
tolysis. Therefore, reducing UV is strongly preferred as an OH production limitation method and is effective in making both RO$_2$+OH and RO$_2$ isomerization more atmospherically relevant.

To further explore the effects of UV reduction on the RO$_2$+OH (Fig. 5) and RO$_2$ isomerization (Fig. 6) pathways, we divide our OFR case distributions into higher UV and lower UV classes, with the boundary being the midlevel (in logarithmic scale) UV in the explored range. The distributions for lower UV conditions (solid lines in Figs. 5 and 6) are clearly closer to the ambient cases (i.e., HO$_2$-to-OH ratio closer to 100, smaller RO$_2$ + OH relative importance and longer RO$_2$ lifetime).

Since OFR254 is unable to achieve both conditions with at least some experimental interest (i.e., with sufficiently low non-tropospheric photolysis) and an atmospherically relevant RO$_2$ lifetime, we now discuss preferable conditions for OFR185 only. As F185 close to or lower than $10^{12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ is needed for the RO$_2$ lifetime to be around 10 s or longer (Fig. S11), the OH concentration under preferable conditions for atmospherically relevant RO$_2$ chemistry ($\sim 10^9$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ or lower) is much lower than the maximum that OFR185 can physically reach ($\sim 10^{10}$–$10^{11}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$). Furthermore, lower OH production leads to higher susceptibility to OH suppression by external OH reactants (Peng et al., 2015), which can create non-tropospheric photolysis problems (Peng et al., 2016). We thus recommend H$_2$O as high as possible to maintain practically high OH while allowing lower UV to limit the importance of non-tropospheric organic photolysis.

The performance of various OFR185 conditions at high H$_2$O (2.3 %) is illustrated in Fig. 7 as a function of F185 and OHR$_{\text{ext}}$. The three criteria for the performance, i.e., RO$_2$ lifetime (calculated without RO$_2$ isomerization considered), relative importance of RO$_2$ + OH and log(F254$_{\text{exp}}$/OH$_{\text{exp}}$) (a measure of 254 nm non-tropospheric photolysis, which is usually worse than that at 185 nm; Peng et al., 2016), are shown. At F185 of $\sim 10^{11}$–$10^{12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ around or lower than 10 s$^{-1}$, all three criteria are satisfied. Since UV (and hence OH production) is relatively low, a low OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ ($\sim 10$ s$^{-1}$) is required to avoid heavy OH suppression and keep conditions good (green area in Fig. 7c). Nevertheless, risky conditions (log(F254$_{\text{exp}}$/OH$_{\text{exp}}$) $< 7$; light red area in Fig. 7c) may also bear some experimental conditions depending on the type of VOC precursors (specifically on their reactivity toward OH, their photolability at 185 and 254 nm, and the same quantities for their oxidation intermediates; Peng et al., 2016; Peng and Jimenez, 2017). Thus, higher OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ (up to $\sim 100$ s$^{-1}$) may also be considered in OFR experiments with some precursors (e.g., alkanes). In practice, the preferred conditions may require F185 even lower than that our lowest simulated lamp setting (Li et al., 2015). Such a low F185 may be realized, e.g., by partially blocking 185 nm photons using nontransparent lamp sleeves with evenly placed holes that allow for some 185 nm transmission.

Under these preferred conditions, OH concentration in OFR185 is $\sim 10^9$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, equivalent to a photochemical age of $\sim 1$ eq. days for a typical residence time of 180 s. This is much shorter than ages corresponding to the maximal oxidation capacity of OFRs (usually eq. weeks or months; Peng et al., 2015), but it is similar to the ages of the minimal organic aerosol formation in OFRs processing ambient air (Tkacik et al., 2014; Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016). We show the maximal SOA formation case in the OFR185 experiments in the BEACHON-RoMBAS campaign in the Rocky Mountains (Palm et al., 2016) as an example (Figs. 5 and 6). During the campaign, relative humidity was high (> 60 % in most of the period), OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ was estimated to be relatively low ($\sim 15$ s$^{-1}$) in this forested area and UV in the OFR was limited in the case of the maximal SOA formation age ($\sim 0.7$ eq. days). All these physical conditions were favorable for atmospherically relevant RO$_2$ fate (Figs. 5 and 6). RO$_2$ + OH was minor in this case and the relative importance of RO$_2$ isomerization in RO$_2$ fate in the OFR was within a factor of $\sim 2$ of that in the atmosphere for all RO$_2$ (regardless of the isomerization rate constant) during the BEACHON-RoMBAS campaign (Fig. 6). The effect of UV on the relative importance of RO$_2$ isomerization for this example is also illustrated in Fig. 6. In the sensitivity case with a lower age, lower UV results in a larger contribution of isomerization to RO$_2$ fate, while the relative importance of RO$_2$ isomerization is lower in a sensitivity case with an age 3 times that of the maximal SOA formation. In an extreme sensitivity case with the highest UV in the range of this study (with an age of 4 eq. months), RO$_2$ isomerization becomes minor or negligible for all RO$_2$ except extremely rapidly isomerizing ones.

The discussions above indicate that the atmospheric relevance of gas-phase RO$_2$ chemistry in OFRs deteriorates as the photochemical age over the whole residence time (180 s) increases. To reach longer ages, longer residence times (with UV still being low) can be adopted. However, OFR residence times $> 10$ min tend to be limited by the increasing importance of wall losses (Palm et al., 2016). As a result, longer residence times can only increase photochemical age in OFRs up to about a week. This implies that in OFR cases with ages much higher than that of maximal SOA formation (corresponding to the heterogeneous oxidation stage of SOA), the atmospheric relevance of gas-phase RO$_2$ chemistry in the SOA formation stage (before the age of maximal SOA formation) often cannot be ensured. However, under those conditions new SOA formation is typically not observed, and the dominant process affecting OA is heterogeneous oxidation of the preexisting OA (Palm et al., 2016). If the heterogeneous oxidation of newly formed SOA is of interest, a two-stage solution may be required. Lower UV can be used in the SOA formation stage to keep the atmospheric relevance of the gas-phase chemistry, while high UV
Figure 7. (a) RO$_2$ lifetime in the absence of isomerization, (b) relative importance of RO$_2$ + OH in RO$_2$ fate, and (c) logarithm of the exposure ratio between 254 nm photon flux and OH as a function of 185 nm photon flux and external OH reactivity for OFR185 at N$_2$O = 0 and H$_2$O = 2.3 %. Three lines denoting conditions leading to OH of 3.16 × $10^8$, 1 × $10^9$ and 3.16 × $10^9$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ are added in each panel. The thick and thin parts of these lines correspond to good and risky conditions (in terms of 254 nm organic photolysis, which is usually worse than 185 nm organic photolysis; Peng et al., 2016), respectively.

can be used in the heterogeneous aging stage to reach a high equivalent age. The latter approach is viable since heterogeneous oxidation of SOA by OH is slow and particle-phase chemistry is not strongly affected by gas-phase species except OH when OH is very high (Richards-Henderson et al., 2015, 2016; Hu et al., 2016). This two-stage solution may be realized through a cascade-OFR system or UV sources at different intensities within an OFR (e.g., spliced lamps).

Praske et al. (2018) measured RO$_2$ isomerization rate constants at 296 and 318 K and observed an increase in the rate constants by a factor of ~5 on average. A 15 K temperature increase in OFRs would lead to RO$_2$ isomerization being accelerated by a factor of ~3, while other major gas-phase radical reactions have weak or no temperature dependence (e.g., ~7 %, ~5 %, ~6 % and ~19 % slow-downs for isoprene+OH, toluene + OH, typical RO$_2$ + NO and RO$_2$ + HO$_2$, respectively; Atkinson and Arey, 2003; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). As a consequence, the relative importance of RO$_2$ isomerization in RO$_2$ fate in OFRs can be elevated and closer to atmospheric values (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, a 15 K increase in temperature may also result in some OA evaporation (Huffman et al., 2009; Nault et al., 2018). Besides, the reduction of acylperoxy nitrate formation in OFRs, which may be useful to mimic some urban environments where NO plays a larger role in acyl RO$_2$ fate (see Sect. 3.1.2), is unlikely to be achieved by increasing OFR temperature. The O–N bond energy of acylperoxy nitrates is ~28 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 2012), which can be taken as an approximate reaction energy of their decomposition. Then a 20 K temperature increase results in the equilibrium constant of acyl RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ ↔ acyl RO$_2$NO$_2$ being shifted toward RO$_2$ + NO$_2$ by a factor of ~20. However, this shift is still too small relative to the equilibrium constant itself. It can be deduced by a simple calculation that for the generic acyl RO$_2$ in this study in an OFR at 318 K (20 K higher than room temperature) with NO$_2$ of 10$^{12}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ (a relatively low level in typical OFR-N$_2$O experiments; Peng et al., 2018), ~0.1 % of the total amount of acyl RO$_2$ + acyl RO$_2$NO$_2$ will be present in the form of acyl RO$_2$. Even if acylperoxy nitrate decomposition is 20 times faster than at room temperature and the formed acyl RO$_2$ can irreversibly react with NO and decrease the acylperoxy nitrate concentration, this effect is small: typically up to an approximate 20 % decrease in acylperoxy ni-
trate and usually negligible changes in NO and NO₂. The minor effect is due to (i) an acylperoxy concentration that is still very low, (ii) an NO concentration that is much lower than NO₂ and (iii) an acylperoxy nitrate decomposition lifetime that is still of the order of minutes.

As discussed above, high H₂O, low UV and low OHR₂ext are recommended for keeping the atmospheric relevance of RO₂ chemistry in OFRs. These three requirements are also part of the requirements for attaining good high-NO conditions in OFR185-iNO (the OFR185 mode with initial NO injection; Peng and Jimenez, 2017). In addition to these three, an initial NO of several tens of ppb is also needed to obtain a good high-NO condition in OFR185-iNO. Under these conditions, RO₂ + NO dominates over RO₂ + HO₂ and hence RO₂ + OH; UV is low, the photochemical age is typically ∼ 1 eq. days and the RO₂ lifetime can be a few seconds. Therefore, these conditions are a good fit for studying the environments in relatively clean urban areas, such as Los Angeles during CalNex-LA (Ortega et al., 2016), where NO is high enough that the dominant bimolecular fate of RO₂ is RO₂ + NO but low enough to maintain RO₂ lifetimes that allow for the most common RO₂ isomerizations.

As RO₂ fate in OFRs is a highly complex problem and it can be tricky to find suitable physical conditions to simultaneously achieve experimental goals and keep the atmospheric relevance of the chemistry in OFRs, we provide here an OFR RO₂ Fate Estimator (in the Supplement) to qualitatively aid experimental planning. The OFR RO₂ Fate Estimator couples the OFR Exposure Estimator (Peng et al., 2016, 2018) to a general RO₂ fate estimator (also in the Supplement; see Fig. S12 for a screenshot of its layout). The OFR Exposure Estimator updated in this study also contains estimation equations for the HO₂-to-OH ratio in OFR185 (in OFR254, RO₂ fate is always atmospherically irrelevant at low NO, while at high NO, RO₂ + NO dominates and a detailed RO₂ fate analysis is no longer needed). In the general RO₂ fate estimator, all RO₂ reactant concentrations and all RO₂ loss pathway rate constants can be specified. Thus, the general RO₂ fate estimator can also be applied to the atmosphere and chamber experiments, in addition to OFRs. When applied to OFRs, the general RO₂ fate estimator is provided by the OFR RO₂ Fate Estimator with quantities estimated in the OFR Exposure Estimator (e.g., OH and NO). RO₂ concentration and fate are calculated according to Appendix B in the RO₂ fate estimators.

4 Conclusions

We investigated RO₂ chemistry in OFRs with an emphasis on its atmospheric relevance. All potentially major loss pathways of RO₂, i.e., reactions of RO₂ with HO₂, NO and OH, of acyl RO₂ with NO₂, and self- and cross-reactions of RO₂ and RO₂ isomerization, were studied and their relative importance in RO₂ fate were compared to those in the atmosphere and chamber experiments. OFRs were shown to be able to tune the relative importance of RO₂ + HO₂ vs. RO₂ + NO by injecting different amounts of N₂O. For many RO₂ types (including all unsubstituted acyl RO₂ and substituted secondary and tertiary RO₂), their self-reactions and the cross-reaction between them are minor or negligible in the atmosphere and chambers. This is also the case in OFR185 (including OFR185-iN₂O) and OFR254-iN₂O; however, those RO₂ self- and cross-reactions can be important at high precursor concentrations (OHR₂ext > 100 s⁻¹) in OFR254. For substituted primary RO₂ and acyl RO₂, their self- and cross-reactions (including the ones with RO₂ whose self-reaction rate constants are slower) can play an important role in RO₂ fate in the atmosphere and chambers and may also be major RO₂ loss pathways in OFRs, although they are somewhat less important in OFRs than in the atmosphere. Acylperoxy nitrates are the dominant sink of acyl RO₂ at high NO, while at high precursor concentrations (OHR₂ext > 100 s⁻¹) in OFR254-iN₂O for which RO₂ + NO is negligible for acylperoxy loss, while there is only a minor reservoir of acyl RO₂ in the atmosphere under most conditions except in urban atmospheres, where RO₂ + NO and RO₂ + NO₂ can both be the dominant acylperoxy loss pathway depending on conditions. In chambers, most acyl RO₂ can be stored in the form of acylperoxy nitrates if NO₂ is very high (hundreds of ppb to ppm level).

Besides the abovementioned well-known pathways, RO₂ + OH and RO₂ isomerization may also play an important role in RO₂ fate and sometimes results in atmospherically irrelevant RO₂ chemistry in OFRs. Here we summarize the main findings about all the pathways and the related guidelines for OFR operation.

- Under typical high-NO conditions, RO₂ + NO dominates RO₂ fate and the RO₂ lifetime is too short to allow for most RO₂ isomerizations, regardless of whether in the atmosphere, chambers or OFRs, thus raising no concern about the atmospheric relevance of the OFR RO₂ chemistry.

- Under low-NO conditions, OFR254 cannot yield any physical conditions leading to a sufficiently long RO₂ lifetime for its isomerization because of the high radiative levels and their resilience to external perturbations in OFR254.

- In OFR185 with strong OH production (and hence high OH), RO₂ + OH and RO₂ isomerization may strongly deviate from that in the atmosphere (becoming important and negligible, respectively, for relatively rapidly isomerizing RO₂; rate constants of the order of 0.1 s⁻¹).

- To attain both atmospherically relevant VOC and RO₂ chemistries, OFR185 requires high H₂O, low UV and low OHR₂ext. These conditions ensure minor or negligible RO₂ + OH and a relative importance of RO₂ isomerization in RO₂ fate in OFRs within a factor of ∼ 2 of that in the atmosphere.
Under conditions allowing both VOC and RO$_2$ chemistries to be atmospherically relevant, the maximal photochemical age that can be reached is limited to a few eq. days. This age roughly covers the period required for maximum SOA formation in ambient air.

To most realistically study much higher ages for SOA functionalization and fragmentation by heterogeneous oxidation, a sequence of low-UV SOA formation followed by a high UV condition (in the same reactor or in cascade reactors) may be needed.

High H$_2$O, low UV and low OHR$_{\text{ext}}$ in the OFR185-iNO mode can achieve conditions relevant to clean urban atmosphere, i.e., high NO but not sufficiently high to inhibit common RO$_2$ isomerization.

Finally, RO$_2$ chemistry is not only highly complex but also plays a central and instrumental role in atmospheric chemistry, in particular VOC oxidation and SOA formation. For all experiments conducted with an atmospheric chemistry simulation apparatus (chamber, flow reactor, etc.), an atmospherically relevant RO$_2$ chemistry is crucial to meaningful experimental results. However, most literature studies have not published experimental data that are sufficient for estimating RO$_2$ fate. The FIXCIT chamber experiment campaign is one of the few exceptions for which comprehensive data were reported (Nguyen et al., 2014) and used for the RO$_2$ fate analysis in the present work. We recommend measuring and/or estimating and reporting OH, HO$_2$, NO, NO$_2$ and OHR$_{\text{VOC}}$ (or initial precursor composition at least) whenever possible for all future atmospheric laboratory and field experiments for organic oxidation to facilitate the analysis of RO$_2$ fate and the evaluation of its atmospheric relevance.

**Data availability.** The latest version of the general RO$_2$ fate estimator and OFR RO$_2$ Fate Estimator can be downloaded from https://sites.google.com/site/pamwiki/hardware/estimation-equations (last access: 17 January 2019). The model outputs in this study are available from the authors upon request (zhe.peng@colorado.edu or jose.jimenez@colorado.edu). All data shown in the figures in this paper (including the Supplement) can be downloaded from http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez/group_pubs.html (last access: 17 January 2019).
Appendix A: Glossary of the acronyms (except field campaign names) used in the paper.

- **OFR**: oxidation flow reactor
- **VOC**: volatile organic compound
- **SOA**: secondary organic aerosol
- **H$_2$O**: water vapor mixing ratio
- **OHR$_{ext}$**: external OH reactivity (due to CO, SO$_2$, VOCs, etc.)
- **PAM**: potential aerosol mass, a specific type of OFR
- **OFR185**: oxidation flow reactor using both 185 and 254 nm light
- **OFR254**: oxidation flow reactor using 254 nm light only
- **OFR254-X**: OFR254 with X ppm O$_3$ initially injected
- **OFR-iN$_2$O**: OFR with N$_2$O initially injected
- **OFR185-iN$_2$O**: OFR185 with N$_2$O initially injected
- **OFR254-iN$_2$O**: OFR254 with N$_2$O initially injected
- **OFR254-X-iN$_2$O**: OFR254-X with N$_2$O initially injected
- **OHR$_{VOC}$**: OH reactivity due to VOCs
- **F185, F254, etc.**: UV photon flux at 185 nm, 254 nm, etc.
- **N$_2$O**: N$_2$O mixing ratio
- **OH$_{exp}$, F185$_{exp}$, etc.**: exposure (integral over time) to OH, F185, etc.

For the generic RO$_2$ loss rate, the reactions of RO$_2$ with HO$_2$, NO, RO$_2$, NO$_2$ (for acyl RO$_2$ only) and OH are considered. Isomerization generally does not lead to a total RO$_2$ concentration decrease and is thus not included in its loss rate. Then the RO$_2$ loss rate is

\[
L = k_{HO_2} RO_2 \cdot HO_2 + k_{NO} RO_2 \cdot NO + 2k_{RO_2} RO_2 \cdot RO_2
\]

\[+ k_{NO_2} RO_2 \cdot NO_2 + k_{OH} RO_2 \cdot OH, \quad \text{(B2)}\]

where RO$_2$, HO$_2$, NO, NO$_2$ and OH are the concentrations of corresponding species and $k_A$ ($A = RO_2$, HO$_2$, NO, NO$_2$ and OH) is the reaction rate constant of RO$_2$ with $A$. For non-acyl RO$_2$, the term $k_{NO_2} RO_2 \cdot NO_2$ is not included; for cases with well-known pathways only (RO$_2$ + HO$_2$, RO$_2$ + RO$_2$, RO$_2$ + NO and RO$_2$ + NO$_2$; see Sect. 3.1), the term $k_{OH} RO_2 \cdot OH$ is excluded. $k_{RO_2}$ needs to be given a value (which may be the main levels of RO$_2$ self- and cross-reaction rate constants in this study, $1 \times 10^{-13}$ and $1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, or other values depending on the RO$_2$ type).

At the steady state, $P$ and $L$ are equal. For an ambient and/or chamber setting, OH, HO$_2$, NO, NO$_2$ and OHR$_{VOC}$ are often measured or known. In this case, simultaneously considering Eqs. (B1) and (B2) yields a quadratic equation of RO$_2$ concentration (the only unknown). Then the generic RO$_2$ concentration can be easily obtained by solving this equation:

\[
RO_2 = \left(-K + \sqrt{K^2 + 8k_{HO_2} \cdot OHR_{VOC} \cdot OH} \right) / \left(4k_{RO_2} \right) \quad \text{(B3)}
\]

where $K = k_{HO_2} HO_2 + k_{NO} NO + k_{NO_2} NO_2 + k_{OH} OH$.

### Appendix B: Steady-state approximation for generic RO$_2$

The production rate of a generic RO$_2$ is almost identical to the VOC consumption rate, since the second step of the conversion chain VOC $\rightarrow$ R $\rightarrow$ RO$_2$ is extremely fast. Therefore, the generic RO$_2$ production rate, $P$, can be expressed as follows:

\[
P = \sum_i k_i c_i \cdot OH = \text{OHR}_{VOC} \cdot OH, \quad \text{(B1)}
\]

where OH is OH concentration and $c_i$ and $k_i$ are respectively the concentration and the reaction rate constant with OH of the $i$th VOC. OHR$_{VOC}$ is the total OHR due to VOC and equal to $\Sigma_i k_i c_i$ by definition.
Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-813-2019-supplement.

Author contributions. ZP and JLJ designed the study. ZP performed most of the simulations, and JLT performed the GECKO-A simulations. JJO and GST provided advice on the organic peroxy radical chemistry. ZP, JJO, GST, and JLJ analyzed the results. ZP took the lead in writing the paper. All authors provided feedback on the paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by grants EPA STAR 83587701-0, NSF AGS-1740610, NSF AGS-1822664, NASA NNX15AT96G and DOE(BER/ASR) DE-SC0016559. We thank the following individuals for providing data from atmospheric field studies: Tran Nguyen and Jordan Krcemher (FIXCIT), William Brune (SOAS and ATom), Pedro Campuzano-Jost (ATom), Daun Jeong and Saewung Kim (GoAmazon), and Weiwei Hu (Beijing). We are also grateful to John Crounse, Joel Thornton, Paul Ziemann, Dwayne Heard, Paul Wennberg, Andrew Lambe, Daun Jeong and Saewung Kim (GoAmazon), and Weiwei Hu for useful discussions and Donna Sueper for her assistance in the development of the RO2 Fate Estimator. NCAR is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. The EPA has not reviewed this paper and thus no endorsement should be inferred.

Edited by: Dwayne Heard
Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References


Z. Peng et al.: Organic peroxy radical chemistry in oxidation flow reactors


Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 813–834, 2019

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/813/2019/
Z. Peng et al.: Organic peroxy radical chemistry in oxidation flow reactors

833

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/813/2019/

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 813–834, 2019


